ED 113 615

CG 010,117

AUTHOR TITLE Anisef, Paul
The Critical Juncture: Educational and Vocational
Intensions of Grade 12 Students in Ontario.
Preliminary Survey.

INSTITUTION

Ontario Ministry of Colleges and Universities,

PUB DATE

[74] 212p.; For followup syrvey, see Cd 010 118

EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS

MF-\$0.76 HC-\$10.78 Plus Postage

*Aspiration; Collège Bound Students; Grade 12;

*Occupational Aspiration; Research Projects;
Secondary Education; *Secondary School Students;

*Socioeconomic Background; *Student Characteristics;
Surveys; Work Attitudes

IDENTIFIERS

*Ontario

ABSTRACT

A random survey of 2,951 grade 12 students in Ontario was conducted to ascertain student characteristics relative to their educational and vocational plans. A total of 2,555 usable questionnaires were collected, coded, and processed. The report concludes that educational and vocational plans of students depend primarily on their social origin, their present experience, and their preparedness with respect to the future. A profile of the grade 12 student is included. (Author/HMV)

Documents acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort to obtain the best copy available. Nevertheless, items of marginal reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original.

ERIC Full feat Provided by ERIC



U S DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH EDUCATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF ROUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO-DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN-ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE-SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION, OR POLICY

ELIMINARY SURVEY

Paul Anisef, Rh.D.
York University
Department of Sociology and Anthropology



MINISTRY OF COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES

ERIC Provided by ERIC

THE CRITICAL JUNCTURE

Preliminary survey

Education and Vocational Intentions of Grade 12 Students in Ontario

The Critical Juncture is being published in two volumes of which this is the first.

This preliminary study, in its unabridged form, has been sent to college and university libraries throughout Ontario. A few copies are available from information Branch, Ministry of Colleges and Universities, 6th floor, Mowal Block, 900 Bay Street, Toronto M7A 1B9, Ontario. More readily, available, however, is a concise sixteen-page summary of this study.

By mid-1974, both unabridged and summary versions of Dr. Anisef's tollow-up survey will be distributed and the Ministry will publish tts interpretation of the entire two-part study.

PREFACE

This study was initiated in 1973 and was carried forward under the auspices of the Ministry of Colleges and Universities in Ontario.

The Survey Research Centre at York University deserves a special note of gratitude for its able implementation of the project. Associated with the Centre are C.M. Lanphier, Shan Ross, and Oleh Iwanyshyn who devoted considerable time and energy to insure the successful completion of the project.

Special thanks are extended to Ms. Janet Abelson and Mr. Peter Glynn of the Ministry of Colleges and Universities who labored long and hard to coordinate the project and offered invaluable but practical insights concerning the nature of the problem.

Gratitude is also extended for the programming assistance of Mrs. Fleanor Segalowitz; her efficiency and patience were vital ingredients for the project's successful completion.

Special thanks go to Professor Bernard Blishen who offered comments on the student questionnaire and permitted us to obtain his survey data in this report.

We also acknowledge the editorial work of Ms. Etta Baichman who read a draft version of this report and Mrs. Jean Liebman who typed the final report.

The primary credit for such helpful information that may be contained in this study is directly attributed to the enthusiasm and

cooperation of the high school staff - and students, who generously volunteered their time and thought in providing research data. We hope that the results reported will prove interesting and profitable to them.

Although this study is being published under the auspices of the Ministry of Colleges and Universities, the views expressed are solely those of the author.

Paul Anisef
Assistant Professor
York University

November, 1973

TABLE OF CONTENTS

•		· · · Page
INTRODUCTION		·
The problem	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Objectives of the report	1	, , ,
Source of the data '	,	3.
Analysis of the data	· '•	
Organization of the repo	Æ	, ,
gradifización or the repo		,′
Chapter One		
BACKGROUND CHARACTERISTICS AN	D FUTURE EDUCATIONAL	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
AND VOCATIONAL PLANS .	• • •	8
		1
. Demographic factors		10
Ethnic identity	•	12
Religious affiliation		12
Socio-economic origins	•	. 12
Total family income;		13
Father's occupational pr	estige ,	13
Father's educational lev	el "	. 14 '
Work status of mother:	,	` 14
Family characteristics	3.4	. 15
. Number of children in th	e family	15
Birth order		15
		•
Chapter Two		
THE ROLE OF SIGNIFICANT OTHER	S IN EDUCATIONAL	
AND VOCATIONAL DECISION-MAK		٠ 27
	*	1
Family	•	30
Peers		311
School agents	*	31
.,,		•
Chapter Three	•	ر د این ا
	•	1934
EDUCATIONAL AND VOCATIONAL PL	ANS AS THEY RELATE T	O SELF-
· EVALUATION, MOTIVATIONS AND F	UTURE ASBIRATIONS	
· · · · ·		4.246
> Present ability in compa	rison with peers	40
Self, evaluation with res		
Reasons for continuing e		
Thought of taking time of		45
Aspirations of grade 12	• • •	46
	- Cudon Co	., 40

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Page
	• , -
Chapter Four	•
THE RELATIONSHIP OF ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE AND ATTITUDES TO	,
FUTURE EDUCATIONAL AND VOCATIONAL PLANS	.68
Chapter Five	
WHY HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS DO NOT GO TO UNIVERSITIES OR	
COLLEGES OF APPLIED ARTS AND TECHNOLOGY	73
Chapter Six	• •
GRADE 12 STUDENTS WHO INTEND TO GO TO UNIVERSITIES OR COLLEGES OF APPLIED ARTS AND TECHNOLOGY	87
Reasons	; 87
Sources of information .	88
When, where and what	91
Sources of certainty concerning financial support	, 93
Chapter Seven	•
CHANGES IN EDUCATIONAL AND VOCATIONAL INTENTIONS	. 119
Presentation of findings on educational	•
intentions	120
Comparison of Porter-Blishen Survey and 1973 survey	· 121
1373 Survey	
Chapter Eight	•
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS -	129
	129
Objectives and methods Summary of findings	131
The role of significant others in educational	•
and vocational decision making	1,32
Educational and vocational plans as they relate to self-evaluation; motivations and future	•
· aspirations ·	133
The relationship of academic performance	135
to future educational and vocational plans Why high school students do not go to universities	133
or colleges of applied arts and technology	136
Grade 12 students who intend going to universities.	137
or colleges of applied arts and technology	, , ,

Page

Changes in educational and vocational intentions A profile of grade 12 students LIST OF TABLES Table Sex and plans for 1974 1.1 · 16 1.2 Degree of \urbanization and plans for 1974 17 1.3 Father's birthplace and plans for 1974 < 18 1.4 Ethnic identity of students and plans for 1974 1.5 Religious affiliation of students and ' . 20 plans for 1974 1.6 Total family income and plans for 1974 21' 1.7 Father's occupational prestige and plans 22 for 1974 : . 1.8 Father's education and plans for 1974 23 1.9 Work status of mother and plans for 1974 24 25 1:10 Family size and plans for 1974 1.11 Burth order and plans for 1974 26 33 ¹ 11.1 Most impact on decisions and plans for 1974 11,2 Encouragement by mother and plans for 1974, 11.3 Encouragement by father and plans for 1974 35 11.4 Encouragement by friends and plans for 1974, 36 14.5 Encouragement by teachers and plans for 1974 37 .11.6 Encouragement by Guidance Counsellors and plans for 1974 38 111.1 🦠 School ability compared with close friends. and plans for 1974 49 111.2 School ability compared to classmates and plans for 1974 50 **111.3** Rank in year and plans for 1974 51 ⁽111.4 Opinion of own work and plans for 1974 52 111.5 Present grades compared to real ability and plans for 1974 53 141.6 Ability to graduate from C.A.A.T. and plans fox 1974 *** ··· 54 Likeliness of graduate school and plans for 1974 55.

Page

Table	Page
	τ,
111 8 Ability to graduate from university and	٠.
plans for 1974	·* 56
111.9 Importance of satisfying job and plans	١ ،
for, 1974	. 57
111.10 importance of high income job and plans for 1974	. 58
111.11 Importance of getting married and	, 36
plans for 1974	' 59
111.12 Importance of getting along with people	
and plans for 1974	- 60
111.13 Importance of self-improvement and plans	
for 1974	61.
111:14 Importance of understanding ideas better	•
and plans for 1974	. 62
111.15 Importance of delaying career choice and	, , ,
plans for 1974 111.16 Importance of increasing prestige or status	63
and plans for 1974	'• 64 ·
111.17 Thought of taking time off and plans for.	04
1974	65
Aspirations and plans for 1974	66
211.19 Prestige of job aspiration and plans for 1974	' 67 °
IV.1 Grades last year and plans for 1974	7a
IV.2 Expected grades and plans for 1974	71
IV.3 Attitudes toward high school and plans	
for 1974	72
V.1 Importance of finding a job very soom and \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	77.
V.2 Importance of parental discouragement and	<i>\\</i> , \\
plans for 1974	78 -
W.3 Importance of finding schoolwork boring	1/
and plans for 1974	· •79
V.4 Importance of not affording education and	
• • plans for 1974.	· 80
V.5 Importance of course requirements and : /	
plans for 1974	81
V.6 Importance of wanting to marry soon and	.00
plans for 1974. V.7 Importance of whether education is worth	.82
V.7 Importance of whether education is worth \ the expense and plans for 1974	83
	. 33 \
studying and prens for 1974	84
V.9 Importance of travelling elsewhere and	, , , ,
plans for 1974	__ 85.

£/

Table		3 ,
v.10	When enrolment will be and plans for 1074'	$\frac{1}{68}$.
V.10	The state of the s	•
VI.1	Most important reason for going to a C. A.A.T	95
	Most important reason for going to university 'r	96
	Accuracy of friends in universities or C.A.A.T.	97 ^{,1}
	Accuracy of other friends	98
	Accuracy of university or C.A.A.T. representa-	,
	tives	99
		100
		101
		102-
VI.9		103,`
VI.10	Accuracy of faculty at universities or	
, , ,	C.A.A.T.s	104
vī.lī		105
	Accuracy of campus visits	106 .
νï.13		107.
VI.14 .	Accuracy of sisters and brothers	198
VI:15	Accuracy of other relatives	109
VI.16	Time of decision td/continue education after	• •
	high school	iio
VI.17	Geographical area of future post-secondary	•
••		111
VI.18`	Living arrangements of students planning on.	
•	post-secondary education	112
VI.19,	Certainty of grade 12 students concerning major	
• •	area of study in university or C.A.A.T.	113
VI.20		114
VI.21 (trailoring can be a sectorist to	115
VI.22		116
ΫΙ. 23 .		117
VI.24	Certainty about financing the first year at	
1	distressed of committee and the committee of the committe	118
VII. 1	Percentages, confidence levels and confidence	, ,
, · · ·	limits for educational/vocational plans of	•
	adolescents in fall 1974	125 •
VÎH.2	Percentages, confidence intervals, and	•
\ . •	confidence limits for educational/vocational	·
. \ .		126.
VII.3	Comparison of Porter-Blishen study and our survey	. /* .
\	with regard to grade 12 students' plans for	: 21
	next fall	14
VII.4 -	Comparison of Porter-Blishen study and our survey.	
··/``.	with regard to grade 12 students plans for	120
\	next fall	.4<α
· ·		

					7
	$\mathcal{A}_{I_{i}}$				
\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\			alan di		
Table				Page	
viii.i	Educational and vo	cational plans	of grade 12	·	
, VIII.2	students in Ontai Profile of grade.	rio for fall, l	974 . 😿 .	131 \	
, viii.2	FIGURE OF GRACE.	ez scudents, in	5	·	
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			. **	* \
		r'of appendices			· /, · ·
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, LIS.	TOF APPENDICES			
Appendix	۲. : ۱۰				
Appendix	· / // * *				
1. ·	Sample design				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
rí.	Student questionna	aire			
• • •					
			•		
			• • •		• , * ~
			4		1
		• • • •	•		, .
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1 -			,.
			, , ,		
: 1			\		• •
			1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		• • • •
				"	-
,0 4			into the state of		
		· //:			,
•					• .
;					•
, ,,	17		· ·	`	. ,
			_	3	•
		1 1		1	
<i>.</i> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	· \.	٠.	~		
	L	· • ,	•	•	1
			•	•	,
. A:			• (•	√
				, * · ·	
	\mathbb{N}	i ala	•	•	,
Service of the servic	11.	•	•	/	

INTRODUCTION

The Problem:

The recent report of the Commission on Post-Secondary Education reflects an increased awareness that accessibility to higher education and the maximum realization of Canadian talent are among the major objectives of policy makers. Implementation of these objectives requires knowledge concerning the educational intentions of high school students who often face a bewildering choice of vocational alternatives when they plan for their future. A knowledge of future educational and vocational plans is not sufficient information for the formulation and implementation of educational policy. An understanding of the context or more specifically, the contexts, in which adolescents consciously or unconsciously make decisions that shape their future; is also required. The adolescent's career development and his intentions and decisions with respect to a future career depend on several factors: his social origin, his present experience; and his attitudes (motivations, sense of self) and preparedness with respect to the future. Dbviously one can not speak of the adolescent because the context in which one group of adolescents ch decisions may radically differ from the, context of another group.

The general purpose of this report on a survey of Grade 12 students in Ontario is twofold; (1) what are the educational and vocational plans of grade 12 students for the fall 1974 and (2) given

what similarities and/or differences in social origin, present experiences and preparedness characterize different groups of adolescents.

(e.g. those that intend to enrol in university, going to work, etc.)

Grade 12 students in Ontario were selected for study because they are at a critical juncture of their life cycle. At this stage, these adolescents must decide whether to remain in high school and complete grade 13, enter the rabour force, or select some form of post-secondary education that will eventually prepare them for the vocational marketplace.

Objectives of the Report:

The specific objectives of our project to survey grade 12 students may be listed in point form:

- 1. Assess the future education and vocational plans of Grade 12 students in Ontatio.
- 2/. Identify the motivations (reasons) given for future educational and vocational plans. Are there differences (in reasons) among adolescents who plan to go to university, or a college of Applied Arts and Technology, or pork?
- 3. Identify not only the expectations of adolescents, but also their appirations for the future
- Assess the influence of geographical location on adolescents education and vocational intentions.
- Assess the influence of demographic factors (e.g. population size of community) on adolescents education and vocational intentions.

- 6. Assess the financial means by which adolescents plan to cover their expenses while at a post-secondary institution.
- 7. Identify those factors (e.g. social background, influence of parents, teachers, peers) that aid (or hinder) adolescents in making educational and vocational decisions concerning their future.
- 8. Assess the perceived reliability and presence of information sources concerning post-secondary institutions for high school students.
- 9. Compare the results of our survey with those obtained in a comparable survey performed by James Porter and Bernard Blishen in 1971; this comparison may provide valuable insights into shifts in attitudes concerning educational and vocational intentions.

Source of the Data:

To collect the types of information needed, a representative sample of 3059 grade 12 students in 97 secondary schools in Ontario were selected for study. A questionnaire consisting mostly of close ended items was developed in the pretest phase of the project and took on the average a half bear to complete. The self administration of the questionnaire was conducted in groups of selected students at the 97 schools. The complete process was supervised by a field interviewer. employed and trained by the Survey Research Centre, York University. Of the 3059 grade 12 students included in the sampling frame, 90 were found to have left school and 18 were classified as ineligible; this left a base of 2951.

of 2555 usable questionnaires were collected from the 97 schools resulting in a completion ratio of 87 percent. Included are schools
located in large urban areas (e.g. Toronto), other large metropolitan
areas in Ontario (e.g. Sudbury), smaller cities, towns and urban fringe
areas (e.g. Lakehead) and rural areas (e.g. Elgin County). These schools
also range from small to large in terms of class size and include both.
public and private schools.

Analysis of the Data

The analysis of the data for this report consists largely of cross-tablulations between groups of adolescents that have certain educational and vocational plans for the fall 1974 and other selected variables. All tables that are referred to in the text may be located at the end of each chapter. The "groups" refer to the labels listed horizontally and are derived from Question 8 of the student questionnaire (Appendix 11, p.5). For example, the fuller definition of groups in Tables I.1 - I.11 in Chapter One reading from left to right is (1) Get a full-time job (2) Go directly to university (3) Go directly to a college of applied arts and technology (4) take at least one year of more off to work or travel before beginning full-time studies at a post-secondary educational institution (5) go to nursing school (6) study part time at a university or college of applied arts and technology while holding a full-time job or part-time job (7) go into apprenticeship or go to a private, commercial, business or trade school (8) those that don't yet know what

their plans are for fall, 1974.

cross-tabulations are based on a weighted sample of \$2,670. This weighted sample approximates the frame population of Grade 12 students in Ontario in 1972-73 and permits the analyst to adjust for errors or deviations from the sample to the population (see Appendix I for a fuller and more detailed explanation of this procedure). Any one cell of a table will usually contain 4 pieces of information. From top to the bottom of the cell they are: (1) number of students in the cell upon which percentages are based (2) the row percentage (3) the column percentage and (4) the total percentage (that is, number of students in a particular cell divided by the total number of students to whom the table applies).

Organization of the Report

The first chapter of this report examines the relationship between social origins (e.g. social class religion, etc.) demographic characteristics (e.g. birth order) and students future educational and vocational plans.

The second chapter examines the influence and impact of significant others (e.g. ramily, peers, and school agents) on the educational and vocational intentions of grade 12' students.

In the third chapter we relate a student's self-evaluation
(i.e. type of self-image) to his or her future intentions... In addition
we examine the motivations (or reasons) that grade 12 students might have

for continuing their education beyond the secondary school level. Finally, we look at the aspirations of students. What would students (who offer realistic expectations) actually like to do if they had the chance?

In a fourth chapter we briefly examine the relationship between future plans and academic achievement in high school. The relationship between attitudes toward school and future plans is also explored.

Chapter V examines the reasons why certain adolescents do not elect (at this stage of their lives) to pursue higher education. More specifically three groups are compared: those who intend to get a job, those who wish to take time off and those who are presently unsure of their plans for fall, 1974.

In Chapter VI two groups are singled: those students who plan to go to university and students who intend to enrol in colleges of applied arts and technology. These groups are then compared on their reasons for going; their perception of certain information sources (concerning post-secondary institutions) accuracy; the sources they will employ to finance future education; and a number of other dimensions concerning major area of study time of decision etc.

Chapter VII deals with the changes in educational and vocational intentions from 1971 to the present. Our survey results are compared with those of James Porter and Bernard Blashen.

A final chapter attempts to portray the highlights of the pro-

Two appendices are a tached to the report. Appendix I consists of a detailed discussion of the sample design employed in the survey of grade 12 students in Ontario and it is written by Mr. Oleh Iwanyshyn of the Survey Research Centre, York University. Appendix II consists of the student questionnaire itself.

CHAPTER ONE

Background Characteristics And Future Educational And Vocational Plans

There are many factors influencing educational and vocationalrelated behaviours and decisions; but those that are most visible in
the social science literature are the characteristics of an individual's
background in terms of social class membership, ethnic identity, religious
affiliation, sex, family size and birth order. To label these factors
background characteristics is somewhat misleading - misleading in the
sense that basic to social scrientific investigations is the premise that
where an individual winds up in society's stratification system depends,
largely on his starting point. Moreover, a description of the social
origins of Grade 12 students is particularly relevant in that, at
present, they either come from small or large families, their socioeconomic origins have high or low standing and the impact of family is
likely to be quite strong.

included in this study. Our analysis in this chapter is restricted to those variables that serve to identify the position of the adolescent's family in the social structure, as well as his own position in the family. The most important of these variables include family income, father's occupational status, father's educational level, and the work status of mother. Also included are the birthplace of father, the

- 9 -

ethnic identity of respondents and their religious affiliation. These variables relate not so much to one's place in the social structure but to cultural values. Thus, for example, the emphasis and socialization for achievement values varies significantly among different ethnic groups. Finally we shall describe the adolescent's position within his or herefamily in terms of family size and birth order.

Our purpose in this chapter and the chapters that follow is to develop 'profiles' of adolescents in terms of their educational and vocational plans for the fall of 1974. A total of eight 'groups' resulted from posing this question to Grade 12 students in Ontario: "Which statement best describes what you plan to do in the fall of 1974?" The groups are composed of adolescents who plan on: (1) getting a full-time job (2) going directly into university (3) going directly into a college of applied arts and technology (4) taking at least one year or more to work or travel before beginning full-time study at a post-secondary educational institution (5) going to nursing school (6) studying part-time while holding either a part-time or full-time job (7) going into apprenticeship or going to a private commercial, business of trade school and (8) adolescents who, at this point in time, are unsure concerning their future educational or vocational plans.

^{1.} These plans for the fall of 1974 incorporate or include an extension of their plans for the fall 1973.

The profiles that we develop are based on the information gathered in the survey. Basically we are interested in identifying similarities and differences among the eight groups identified above in terms of social background, etc. This interest is based on the assumption that an adolescent's future intention is not arbitrary but is strongly influenced by a set of inter-related and autonomous factors that may be but need not be consciously recognized.

Demographic Factors:

In this section three factors are examined. They are sex of respondent, degree of urbanization of the school, and birthplace of father.

Approximately equal proportions of the sample are male and female. (Table 1.1) When Grade 12 students are compared in terms of their plans for fall 1974, a number of sharp contrasts are revealed. Proportionately more females (56.9%) than males are planning on entering the labour market. The reverse is true for university-bound adolescents in that 53.5% are male and for adolescents who intend to take up part-time studies (55.6% are male). A greater proportion of females are

^{2.} Pour strata were defined on the basis of size and location of school At the outset of the survey it was agreed that part of our objectives was to compare our results with those of Porter and Blishen. Therefore, their four level stratification on the basis of size and degree of urbanization was adopted by us. Crudely speaking, Strata 1 consists of school boards in a highly urban setting while Strata 4 tonsists of boards in a rural setting.

attracted to the programmes offered by Colleges of Applied Arts and Technology³. (54.9%). Finally, it is interesting to note that confusion is not self-specific in that equal proportions of males and females don't know what they will do in 1974

Table 1.2 indicates a relationship between the degree of urbanization and the type of decision, adolescents make concerning their future, education and careers. Outte generally, dedescents who intend on going directly to university in 1974 are more likely to reside in vrban' areas while adolescents who plan on entering the labour force, enrolling in C.A.A.T.S. entering either nursing trade schools or simply don't know are more likely to reside a 'rural' areas. Adolescents who intend to study part-time or take time off before seeking some form of post-secondary education are evenly divided among the four strata. Thus, 47.5% of those adolescents who intend on entering the labour force are from stratum 4 (rural) 30.4% of university-bound adolescents come from stratum 1 (urban); of those planning on apprenticeship or trade schools, 50.4% live in rural areas (stratum 4) while of those adolescents planning on C.A.A.T.S. or nursing schools (33.0% and 37.9% respectively) now reside in rural areas.

A majority (70.7%) of the respondent's fathers were born in Canada (Table 1.3). Although there are some variations by father's birthplace across the eight groupings, we will not discuss them at this time because

^{3.} Hereafter we shall refer to these colleges as C.A.A.T.S.

its link with these groupings appears tenuous.

Ethnic Identity

Table 1.4 reveals that an overwhelming majority of Grade 12 students in Ontario identify themselves first and foremost as Canadians (81.4%).

Religious Affiliation

A majority (53.4%) of the students in the survey identified themselves as Protestants; 31.3% as Roman Catholics; 1.3% as Jews, 8.9% claimed
that they possessed no religious affiliation and 5.0% stated 'other'
religious preferences. (Table 1.5) When the major groupings are compared
in terms of religious affiliation, we note that while fully 60.8% of
those who intend entering nursing schools, identify themselves as Protestant
only 48.5% of those entering the labour market and 43.8% of those who
intend to study part-time made similar claims. For the latter group,
Roman Catholicism was the primary identity (44.5%). It is interesting
to note that of those who stated a Jewish identity, 58.3% are universitybound. Finally, a lack of religious affiliation would appear to distinguish
the 'time-off' students from our other groupings; fully 18.6% stated
that they possessed no religious affiliation.

Socio-economic origins

In this section we will deal with four socio-economic dimensions of family: perceived total income of family, father's occupational prestige, father's obtained educational level and the work status of mother.

Total family income

Fully 16.6% of the Grade 12 students perceive that their total family income is less than \$10,000 a year. While 19.1% perceive that total income exceeds \$16,000 a year. It is important to also note that 28.8% of students in the survey have no idea what their family income is. (Table 1.6). If the high end of the income scale (\$16,001 and above) is examined, we find that 29.2% of 'time-off' students, 17.4% of university-bound, 16.9% of C.A.A.T.S.-bound and 15.4% of part-time studies students occupy these income categories. When the low end is examined (\$7,000 and below), 24.1% of tradecschool, 15.8% of part-time studies and 12.7% of full-time job students occupy these income categories. The advantaged groups are therefore time-off, university and C.A.A.T.S. Grade 12 students while the disadvantaged are trade-school and full-time job Grade 12 students. Those who plan on part-time studies appear to occupy both categories.

An index of one's social class is usually based on the father's occupation. Bernard Blishen has developed a social class index consisting of six levels4; we employed this index in measuring the prestige of father's occupation.

rable 1) reveals that university and time-off Grade 12 students are more likely to originate from upper or upper-middle class families while

^{4.} Blishen et al., Canadian Society, Macmillan of Canada, 1968, Toronto page 752.

that work full-time, of those grade 12 students who plan on entering trade schools or apprenticeship programmes, only 16.8% stated that their mothers are working full-time. These two groups represent 'high' and 'low' groups in terms of mothers' full-time employment.

Family Characteristics:

Number of children in the family

Nearly half of grade 12 students in Omtario, come from families in which there are three or less brothers and sisters (including the respondent), almost 20% of the respondents are situated in families where there are 6 or more siblings. The average family size for the different groupings (and including the respondents) ranged from 4.1 to 3.4. Those grade 12 students who plan on directly entering the labour force reside with the largest families and students who plan on going directly into university live within the context of the smallest families. We may also arrange the following groups in terms of family size (from large to small): study part-time, C.A.T.S., Don't know, trade school, time-off and hursing schools

Birth order

over one third of grade 12 students come from families in which they are first born (Table 1.11). Of those students that are headed for universities and plan to take time off, 38.4% and 40.0% respectively, are first born. Of those students that are job and trade school oriented, 29.0% and 13.8% respectively are first born.

Grade 12 students in other categories are more likely to originate from working class families. Thus, fully 31.8% and 29.0% of the university and time-off students, respectively, appear in Blishen one and two (upper and upper middle class) while over 55% of those in other groupings (students who plan on directly entering the labour force, etc.) appear in Blishen five and six (working class).

Father's educational level

The majority (53.3%) of Grade 12 students come from families in which fathers have obtained less than a high school diploma (Table 1.8). However, almost 23% of fathers have had some university training or completed a university degree. When father's educational level is related to future plans of Grade 12 students, we observe that university-bound and time-off students differ markedly from their peers. Fully 36.7% of university and 32.1% of time-off Grade 12 students' fathers have obtained and/or completed university training. Those students who plan on entering the labour market, entering trade schools or studying part-time are more likely to come from families where the father has only completed an elementary school education.

Work status of mother

Nearly a third of mothers of Grade 12 students are presently working at full-time jobs; another 16.7% are engaged in part-time work. (Table 1.9).

Of those students who plan on taking time off in 1974, 33.8% have mothers

1		- 1)	, •	٠.		•••	•				· · · · ·				16.
	#	43141	6.8	والمستوا	45023	51.I.			88164	100.0	iger Springer				•	
·	Don't Kņow	4888	11.3	5.5	4988	77.77	50.5	5.7	9875	11.2						
	Go to Trade Schools	732.	1.7	8.0	33	0.1	4,3	0.0	765	6.0						
	Study Part-Time	2407	7 6 6	2.7	1920	4.3	44.4	2.2	4327	6.4		l a		مواند وارتد اند و سر	و آباد رسمار د و آباد م و د د د د	
ans for 1974.	Go, to. Nurs- ing School	. 0	0 0	0.0	.2914	.6.5	100.0		2914	e.						
Sex and Plan	Take Time	···、,	41.8	8 8 8	27.70	6.2	35,3	T.	7,852	6.			18 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		g engage	
e 1.1:	Go to	7572	17.6	45.4	,9222	:20.5	54.9	10.5	16794	19,0	*;		· •.			
Tab	Go to University	14341	33.2	16.3	12458	27.7	46.5	14.1	26798	30.4	George					
	Get a Job	8120	18:8	43. ± 43. ±	10719	23.8	56.9	12:2	18839	21.4						
· ·			Male				Female									
		7														

	2372 19894 11.9 22.5 24.0 2.7	2098 - 19570 10.7 22.2 21.2 -2.4	1994 20666 9.6 23.4 20.2	3411 28144 12.1 31.9 34.5 3.9	9875 88273 .1
Go-to Trade Don't Schools, Known	170 23 0.9 11 22.3 24 0.2 4 2	70 0.4 0.4 9.1 9.1	139 15 0.7 9 18.2 20	385 3. 1.4 50.4 3.	765 \ , , 0.9
School Part-Time S	.1159 .5.8 .26.8 .1.3	1071 5.5 5.5 1.2	1049 5.1 24.3 1.2	1047 3.7 24.2 1.2	4327
Go to Nurse Study ing School Part-Ti	444	2.9 19.8 0.7	788 3.8 27.0	1205 3.9 37.9 1.3	2914
Take Time Go t off ing	1977 9 9 25.1 2.2	1866 9.5 23.7 2.1	1843 8.9 23.4 2.1	2199 7.8 27.9 2.5	7885
Go to	3544 17.8 21.1 - 4.0	3770 19.3 22.4 4.3	3969 19.2 23.6 4.3	5547 19.7 33.0 6.3	16830
Go to University.	8148 41.0 30,4 9.2	6522 33.3 24.3 7.4	6649 24.8 7.5	5479 19.5 20:4 6.2	26799
Get a Job	2079 10.5 11.0 2.4	3595 18.4 19.0 4.1	4235 20.5 22.4 4.8	8970 #31.9 47.5	18879
//.	dirban.			Ruraf	

4	
1	
197	
Н	
for	
ŭ	
Ð	
⊏	
lans	
ᅼ	١
ы	
b	
and	
ø	
a١	
≃	

Father's Birthpla

Table I.3:

Get a Job Go to 1. Go to	Go to	Go to	Ta	ke Time	Take Time / Go to Nursh Study	- Study	Go to Trade	Don't.	,er
University C			2 [2]	off	ing School Part-Time	Part-Time	Schools,	Know	/-
14559 17686 11872			. `	5517	2124	2595	512	7114	. 61978
23.5 28.5 19.2		19.2	((. 6.8	3.4	4.2	8.0	11.5	70.7
. 77.7 66.2 70.7		70.7	·	9.07	72.9	60.5	6.99	. 73.3	
16.6 20.2 13.5		13.5		6.3	2.4	3.0	9.0	8.1	,
4109 8832 4924	<u></u>	4924	,	¥2269	746	. 1991	253'	2518	25314
All other 16.2 34.9 19.5		19.5		9.0	2.9	9.9	1.0	6.6	28.9
countries 21.9 33.1 29.3	· ·	29.3	_,	. 59.0	25.6	38.7	33.1	25.9	•
4.7 10.1 . 5.6		,5.6 6.2.		2.6	6.0	1.9	0.3	2.9	``,
66 184 0	184 0	0		. 33	77	33	. 0	72	431
15.4 42.6 0.0		0.0	¥	7.5	10.2	7.5	0.0	16.8	0.5
0.4 0.7 0.0	<u></u> -	0	,	7.9	1,5	8.0	. 0.0	0.7	•
0.1 0.2 0.0		0.0		0.0	0.1.	0.0	0.0	0.1	
18735 26701 16796	! ! !	16796		7819	2914	4288	765	9705	* 87723.
21.4 30.4 19.1	,	19.1	,	.6.8	3,3	6,7	6.0	11.1	100.0

Table I.4: Ethnic Identity of Students and Plans for 1974

	81.4	13:016 15:0	3.6	86982
Don't Know	7518 10.6~ 8.6	1432; (11.0 11.0 15.1	521 16.7 5.5 `0.6	9471
Go to Trade Schowls,	696 1.0 79.4 0.8	37- 0.3 8 5.1	0.0	734 0.8
Study Part-Time	3.382 4.8 94.9 3.9	707 5.4 16.5	201 6.4 4.7 0.2	4290
Go to Nurs- ing School	2513 3.5. 78.8 2.9	302 2.3 10.6	31 1.0 1.1	3.3
Take Time	6468 9.1 82.8	1134 8.7 14.5 1.3	214 6.8 2.7 0.2	7816.
Go to C.A.A.T.	13988 19.7 83.8 16.1	2300 17.7 13.8 2.6	397 12.7 2.4 .0.5	16686
Go to University	21046 .29.7 .79.0	36.9 18.0	795. 25.5. 3.0	30.6
Get a Job	15230 21.5 82.3 17.5	2306 17.7 12.5 2.7	965 30.9 5.2 1.1	18501/ 21.3
	Canadian	All other ethnic groups	Don't know or multiple response	500

ERIC

2	ļ
Ö	ı
H	
	ł
×	l
'n	1
and Plans for 1970	
ំហ	l
ë	١
ď	1
ᅼ	1
щ	1
-	ì
2	ı
띪	Į
	ı
ffillation of Students a	
يد	į
Ë	1
Ŧ	Į
- 2	1
ũ	
ö	1
•	•
4	
0	Į
_	
Affillation	
7	
ũ	
d	
m	
П	
7	
Ξ	
Ó	
Ę	i
Q	
Religious A	
3	۲
H	i
نه`	ŀ
×	ì.
٠.	
	٠
	•
4]	
<u>_</u>	ĺ
a	ł
Н	I,
Table I.5. R	۲
20	
_	•

-	48699	27376	1125	7775 8.9	4406	244	87529
Don't . Know .	5299 11.3 54.7 6.1	2470 \ 9.0 25.5 ·	169	1147 14.8 11.8 1.3	567 12.9 5.8 0.6	38 26.4 0.4	9690
Go to Trade Schools,	364 .0.8 .47.6	240 0.9 31.3	0.000	63 8.3 0.1	98 12.8 0.1	0000	765
Study Part-Time	1863 4.0 43.8 2.1	1890 6.9 44.5	0.0.0	41.9 5.4 9.9.	78 1.86 1.8	0000	4.50
Go to Nurs-	. 1757 3.8 60.3 2.0	912.	0.0	101 1.3 3.5	7.45 3.3 5.0	0.0	2914 3.3
Take Time	4086 8.8 52.0 4.7	1763 6.4 22.5 2.0	105 9.3 1.3	1457 18°7 18.6	.440 E0.0 5.6 0.5	0.0	7.852
Go to "C.A.A.T.	9452 20.2. 56.7. 10.8	20.4 33.5 6-4	98 8.7 0.6 0.1	1/10A	508 11.5 3.0 0.6	25.5 0.2	19.1
Ga to University	14768 31.6 55.5 16.9	7242 26.5 27.2 8.3	656 58.3 2.5 0.7	2398 30.8 9.0 2.7	1496 34.0 5.6 1.7	36 25.2 0.1	26597
Get a Job	9109 19.5 48.5 10.4	7272 26.6 38.7 8.3	. 98 0.57 0.55	1194 15.4 6.4	1075 24.4 5.7 1.2	33 22.9 0.2 0.0	18781.
4.	Protestant	Roman Gatholic	Jewish	No religion	Other	р.к. /	

1)

*	•				\(\frac{1}{2}\)			•	
٠,		7,		6.1		4 0 7	4)	٠,	21.
		2021	6232	14899	11530	10594		9840	87023
•	Don't Know	252 12.5 2.6	10.6	1295 8.7 8.7 13.3	1170 10.1 12.1 1.3	1276 12.0 13.2 1.5	650 9.5 6.7 0.7	868 8.8 8.9	14.1 26.4 4.1 9704 11.2
	Go to Trade	36 1.8 .4.7	0.0 148 2.4 19.4	1.0 18.9	138 1.2 18.0 0.2	128 1.2 16.7 0.1	0000	66 0.7 8.6 0.1	0.4 0.1 765 0.9
1974	Study Part-Time	170 8.4 3.9	0.2 513 11.9	1003 6.7 23.2 11.2	572 5.0 13.2 0.7	428 9.9 0.5	.214 3.1 4.9 0.2	454 4.6 10.5 0.5	3.9 22.4 1.1 4327 5.0
Plans for	Go to Nurs- Ing School	000	0.0 170 2.7 5.8	606 4.1 20.8	387 3.4 13.3 0.4	358 3.4 12.3 0.4	145 2.1 5.0 0.2	1.5	4.4 37.7 1.3 2914 3.3
y Income and		. 115 5.7 1.5	341	1432 9.6 18.6		929 8.8 12.0	810 11.9 10.5	1442 14.7 18.7 18.7	6.9 22.6 2.0 7.218 8.9
Total Family	Go to	484 23.9 2.9	0.6 1342 21.5 8.1	2893 19.4 17.4	2558 22.2 15.4	2260 21.3 13.6 2.6	1624 23.8 9.8 1.9	11.5	17.1 25.8 4.9 16631 19.1
rable I.6: To	Go to University	443	0.5 1221 19.6 4.6	3614 24.3 13.7 4.2	3444 29.9 13.0 4.0	3661 34.6 13.8	2570 37.7 9.7 3.0	4695 47.7 17.7 5.4	27.2 25.8 25.8 7.8 30.4
Ta	Get a Job	522 25.8 2.8	.0.6 1832 29.4 9.9	3911 26.3 21.1 21.1	2354 20.4 12:7	1554	802 11.8 4.3	993 10.1 5.4 1.1	26.0 35.3 7.5 18496 21.3
		\$4,000 and less	-10001-	\$7,001- 10,000	\$10,001-	\$12,001-	\$16,000-	\$20,000	*
RIC Cast Provided by ERIC					\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	•.		Ti di	

			•	•	• • • •		Ų.	•
•	,	6684 ° 8:1	10000 /	8795 10.6	13530	30708 37.0	* 13178 .	82893 100.0
	Dón't^ Know	936 14.0 ., 10.2	859 8.6 9.4 1.0	887 10.1 9.7	1471 10.9 16.1 1.8	3462 11.3 37.8	1528 11.6 16.7 ·	9143
974	Go to Trade Schools,	0,000	65 0.6 0.1	14.0	103 0.8 14.2 0.1	. 385 1.3 52.8 2.1	73. 0.6 10.1	789
ans for 1	- Study Part-Time	114 1.7 2.8 0.1	194 1.9 4.7 0.2	.494 5.6 12.0 0.6	708° 5,2 17.2 0.9	1713 5.6 41.5 3.1	902 6.8	4126
Occupational Prestige and Pl	Go to Nurs- ing School	155 2.3 5.5 0.2	, 194 . 1.9 6.9 0.2	279 3.2 9.9 0.3	442 3.3 15.7 0.5	1046 3.4 37.2 , 1.3	693 5.3 24.7 0.8	2809
	Take Time off	793 11.9 10.8	1334 13.3 18.2 1.6	1009 11.5 13.8	1337 9.9 18.2 1.6	1946 6.3 26.6 2.3	908 6.9 12#4	8.8
ا ا	Gorto C.A.A.T.	812 * 12.2 5.1	1828 18.3 11.4 2.2	1771 20.1 11.1		6102 - 19.9 38.2 7.4	2954 18.5 3.6	60 •
Table I.7: Father's	Go to . University	3447 51.6 -13.6 -4.2	4613 46.1 18.2 / 5.6	3085 35.1 12.2 3.7	4535 33.5 17.9 - 5.5	7133 23.2 28.2 8.6	2500. 19.0 	25313 30.5
	Get a Job	426 6.4 2.4 0.5	91.4	13.3 13.3 6.7 1.4	2417 17.9 ,13.8 2.9	8919 29.0 51.1	3619 27.5 20.7 4.4	17463
	,	Blishen one	Blishen two	Blishen	Blisheh four	Bitshen	Blishen) /
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			100	.*	•		

		g (•
	10240	15228 17.7 :	20440	13958	19609	6642	86116
Bon't Know	1048. 10.2 11.0	1796 11.8 18.8	2573 12.6. 26.9 3.0	1386 *9.9 *14.5 – 1:6	1700 8.7 17.8 2.0	1054 15.9∜ 11.0 1.2	9558
Go to Trade Scheols,	63 0.6 8.2 0.1	294 1.9 38.5 0.3	205 1.0 26.8 0.2	36 0.3 4.7 0.0	131 0.7 17.1 > 9.2	36 0.5 4.8 0.0	765
Study Part-Time	764 7.5 18.3 0.9	878 5.8 21.1	926 4.5 22.2• 1.1	584 4.2 14.0	637 3.2 15.3 0.7	382 / 5.8 9.2 0.4	4.8
Go to Nurs- ing School	441 4.3 15.5 0.5	464 3.0 16.3 0.5	658 3.2 23.2 0.8	596 .4.3 21.0 0.7	513 2.6 18.1 -0.6	166 2.5 0.2	2839
Take Time	893 8.7. 11.5 1.0	710 4.7 9.2 0.8	1417 6,9 18,3 1.6	1656 11.9 21.4 1.9	2491 :12.7 32.1 2.9	589 8.9 7.6	9.0
Go to	1664 16.3 =10.1 1.9	3460 22.7 – 21.0 – 4.0	4196 20.5 25.5 4.9	2866 20.5 17.4 3.3	3160 16.1 19.2 3.7	1096 16.5 6.7 1.3	16442 19.1
Go to University	1985 19.4 7.6	3029 19.9 11.6 3.5	26.2 20.5 6.3	4675 33 5 18 0 5 4	9557 48.7 36.7 11.1	1439 21.7 5.5 1.7	30.2
Get a Job	33.0 33.0 18.2 3.9	4596 30.2 24.8 5.3	5116 25.0 27.6	2160 15.5. 11.6 2.5		1878 28.3 10.1	18552
	No schooling and some elementary	Completed elementary	Some +	Countleted	Some University and completed university degree	D.K.	
\\.				10 pg 15 pg	" 12		

Table I.8: Father's Education and Plans for 1974

	for 1974
	for
•	Plans
•	and
	Size
	Fam£1y
	I.10:
	Table

1.	. \	ď	•	•		Ç _y	` ,	•	,		-	•	• . ;	· -	١.	•	•	•	2
••	20536	23.4		22686	25.8	• /	\$6573	18.9	.,,	10949	2.5	<u>,</u>	17080	19.4		87823	100.0	,*	
Don't Know	1928	9.4	,19.7 ,2.2	2566	17.3	26.2	2892	12.6	2.4	1269	11.6	47.4	1933	11.3	7.5	9778	11.1		
rade						•			<u> -</u>	ļ		,	,	~		1			
Go to Trade		1,2	32.7	. 100	4.0	13.8	102	9.0	1.6.0	99	9.0 	0.1	- 221	1,3	.0,	728	8.0		
Study Part-Time	1142	5.6	26.4 1.3	745	3.3	17.2	· 6/79	o, 0	0.7	637	5.8 	0.7	1153	6.7	1.3	4327	. <u>4.9</u>		
Go to Nursel	620	3.0	21.3	072	4.7	36.8 1.2	414 ,	2.5	14.2 0.5	414		0.5	394	2.3	4.0	2914			<i>a</i> / ;
	•	<i></i> -	~ ~		· ·	e 	· .								·	2			;
Take Time	1798	8.8	22 9	2432	10.7	21.0	1622	/ 8·6	20.7	1013	9.2	na H H	987	5.87	1:1	7852	6. 8		
Go. to	6668,	19.5	23.8	4036	17/8	24.0	3666		21,8	2096	19.1	12,5 * 2,4	2997	17.5	3.4	16794	19.1	. —	•
Go to	7333	35.7	27.4	7723	34.0	28.9	5064	30.6	18.9	2956	27.0	3.4	3650	21.4	4.2	26726	30.4		
Get a Job	9278	16.9	18.6	4011	17.7	21.4	2973	ار در در	3.4	2497	, 2Z-8	2.8	5746	33.6	36.5	18704	21.3	:/	· · ·
		· ·	\$-I		·	2 1	\	\	Ţ,	•	ار م	`		.6 or	国OTe				
· \					,	•	1	ر د د	· \		\	•		::	**	/ <u>'</u> .		-	**
\mathcal{I}	• `.		1			- "		1			,	/ /			,	'\	•		

?

		/-'		. ,	.· \				χ,		•	,	-,			2	6.	1	
	, 	30103	34.2		25536	29.0		16910	19.2		\	15593	17.1	-	1 .	.88141	100.0	,	· †
	Don't. Know	3136	10.4	3.6	2864	11.2	3.2	1804	10/7	18.4	2.0	5002	12.9	20.5	2.3	9813	11.1	•,	}
	Go to Trade	106	7: 0	0.1	607	1.6	0.5	100	9.0′	43.0	`0.1	150	1.0	19.7	0.2	765	6.9	سوان	
1974.	Study Part-Tim	1255	4.2	29.0 1.4	1241	j.	1.4	834	4.9		6.0	266,	7.9		1,1	4327	6.9	•	
and Plans for 1974.	Go to Nurs- ing School	814	2.7	6.0	827	3.2	6.0	932	2	32.0	1.1	342	2.2	11.7	0.4	2914	3.3		
 Birth Order a	Take Time off	3140	10.4	3.6	2255	8 6	2.6	1355	8.0	17.3	1.5	/ 1101		14.0	1.2	7852	о	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	* *
11:	Go to C.A.A T.	5914	19.6	6.7	4742	18.6	5.4	3023	17.9	18.0	3.4	3151	20.2	18.7	3.6	16830	1361	1	ı
Table I	Go to University	10269	34.1	136.4	8017	31.4	9.1	5291	31.3	19:8	6.0	3186	20.4	11.9	3,6	26762	30.4		
	Get a Job	5469	18.2	6.2	5180	20.3	5.9	3572	21.1	18.9	4:1	4657	29.9	24.7	5.3	18879	21.4	17	X
		/. ·	First	born		Second	**************************************		Third -				\ \ \ \	or more			•		.\
ERIC Partition to patie		1	(·./	/. : `	·(الأمد	.,	} 1 -								,	1

CHAPTER TWO

The Role of Significant Others in Educational and Vocational Decision-Making

An adolescent's intentions and decisions with respect to his future career involves a complex process and depend on interrelated sets of factors. We pointed out in Chapter One that where one starts in society strongly influences where one winds up. But one's initial starting point in a social structure is not the only factor to consider. As people mature they come in contact and interact with an increasing number of different types of people and institutions. This contact or interaction (in combination with initial starting points) facilitate the development of diverse, attitudes and motivations.

It is our premise in this chapter that an adolescents' decision concerning his future career is influenced by contact with a variety of different people. In the case of Grade 12 students we are interested in examining the influence and impact of three categories of people: family peers and school agents.

"To what extent have each of the following people encouraged or discouraged you to continue your education after high school?" We then asked this question "Of the people mentioned above who have encouraged or discouraged you respecting your plans for future education, which of them has had the most impact on your decisions concerning future education and which has had the least." In the following sections we will consider

(I) the impact of various people on Grade 12 students decisions concerning future education and (II) the extent to which family, peers and school agents encourage and/or discourage Grade 12 students concerning their future education.

T

Before discussing the impact of various types of people on Grade 12 students' decisions concerning future educational plans, we should indicate that a relatively large proportion of respondents (over 30%) offered multiple responses to the questions cited above. That is, many adolescents could not single out any one type of person that had the most impact on their decisions regarding future educational plans. Therefore, our discussion is limited to those adolescents that responded to the question in an appropriate manner.

Of the various types of people (i.e. family, peers, school agents)
listed, Grade 12 students mostly perceive that their immediate family has
the most impact on decisions concerning future education; 33.0% selected
mothers, 30.6% selected fathers and 7.0% selected brothers and/or sisters.
This represents over 70% of the total response (excluding multiple responses).

Students who plan on entering nursing schools are particularly susceptible to the influence of their mothers (54.6%) while students. who plan on taking time off are least susceptible (23.8%) (Table 11.1) Fathers play a negligible role in influencing those who plan on nursing

as a career (13.5%) but strongly influence university-bound students (35.6%). But it is also true that mothers have a nearly equal impact (30.8%). Mothers have a stronger impact (36.6%) than fathers (28.7%) on students that plan on entering C.A.A.T.S. Let us remember, however, that in the case of those Grade 12 students who are planning on C.A.A.T.S and nursing, the sex ratio is in favour of females. The impact of sisters and brothers is particularly strong for those adolescents who plan to enter trade schools and apprenticeship programmes (22.0%). The impact of friends in either universities or C.A.A.T.S. is stronger for those adolescents who plan to take time off (10.7%) than for any other grouping. This suggests that the information and advice given by friends may help such adolescents in reaching their decision to take time off before beginning some form of post-secondary education.

It is interesting to note that teachers and guidance counsellors account for only 9.8% of the total impact on future educational decisions. The impact of friends is slightly higher (11.2%) but not significantly so. Our results are essentially consonant with those obtained by Breton for 150,000 Canadian secondary school students drawn from all grade levels. In 1965 Breton found that support from parents is by far the most important source of encouragement for post-secondary education; support from a member of the school faculty ranks next; and support from friends is the least important. Our results would indicate that friends and faculty have almost equal impact.

^{1.} Raymond Breton, Social and Academic Factors in the Career Decisions of Canadian Youth, Manpowed and Immigration, 1972, p. 332.

H

Family

In this section we will deal with the encouragement or discouragement given by mother and father to Grade 12 students concerning their future education. Both sisters and/or brothers' and 'other relatives' are excluded because a high proportion of adolescents either did not know or designated these kin as inappropriate for consideration.

Of those adolescents that intend to enroll in universities, C.A.A.T.S mursing schools, take time off, or pursue part-time studies, over forty percent have received strong encouragement by their mothers to continue their education after high school. On the other hand, 35.7% of those who plan to directly enter the labour force in 1973 or 1974 were actually discouraged from continuing their education. Of those students who are uncertain concerning their future plans, 27.2% claimed that their mothers strongly discouraged them in continuing education after high school. (Table 11.2).

when we turn to a consideration of fathers, Table 11.3 reveals that the 'pattern' of relationships between extent of encouragement and plans for 1974 are essentially similar to that in Table 11.2. It should be noted, however, that fathers are weaker than mothers in their encouragement that daughters enter nursing school. Similarly they are weaker than mothers in their endorsement of part-time students but more strongly discourage entry into trade schools and apprenticeship programmes.

Peers

In this section we will consider the influence of friends outside the context of universities and C.A.A.T.S.; the latter is excluded because a high proportion of adolescents could not identify their influence or felt they were inapplicable to their own particular situation.

Generally friends were more likely to discourage respondents in continuing their education after high school (38.8%) than to encourage (25.8%) (Table 11.4). Those adolescents that plan on entering nursing schools receive stronger encouragement than adolescents who intend adopting other alternatives. Those adolescents that plan to obtain a job, enter a C.A.A.T. or simply don't know what they want in the future are more strongly discouraged by friends to continue their education after high school than adolescents that are choosing alternative routes.

School agents

Grade 12 students see teachers as fairly encouraging with regard to continuing their education after high school; only 22.0% claimed that their teachers discouraged them in any way (Table 11.5). Adolescents that plan on either entering universities, nursing schools, part-time studies or take time off perceived greater encouragement than adolescents in other groupings. In fact 31.2% of those who plan on obtaining a job and 34.7% of those who plan on entering trade schools stated that teachers discouraged them from continuing their education after high school.

The pattern is somewhat similar when guidance counsellors are considered. They too are viewed as fairly encouraging; only 22.3%

of Grade 12 students perceive them as discouraging (Table 11.6). Students planning on nursing careers or on entering part-time studies are more likely to view guidance counsellors as encouraging than students with different plans. Thus, 28.2% of students who plan on taking a job after high school and 29.9% of those planning on entering trade schools felt that their guidance counsellors discouraged them in seeking additional education after high school.

Table II

	•	•	. /	, "							*	•				•	•	,	٠.	•	1		•				•		:	<i>;</i>	•			٠,,		•
	•	20356	33.0		•	18870	30.6	, 	. (4311	ວ໌	•	1907	3.1		, ,	3766	بر. 9	,	, (3155	5.1	1	. 000	2692		•	3170	, . 5. 1.	•		3254	7. 0	•	61687	100.0
1, 100 C	Know	1950	हर 9.6	29.8	7 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2037	10.8	31.15	3,3	364 /	× ×	9.0	223	11.7	3.4	7.0	550	14.6	~ · ·	O	116.	9. 6	4 6	710	177		4.0	439	13.9		• 11	451	•	0	6553	10.6
Trade 1	2613		•	1	·	, ,	, ,	-	-	7.:							,		8.8	***				Andrew Children	?					3		•				
2	ດີ <u>ຕິ</u>		6.4	5.0 8.0		86	0	20.0	0	108	2.5	0.2	0	•	0.0	•/		•	0 0		99	••	3.5	- (- -	ے. د د		0.0	0	0	. •		7.5	ή .	0 0	488	0.8
Study	Part Tîme	1039	5.1	38.5		792	•	•	1.3	177	4.1	, , ,	89	3.6	2.5	0.1	116	3.1	• 4	7.0	H	 	• *	7-0-	~	• (e.0	ıω	•	3 d	• 10		•/	7 14	2700	4.4
Go to Nursell	່ພ	0	5.5	54.6		-277/	<u></u>	13.5	0.4	68 <u>.</u>	7.7 7.7	• 1+	75	•		• •	. 146	•	7. F. C.	Z 0 /	စ	2.1.	7		 		ò	142	6.5	7.0	0.2	133	٠. ٠	• •	2050.	3.3
Toke Time	off	1 ~	•	23.8	- 1	. 1960	7.00.	.36.7	3,2	604	. r.	Ą	151	7.9	2.8	0.2	573	. 15.2	10.7	7.7	368	13.7	••	• 10	כס.		, n	244	. *	•	0.4		7.00	200	5343	8.7
2	C.A.A.T.	4349	21.4	36.6		3409	18.1	٠	54.5		14.0	1,0	i 🖳		1.5	0.3	l (X)		7.4		590 C	18.7		. 10	0 80 L	• 1		ĺΦ	21.1	9,1	1.1.1.4	799	4.0	0. H	11878	19.3
	University	6450	31.7	30.8		7453	39.5	35.6	12,1	1680	0.80	2.7		33.9	3.1	1.0	1048	27.8	0,0	7 · T	. 189	21.6		747	1777	5.5	9.	837	26.4	0.4	1.4	. 969 .	27.0	2. Q.T.	1	33:9
Get a Tob	3	4001	19.7	34.0		2843	15.1	24.2	4.6	. 1881	20.4	4.4	564	29.6	8.4	6.0	451	12.0	φ, r	7-7-7-6	963,	30.5	2.4		9T0	2.12	1.0	655	2017.	٠٠٠		786	7.4.7	8	11759	19,1
			Mot bo	TOCING T			Father				Sigters,	brothers		Other	relatives		Intuerateu	or G.A.A.T				Other	friends			Teachers			Guidance	counsel-	lors		Ocners ''	, , ,		,

مبر۔ ا		•	¢	٠.		. •		•	, 		3	•	,	•	34	•
		35597 41.2	•••	1652 <i>6</i> 19.1		12610 " 14.6		14034	C.01	3529	4.1		4050	0	86345 100.0	·.
	Don't Know	2953 8.3 31.0	3.4	1922 11,6	20.2	1536 · 12.2	16.1	.1941	20.4	645	18.3	6.8 \$ 0.7	517	5.4	9514	*. -
	Go to Trade	148 0.4 9.4	2.0	211 1.3	7.6 0.2	78	10.2 -0.1	.64	1.2	0,0	0.0	0.0	163	21.3	765 0.9	/ .
s for 1974	Study Go Part-Time 7:	1950 5, 5 45, 6					0.6	*	~~. m ~;		3	3.6	150	5		- - - 3
her and Plan	Go to Nurs- ing School	1647- 1) (oʻ		24.1	•	η η η η η η η η η η η η η η η η η η η		0 8.0	7.0	5.0	0.0	81	0 0 v	2848 3.3	
ement by Mothe	rake Tyme	3508	4.1	1269	16.6	1148	15.0	1013	13,3	7.4.	9.6	, 4,15 ,0,4	356 8 8	4.7	7635 8.8	
: ,Encourage	G.A.A.T.	7064	8.2	3656	22.1	2205	133 20 20	2770	19.7	3.2	000 000	2.0	499	3.0	16530 19.1	· 33
Table II:2	Go to University	13901 39.1	16.1	5529 33.5	20.8	3669	13.8	2344	16.7 8.8	2.7	13.5	л. 9.0	712	2.7		•
	Get a Job	12:4	2,4	2460-	13.6	3201	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	4926	35.1	7.5	43.8	∞ H	1571	0 1 8 H	18128	
ندگر راه		Encour-	very much			30		1			Discour-	very much.	Don't	Inappli-		
\mathcal{N}	ę				,	. ,	, •	• •• _{(,,,,,,,}		· *	.,'\					` .

Table II, 3: Encouragement by Father and Plans for 1974

•	: /	/,	<i>:</i>	•	1/	1	1
	1 1	/ ,	ا مدا	•		ſ	
	34040	14836	10780 12.6	14145	4732	6758	85290
Don't Know	3083 /. 9.1 32.5 3.6	1333 9.0 14.0 1.6	1511 .14.0 15.9 1.8	2212 15.6 23.3	405 8.6 4.3 0.5	- 954 · 14.1 10.0 1.1	9499
Go to Trade Schools,	. 148 0.4 20.4 0.2	0.0	134 1.2 18.4 0.2	184 1.3 25.2 0.2	1.4 9.1 0.1	196 2.9 26.8 0.2	729
Study Part-Time	1457 4.3 34.5 1.7	924 6.2 21.9 1.1	644 6.0 15.3 0.8	448 3.2. 10.6	415 8.8 9.8 0.5	332 4.9 7.9 0.4	4219
Go to Nurs- ing School	3.5 ° 7 41.9 1.4	27.2 27.2 0.9	368	246 1.7 8.6 0.3	110 2.3 3.9 0:1	158 2.3 5.5 0.2	2851 3.3
Take Time off	. 3683 10.8 48.2 . 4.3	1266 8.5 16.6	785 7.3 10.3 0.9	849 6.0 11.1 1.0	438 9.3 5.7 0.5	613 9.1 8.0 0.7	7633 8.9.
Go to C.A.A.T.	7088 20.8 44.0 8.3	3313 22.3 20.6 3.9	.1611 14.9 10.0 1.9	2953 20.9 18.3 3.5	,501 10.6 3.1 0.6	652 9.6 4:0 0.8	16116 18.9
Go to University	13801 40.5 . 52.2 16.2	5050 34.0 19.1 5.9	3110 28.8 11.8	2513 17.8 9.5 2.9	767 16.2 2.9 0.9	1199 17.7 4.5 1.4	26441 31.0
Get a Job	.3587 10.5 20.1 4.2	2174 14.7 12.2 2.5	2618 24.3 14.7	4741 33.5 26.6 5.6	l' 	2654 39.3 14.9	17803
	Encouraged	·			Discouraged very much	Don't know or inappli-	, (

	•	•		•	· ~ .		\.//	
		10541	10730	15273	21091 25.5	13.3	13933	82563. 180.0
/ ·	Don't know	817 7.7 8.8 1.0	1042 9.7 11.2 1.3	1476 9.7 15.9 1.8	2416 11.5 25.9	1804 16.5 19.4 2.2	1757 12.6 18.9 2.1	9313
	Go to Trade	33 0.3 0.0		97 0.6 13.3 0.1	206 1.0 28.2 0.2	107 1.0 14.6 0.1	244 1.7. 33.5. 0.3	729
for 1974	i g	681 6.5 16.9	461 4.3 11.4	922 - 6.0 . 22.8 1.1	762 3.6 18.8 0.9	544 5.0 13.5 0.7	673 7 4.8 ~ 16.6 0.8	4044
Encouragement by Friends and Plans for 1974	Go to Nurs- ing School	534 5.1 19.5	590 5.5 21.6 0.7	353 2,3 12.9 0.4	512 2.4 18.7 9.6	308 2.8 11.3 0.4	437 3.1 16.0 0.5	2734 3.3
nt by Friend	.Take Time	784 7.4 10.9	732 6.8 10.2 0.9	1391 9.1 19.3 1.7	1947 9.2 27.1 2.4	842 7.7 11.7 1.0	1496 10.7 20.8 1.8	7193 8.7
Encourageme	Go to C.A.A.T.	2415 22.9 15.2	2058 19.2 13.0 2.5	3065 20.1 19.3 3.7	4547 21.6 28.7 5.5	2103 19.2 13.3 2.5	1655 11.8 10.4 2.0	15843
Table II.4:	. Go to University	3580 3420 14.0	4.3 4286 39.9 16.7 5.2	5770 .37.8 22.5 7.0	5843 27.7 22.8 7.4	1962 17.4 17.4 1.4	7.4235 30.3 16.5 5.1	25615 31.0
T		1697	1519 14.2 8.9	2199 14.4 12.9 2.7	4858 23.0 28.4 5.9		3476 24.9 -20.3 4.2	17094
•	, ,	Encouraged		·		Discour- aged very much	Don't know or ippli-	

· ½ t

36

Table II.5: Encouragement by reachers and Plans for 1974

\mathcal{J}^{\otimes}		,	Ī	. 1	,	• •	•
1/1	18739	16235	14112 17.1	15164	4761.	13311	82320. 100.0
Don't \	1448 7.7 15.5 1.8	1607 9.9 17.2 2.0	1893 - 13.4 20.2 2.3	1804 11.9 19.3 2.2	820 17.2 8.8 1.0	1781 13.4 19.0	9353
Go to Trade	0.0 0.0 0.0	95 0.6 13.7.	187 - 1.3 27.0 0.2	,		1.70 1.3 24.5 0.2	693 : 0.8~
Study Part-Time	1408 7.5 33.0 1.7	1011 6.2 23.7 1.2	490 3.5 11.5 0.6	687 4.5. 16.1 0.8	197 4.1 4.6°	470 3.5 11.0 0.6	4262. 5.2
Go to Nurs- ing School	747 -4.0 27.2 0.9	573 3.5 20.9 0.7	287 2.0 10.4 0.3	- 671 4.4 24.5 0.8	74 1.6 2.7 0.1	.392 2.9 14.3 0.5	3.3
Take Time	1752" .9.4 .24.3	1674 10:3 23.2 2.0	1378 7.9.8 19.1	1280 8.4 17.8	167 3.5 2.3.	. 958 . 7.2 13.3 1.2	7209
Go to "C.A.A.T.	3495 18.7 22.4 4.2	3110 19.2 19.9 3.8.c	2922 20.7 18.7 3.5.	2822 18.6 18.1 3.4	978. 20.6 6.3	2264 17.0 14.5 2.8	15592 18.9
Go to University	6945 37.1 27.2 8.4	5400 33.3 21.1 6,6	4029 28.6 15.8	3870 25.5. 15,2 4,7	1031 21.7 4.0 1.3	.4263 32.0 16.7 5.2	25539 31.0
Get a Job	2943 15.7 17.4 3.6	2765 17.0 16.3 3.4	. 2926 20.7 17.3	3897 25.7 23.0. 4.7	2	3013 22.6 17.8 3.7	16927
	Encour- aged very much		•		Discouraged	Don't know or inappli- cable	

	· /	54.9	•	4350		64	į	13843 >	φ.		4137		15116	.18.3		82464 100.0	,
•	/-	21354 25.9	^	14350	·	13264		138	Ä 		4		15	ਜੋ 		82 1 10	
1974	Don't Know	1639	17.5 2.0	1703	18.2	1661	17.8	1561	11.3	1.9	705	• 1. •	2074	13.7	2.5.	9344	
for	Go to Trade Schools,	42/0	5.8	126	17.3	139	19.1	218	1.8 20 0	0.3	0 0		203	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	27.9	728	<u> </u>
Counsellors and Plans	Study Part-Time	1,390	33.4	948	2248	. 663	16.0	380	2.7	0.5	95.	, v, c	679	4.5	16.3	4155	*
idance Couns	Go to Nurs- Ing School	1073	40.25	425	15.9	335	12.6	554	0.4	20.0	. 31	0.1	, X. 6	1.7	-0.3	2671	
Encouragement by Guidance	Take Time	1866	25.7	1346	18.6	1017	14.0	1067	7.7	14./	346		7 0.3	10.7	22.2	7252	
,	Go to	4625	29.8	3006	20.9 19.3 3.6	2561	16.5	2589	18.7	. 16.7 3.1	877	19.3	T-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	12.4	12.1		•
. Table II.6:	Go to University	7107	. 33.3 27.6 8.6 8.6		.31.4 17.5 .5.5	3937	29.7 15.3	7567	30.7	16.5 5.2	892	19.7	H	33.6	19.7	25772	C• TC.
	Get a Job	3611	21.2	2291	13.5	2951	17.4	3.0	23.2	, 18.9 ₅	1590	35.	1.9	3343	19.7	17004	20.02
110	, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	Encour	'aged' very much	,		,			•	,	Discour	aged very much		Don't .	inappli-	Cable	

ない

CHAPTER THREE

Educational and Vocational Plans as They Relate to Self-Evaluation, Motivations and Future Aspirations

An adolescents' starting place in the social order and his interaction with 'significant others' are important dimensions to consider in understanding educational and vocational behaviour. No less important is the adolescent's comparison of self with peers. Many studies in social science have shown a strong correlation between self concept and educational and/or vocational behaviours.

Our purpose in this chapter is not to explain how favorable or unfavorable self-evaluations are formed but to examine their relationship, if any, to the educational and vocational plans of adolescents in 1974. Grade 12 students were asked two types of questions; the first required the student to evaluate his of her present abilities in comparison with peers. The second type of question required the student to evaluate his or her present academic abilities with reference to some future educational goal (e.g. graduate from a university). In the following section we will examine both areas and refer to specific items in the questionnaire that provide measures of these areas.

Differences among adolescents in their educational and vocational plans may also be traced to variations in motivation. Adolescents that seek to extend their education beyond high school do so for a variety of reasons and the emphasis placed on any one reason may vary

with the type of education. Grade 12 students in this survey were required to assume that they would continue their education after high school.

They were then offered a number of different reasons for continuing education and asked to indicate how important each reason was to them personally.

A second section of this chapter will examine the relationships between emphasis on reasons and educational/vocational plans for 1974.

In a final section the aspirations of Grade 12 students will be related to their actual plans. Is it true that the adolescents' desires coracide with their future educational and vocational plans?

ľ.

A. Present ability in comparison with peers.

Grade 12 students were asked to rate themselves on a number of items; these items include school compared with close friends and classmates; rank in their high school year; opinion of their own work and real abilities. An examination of the relationship between self-ratings (on all items) and plans for 1974 revealed basically similar trends (Tables 111.1 - 111.5).

1974 would appear to possess the most favorable academic self-image while students who plan on entering trade schools or apprenticeship programs have the poorest self-image. For instance, 61.1% of those planning to attend university rate themselves well above or somewhat above average in school ability compared with their classmates while

only 14.3% of those planning on trade schools expressed similar feelings (Table 111.2). It is also true that the academic self-image of university bound students is higher than for C.A.A.T. -bound students. Thus, fully 68.3% of university and only 44.2% of C.A.A.T.S. - bound students rate their own work as well or somewhat above average (Table 111.4). Students who plan to enrol in part-time studies appear to possess an academic self-image similar to students who plan to study at C.A.A.T.S. They do differ in one respect; 55.3% of the latter group and 44.2% of the former group feel that they are well above or somewhat above average in their assessment of own work.

The academic self-appraisal of students who plan to enter the labour force is generally lower than for students planning any other alternative excepting those who intend to enter trade schools. Thus, while only 26.7% of students planning to get jobs, rate themselves as well above or somewhat above average compared with classmates, 61.1% of Grade 12 students planning on university training express similar feelings.

Over two-thirds of Grade 12 students believe that their grades do not match their real abilities. They feel that they could perform much better or better than they actually do. This is especially true for students who plan to take time off in that 45.4% state they could do get much better marks if they wanted. Only 23.6% of those planning to take nursing and 14.2% that plan on entering trade schools share this feeling.

Self-evaluation with respect to future goals

Grade 12 students were also asked to evaluate their chances of graduating from university or C.A.A.T. In addition, they were required to evaluate the liklihood of completing advanced study beyond the bachelor degree level. (Tables 111.6 - 111.8).

Those who are university directed are also quite confident of their ability to graduate from university (93.0%). Students who plan to take time off are next in line in terms of confidence (68.3%) while only 20.2% of those who plan on trade schools feel that they have the academic ability to graduate from university (Table 111.8).

While over ninety percent of students who intend either to enrol in universities or C.A.A.T.S. express confidence in their ability to graduate from a C.A.A.T., only 46.22 of the latter group feel confident in their ability to graduate from a university. The fact that atudents planning on direct entry into the labour force feel more confident concerning their ability to graduate from C.A.A.T.S. (67.5%) than universities (31.3%) lends support to the notion that high school students see higher standards operating in universities than in C.A.A.T.S Further support for this notion is gained when we note that 78.3% of students who plan on entering trade schools believe they have the ability to graduate from a C.A.A.T. (Table 111.6)

Approximately two-thirds of those planning on university feel

the B.A. level; 37.3% of those who plan on taking time off expressed similar feelings. Only 4.7% of students planning on trade schools claimed that they possessed the ability to complete advanced study (Table 11.7).

II. A Reasons for continuing education after high school

'Getting' a satisfying 'ob' is emphasized as a very important reason for continuing education beyond high school by most (77.4%) grade 12 students (Table 111.9). Students who plan on trade schools are least likely to stress the importance of a satisfying job (69.0%). While students who intend to take up nursing as a career are most likely to emphasize this reason in continuing education beyond high school.

Over one third of Grade 12 students would consider a high income job a very important reason for extending their education (Table 111.10).

Noth students who are job oriented and part-time studies oriented are most likely to stress this feature as very important (44.8% and 45.8% respectively) while students who have selected a nursing career are least likely to emphasize this reason (24.0%).

Most students (50.2%) view marriage as a very unimportant reason for pursuing a higher education (Table 111.11). Those who plan on taking time off (56.3%) or enter universities (52.1%) are most likely to dismiss marriage as unimportant while students who are either job-oriented (45.9%), part-time studies oriented (46.0%) or headed for trade-schools (47.0%) are least likely to frown upon this reason.

Learning how to get along with people' is not considered a very important reason for continuing education beyond the high school level (30.2%). Those that are planning on entering trade schools or apprentice—ship programs are least likely (13.0%) to stress this reason as very important (Table 111.22). However, students who have opted for nursing careers (where the stress on patient care is strong) are most likely to emphasize this reason as crucial (49.8%) for entering one's education beyond high school

Over 40.0% of Grade 12 students personally believe that providing the opportunity for self-improvement constitutes a very important reason for enrolling in a post-secondary institution (Table 111.13). Students who plan on nursing as a career (57.2%) and part-time studies (55.5%) are most likely to emphasize the importance of this reason while students who plan to get a job (37.9%) are least-likely to claim that self-improvement is an important reason for seeking a higher education.

It is interesting to note that only 39.6% of students who are planning to enrol in universities stated that being better able to understand and appreciate ideas is a very important reason for obtaining a higher education while over 40.0% of our part time studies, nursing and time-off groups atressed the importance of this reason (Table 111.14).

A majority of grade 12 students consider 'delaying a career choice' as a not at all important reason for seeking a higher education (Table 111.15) However, students who are planning on part-time studies (24.3%) or don't

know what they plan to do (17.2%) are most likely to view this as an important reason; students planning on nursing (5.0%) or trade school (4.7%) are least likely to stress the importance of delaying career choice for continuing their education.

Over 40.0% of all grade 12 students view an increase in status or prestige as an unimportant reason for extending their education beyond high school (Table 111.16). Students planning on nursing (53.5%) and university (47.0%) are most likely to de-emphasize this reason while students planning on trade schools (30.9%) or getting a job (34.6%) are least likely to view an increase in status or prestige as unimportant for continuing their education beyond high school.

B. Thought of taking time off

All grade 12 students were asked the following question: "After you graduate from high school have you ever thought seriously of staying out of school for one or two years, and then going to a college of applied arts and technology, university or other educational institution?"

Table 111.17 reveals that fully 33.1% of all grade 12 students are now considering taking time off for one or two years before continuing their education. Of this 33.1%, 11.0% is comprised of grade 12 students that plan on some form of post-secondary education (e.g. university, C.A.A.T., nursing, trade schools). A greater proportion of students planning to enrol in C.A.A.T.S. are considering taking time off (28.0%) than students

planning on universities (16.3%) It is significant to note that 54.7% of those students who are now unsure of their future plans are seriously thinking of staying out of school for one or two years. This could mean that a majority of "don't knows" will wind up, in one or two years, training in a post-secondary institution.

III. Aspirations of grade 12 students

Grade 12 students were asked what they would <a href="https://linear.com/line

Only 67.7% of students planning to get jobs after high school would actually like to do so; 9.6% would like to enter a trade school, 8.7% would like to take time off and 5.3% would like to enroll in a C.A.A.T.

Those students who are planning to do part-time studies appear least satisfied with decision in that 52.8% would like to select another type of alternative after graduation. Thus, 16.4% would like a full-time job;

16.1% wish to take time off and then enrol in a post-secondary institution;

6.1% desire to enrol in a university and 7.4% would like to attend a C.A.A.T.

Although 27.3% of students who are unsure of their future intentions also don't know what they would like to do after graduation, a large proportion do have aspirations. Thus, 24.5% would like a full-time job, 19.8% wish to take time off, and 18.5% wish to pursue some form of post-secondary education.

Grade 12 students were also asked this question: "If you had your choice what sort of job or occupation would you most like to aim for? Think about what you would like to be doing 15 or 20 years from now."

The responses of students were then categorized using Blishen's occupational rating scale. Blishen one means high occupational prestige and Blishen six stands for low occupational prestige. Table 111.19 represents a crosstabulation of occupational prestige (of students occupational aspirations) and their plans for 1974.

When the occupational prestige of the father's jobs are compared with the aspirations of their children, we observe that Grade 12 students set higher goals for themselves than those obtained by their fathers.

Thus, while fully 52.9% of fathers occupy Blishen five and six, only 14.4% of Grade 12 students aspire to perform comparable occupational roles.

Students who plan on entering university have the highest occupational aspirations in that 71.1% are located in Blishen one and two, 52.1% of those students who intend to take time off also possess similar aspirations. The occupational aspirations of students who plan on either directly entering the labour force, nursing schools or studying parttime is low relative to students with alternative plans (less than 18.0% of these students were classified in Blishen one and two).

•	5868 .	29031 33.0	48093	4663. × 5.3	222 0.3	87878 100.0	•
Don't'. Know	489 8.3 3.0 0.6	2668 9.2 - 27.3 ^c 3.0	5772 12.0 59.0 6.6	848 18.2 8.7, 1.0	0000	9776	
Go to Trade Schpols,	42 . 0.7 5.5 0.0	67 0.2 8.8 0.1	591 1.2 77.3 037	64 1.4 8.4 0.1	0.00	765	·
Study Part-Time	258 4.4 6.0 0.3	1480 5.1 34.2 1.7	2233 4.6 51.6 2.5	355 7.6 8.2 0.4	0.00	4327-	
Go to Nurs- ing Schóol	107 1.8 3.7 0.1	. 792 2.7 27.2 0.9	1805 3.8 61.9 2.1	143 3.1 4.9 0.2	67 30.3 2.3 0.1	2914	
Take Time off	640 10,9 8.1 0.7	2300 7.9 .29.2 2.6	4386 9.1 55.6 5.0	522 11.2 6.6 0.6	36 16.2 0.5 '0.0	7885	
Go to '	589 10:0 3.5 0.7	5262 **. 18.1 31.5	9972 2 0.7 59.7 11.3	809 17:4 4.8 0.9	77 34.6 0.5 0.1	16709 ' 19.0	
Go to University	3241 55.2 12.1 3.7	11640 43.5 13.2	11175 · 23.2 · 41.8 · 12.7	676 14.5 2.5 0.8	0.0	26732 30.4	a ess ess ess ess
Get a Job.	502 8.5 2.7 0.6	4823 4 16.6 25.7 5.5	12159 25.3 64.8 13.8	1245 26.7 6.6 1.4	42 18.9 0.2 0.0	18771	!
• •	Well above average	Somewhat above average	Average	Somewhat below average	Welly below average		3

Table III.2: School Ability Compared to Classmates and Plans for 1974

	. 8112 9.2	29290 .	45311 51.4	5061 .	290	99 0	88128
Don't Know	452 5.6 4.6 0.5	3102 10.6 31.5 3.5	5516 12.2 56.1 6.3	733 14.5 7.4 0.8	37 12.7 0.4 0.0	0.00	9839 11.2
Go to Trade Schpols,	0000	219 0.7 28.7 0.2	478 1.1 62.5 0.5	68 1.3 8.9	000	0000	765
Study Part-Time	360 4.4 6 8.3	1166 4.0 26.9 1,3	2443 5.4 56.5 2.8	358 7.1 8.3 0.4	0.00		4327
Go to Nurs- ing School	156 1.9 5.3 0.2	966 3.3 1.1	1511 3.3 51.8 1.7	214 4.2 7.3 0.2	67 23.2 · 2.3 0:1,	0.0	2914 3.3
Take, Time off '	. 594 7.3 7.6 0.7	2792 9.5 35.6 3.2	3762 8.3 47.9 4.3	588 11.6 7.5 0.7.	46 15.7 0.6	66 100.0 0.8 0.1	7847 8.9
Go to C.A.A.T.	. 747 9.2 4.4 0.8	5468 18.7 32.6 6.2	9324 20.6 55.5 10.6	1224 24.2 7.3 1.4	33 11.3 0.2	0.0	.16797
Go to University	5160 63.6 19.3 ·	11184 38.2 41.8	, 9762 21.5 36.5 11.1	657 · 13.0 2.5 0.7	0.000	0.0	30.4
Get a Job	642 7.9 3.4 0.7	4393 15.0 23.3 5.0	12516 . 27.6 . 66.3 14.2		. 108 37.1 0.6 0.1	0.000	. 18879
4	Well above . average	Somewhat above average	Average	Somewhat , below average	Well below average	Don't know	

Table III.3: Rank in Year and Plans for 1974

	7515	27489 31.2	44941 51.0	7540	653	. 0.1	88203 100.0
Don't Know	279 3.7 2.8 0.3	2479 9.0 25.1 2.8	5702 12.7 57.7 6.5	1271 16.9 12.9:	144 22.1 1.5 0.2	0000	9875
Go to Trade Schools,	£ 0.0	110 0.4 *** 14.4 · ·	582 1.3 76.1	73 1.0 9.6 0.1	0.0	0.000	765
Study Part-Time	251 3.3 5.8 0.3	1258 4.6 29.1 1.4	2402 . 5.3 55.5	, 415 5.5 9.6 0.5	0.00	0.00	4327
Go to Nurs- ing School	291 3.9 10.0 0.3	583 2.1 ·20.0	1769 3.9 60.7 2.0	271 3.6 9.3 0.3	0.0	0.0	2914
Take Time off	. 548 . 7.3 7.0 0.6	2196 8.0 27.9 2.5	4066 9.0 51.6 4.6	858 11.4 10.9 1.0	151 23.1 1.9 0.2	66 100.0 0.8 0.1	7885
Go to C.A.A.T.	825 11.0 4.9 0.9	4790 17.4 28.5 5.4	9508 21.2 56.6 10.8	1641 21.8 9.8 1.9	33 5.0 0.0	0.000	16797 19.0
Go to University	4712 62.7 17.6 5.3	11774 42.8 44.0 13.3	933 20.8 34.9 10.6	3.0 3.0 0.9	139 21.3 0.5 0.2	0.0	30.3
Get a Job	609 8.1 3.2	4298 15.6 22.8 4.9	11580 25.8 61.3 13.1	2206 29.3 11.7	186 28.5 1.0 0.2	0000	18879
	Well above average	Somewhat above average	Average.	Somewhat below average	Well below average	Don't know	_ ×

Table II.4: Opinion of Own Work and Plans for 1974

ر د به	463 6408 7.2 7.3 4.7 0.5	34 37773 4 43.0 6 6	37810 7 43.0 5	5 5458 0 6.2 0	36 429 .3 0.5 .4	2 100.0
Don't	7 . 4 . 0 . 0	3184 8.4 32.4 3.6	5169 13.7 52.5 52.5	985 18.0 10.0	800	9836
Go. to Trade	0000	, 220 °, 0.6 °, 28.8 °, 0.3	544 1.4 71.2 0.6	0000	0000	765
r Study Part-Time	449 7.0 10.4 6.5	1943 5.1 44,9 2.2	1495 4.0 34.5 1.7	322 5.9 7.5 0.4	118 - 27.5 2.7 0.1;	4327
Go to Nurs- ing School	228 3.6 7.8 0.3	$1 \cdots \cdots$	1413 3.7 48.5. 1.6	137 - 2.5 4.7 0.2	000	2914 3.3
Take Time off	421 6.6 5.4 0.5	2989 7.9 38.2 3.4	3506 9.3 44.9 4.0	722 . 13.2 9.2 0.8	178 41.6 . 2.3 .	,7816 ,8.9
Go to C.A.A.T.	632 9.9 3.8		8139 21.5 48.4 9.3	1258 ⁻ 23.1 7.5 1.4	0.00	
Go to University	3421 53.4 12.9	14719 39.0 55.4 18.7	()7294 19.3 27.5 83.3	1016 18.64 3.8 1.2	2 97 22.6 .0.4 0.1	30.2
Get a Job	794 12.4 4.2 0.9	6783 18.0 36.0	10250 27.1 54.4 ··	1017 18.6 5.4	0.00	18844 21.4
•	Well above average	Somewhat above average	• Average	Somewhat below average	Well below average	

Table III.5: Present Grades Compared to Real Ability and Plans for 1974

, a ·	29607 34.2	29137 33.6	22130 - 25.5	4729. 5.5	988	, 41 9.0	86631 100.0
Don't Know	2879 , 9,7 ,30:0	3504 12.0 36.6 4.0	2483 14.2 25.9 2.9	619 13.1 6.5. 0.7	98 9.9 1.0	0000	9584
Go to Trade Scheols,	, 100 , 0.3 . 14.2 0.1	266 0.9	293 1.3 41.8 0.3	42 0.9	0000	0.000	701.
Study Part-Time	1646 5.6	1436 4.9 34.1	896 4.1 21.3	163 3.4 3.9 0.2	76 7.7 1.8 0.1	0.0	4217
Go to Nurs. ing School	670 2.3 23.6 0.8	1034 3.5 36.4 1.2	835 3.8 29.4 1.0	166 3.5 5.8 0.2	135 13.7 4.8 . 0.2	0000	3.3
Take Time off	3492 11.8 45.5 4.0	2038 7.0 26.5 2.4	1979 8.9 * 25.7 2.3	182 3.9 2.4 0.2	0.00	0000	7691 8.9
Go to C.A.A.T.	5777 19.5 34.6 6.7	5795 19.9 34.7 6.7	3971 17.9 23.8 4.6	1019 21.6 6.1 1.2	132 13.3 0.8	0000	19.3
Go to University	9402 31.8 35.6 10.9	9537 32.7 36.1 11.0	6125 27.7 23.2 7.1	954 20.2 3.6	341 34.5 1.3 0.4 ·	41. 100:0 0.2 0.0	26401
Get a Job	5641 19.1 30.5 6.5	5526 19.0 29.9 6.4	5548 25.1 30.0 6.4	Η (C)	206 * 20.8 1.1 0.2	0000	18504
*	Could do much better	Could do	About	Must work somewhat harder	Must work harder	Don't know	

•		35229 41.2		36980	43.3		8086	11.5		2236	5.6	2	1064	1.2	,	112	0.1		85430	100.0
.+1	Don't Know	2827	3.3	4442	12.0	5.2	1995	20.3	20.4 .	275	12.3	2.8	231	21.7	2.4	, O	.00	0 0	9770	11.4
Plan for 1974	Go to Trade	180 0.5	23.5	419	54.8	0.5	991	1.7	21.6 0.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	765	6.0
A.T. and P	Study Part-Tim	1	41.0	2134	5.8 50.1	2.5	. 335	3.4	7.9	43	1.9	1.0	0	0,0	000	Ö	0.0	0.0	4255	5.0.
Graduate from C.A.A.T. and	Go to Nurs- ing School	3.2	38./	1038	35.6	1.2	63-2	9. 9	21.7	98	3,9	0.10	30	2.8	0.0	0	0.0	0 0	2914	3.4
ť, to	Take Time	3420	4.0	3490	9.4	4.1	580	5.9	7.6	113	5.0	0.1,5	33	3.1	4.0	36	32.1	ທ _ິ 0	7673	0.6
Abillity	e Sign	ļ	~7										1			<u> </u>			7	
	Go to	7.983	47.6	8188	22.1. 48.9	9.6	554	5.7	3.3 0.6	30	1.4	.0.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	000	16756	19.6
Table III.6:	Go to Go to University G.A.A,T,	14532 7983	4		34.0 48.9	9.7	62	<u></u>	1.6 0.6	01	٠.	0.1	•		0.0 . 0.0	 	0.0 67.9	0.3	16	
111.6:	tó sity		.1 59.5 4 .0 17.0	55 8314	2.5	5.	3 1362	<u></u>	5.6	101	4.5	7. H.	3	г. К	0.0	 	62.9		79, 7 24419	28.6

Cet a Job Go to Cet to Take Time Go to Nurs Selegols Selegols		^ ^		14101420	40	0 Cochoo	ם כיים דם	107%		•
y 467 8604 959 1442 107 391 0 583 12554 y 3.5 68.5 7.6 11.5 0.9 3.1 0.0 4.6 14.4 0.5 9.9 1.1 1.8 3.7 0.9 3.1 0.0 4.6 14.6 0.0 0.7 0.0 0.5 0.7 0.0 0.7 0.0 0.7 0.0 0.7 0.0 0.7 0.0 0.7 0.0 0.7 0.0 0.7 0.0 0.7 0.0 0.7 0.0 0.7 0.0 0.7 0.0 0.7 0.0 0.7 0.0 0.7 0.0 0.7 0.0 0.7 0.0 0.7 0.0	-	a Job	Go to University	Go to C.A.A.T	Take Time	Go to Nursing School	Study Part-Time	. 0	Don't Know	
Ly 1503 8851 11 17 0.1 0.4 0.0 0.0 0.7 16273 Ly 8.0 2 5.2 14.0 684 684 612 36 1044 18.6 Ly 8.0 2 5.2 14.0 18.8 23.8 14.4 4.7 10.6 Ly 8.0 2 5.2 14.0 18.8 23.8 14.4 4.7 10.6 Ly 8.0 2 5.2 14.0 10.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	Very likely.	467	8604 68,5 32.3	. 959	11.5 18.5	107 0.9 3.7	391 3.1 9.2	1	583 4.6 5.9	12554
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Somewhat		8651 53.2 32.4	2279 14.0 13.9	1461	1 78 8 2 8 8	612	36	1047 6.4 10.6	16273 18.6
cely 30.4 9.5 2.2 1649 913 1169 202 3110 20424 33.1 7.3 32.0 21.2 31.7 27.5 26.4 31.6 7.1 2.2 6.0 1.9 1.9 1.0 1.3 0.2 31.6 7.1 2.2 6.0 1.9 1.9 1.0 1.3 0.2 31.6 7.1 2.2 6.0 1.9 1.9 1.0 1.3 0.2 31.6 7.1 2.2 6.0 1.9 1.9 1.0 1.3 0.2 26.8 2166 7.1 2.1 2.1 8.9 18.4 16.0 35.1 22.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Not sure	4820 20.3 25.7 5.5	6906 29.0 25.9 7.9	4292 18.0 26.2 4.9	2546 10.7 32.7 2.9	646 2.7 22.4 0.7	. 1396 5.9 32.9	258 1.1 33.7 ·	2937 12.3 29.8 3.4	23801 27.3
\$5728 *, 564 3567 690 529 678 268 2166 14191 40.4 4.0 25.1 4.9 3.7 4.8 1.9 15.3 16.3 40.4 4.0 25.1 4.9 3.7 4.8 1.9 15.3 16.3 6.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1872 26660 16375 7787 2879 4246 765 9843 87279 18.8 8.9 3.3 4.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.0 0.0	Unlikely	 - -	1934 9.5 7.3 2.2	5242 25.7 32.0 6.0	1649 8.1 21.2 1.9	913 4.5 31.7 1.0	1169 5.7 27.5 1.3	202 1.0 26.4 0.2	3110 15.2 31.6 3.6	20424 23.4
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$. Most unlikely		, 564 4.0 2.1 0.6	3567 25.1 21.8 4.1	690 4.9 8.9	529 3.7 18.4 0.6	678 4.8 16.0	268 1.9 35.1	2166 15.3 22.0 2.5	14191 16.3
723 26660 16375 7787 2879 4246 765 9843 87279 7.5 30.5 18.8 8.9 3.3 4.9 0.9 11.3 100.0	Don't know	0000	0000	37 100.0 0.2 0.0	0000	0000	0000	0 0 0	0000	37 0.0
			26660	16375 18.8	7787 7 8.9	3.3	4246	765	9843 .	

•		19866 22.7	32192	21308	10812	3177	87353 100.0
,	Don't Know	1397 7.0 14.3 1.6	2943 9.1 30.1	3427 16.1 35.1 3.9	1377 12.7 14.1 1.6	633 19.9 6.5 0.7	9776 11.2
or 1974	Go to Trade Schools,	0000	154 0.5 20.2 0.2	371 1.7 48.5 0.4	240 2.2 31.4 0.3	0000	765
and Phans	Study Part-Time	729 3.7 12.5 0.8	1639 °. • 5.1 39.3 1.9	.1184 5.6 28.4 1.4	511 4.7 12.3 0.6	108 3.4 2.6 0.1	4171 . 4.8
ility to Graduate from University and Plang for 1974	Go to Nurs- ing Schoof	487 2.4 16.9 0.6	1081, 3.4 37.5 1.2	918 4.3 31.9 1.1	335 3.1 11.6 0.4	61 1.9 2.1 0.1	3.3
Graduate fr	Take Time, off	2284 11.5 29.4 . 2.6	3029 9.4 38.9 33.5	1873 8.8 24.1 2.1	564 5.2 7.2 0.6	32 1.0 0.4 0.0	7781 8.9
ility to (Go to C.A.A.T.	1446 7.3 8.8 1.7	6168 19.2 37.4 7.1	.5039 23.7 30.6 5.8	2895 226.8 17.6 3.3	933 29.4 5.7 1.1	16483
III.8: Ab	· Go to University	12380 62.3 46.4 14.2	12439 38.6 46.6 14.2	1773 8.3 6.6 2.0	100 0.9 0.4 0.1	0.00	26691 30.6
Table	Get a Job	1143 5.8 6.1 1.3	4739 - 14.7 25.2 5.4	·6722 31.5 ·′ 35.7 7.7	4790 44.3 25.5 5.5	. 1410 44.4 7.5 1.6	18804
	; I	Yes, definite ly	Yes, proba- bly	Not sure either. way	Proba- bly not	Definite 1y not	,

Table III.9: Importance of Satisfying Job and Plans for 1974

•				u		57.
-	67955	12557 14.3	4607	1364	1273	87755
Don't Know	7115 10.5 72.8 8.1	1660 13.2 17.0 1.9	13.9	10.4 1.4 0.2	219 17.2 2.2 0.2	9778
Go to Trade Schools,	, 528 , 0.8 69.0 0.6	204 1.6 26.7 0.2	33	0000	0000	765
Study Part-Time	3312 4.9 77.1 3.8	703 5.6 16.4 0.8	0.9	33 2.4 0.8 0.0	206 ,16.2 4.8	4294
Go to Nurs-	2477 3.6 85.0 2.8	299 . 2.4 10.3 0.3 "	68 1.5 2.3 0.1	40 2.9 1.4 0.0	30 2.4 1.0 0.0	2914 3.3
Take Time off	5696 8.4 72.9 6.5	, 1023 8.1 13.1 1.2	790 17.2 10.1	94 6.9 1.2 0.1	213 16.7 2.7 0.2	7817
Go to	13776 20.3 81.9 15.7	2144 17.1 12.7 2.4	471 10.2 2.8	336 24.7 2.0 0.4	102 8.0 0.6 0.1	16829 •
Go to University	21372 31.5 80.0 24.4	. 3545 28.2 13.3 4.0	1195 25:9 4.5 1.4	308 22.6 1:2 0.4	282 22.2 1.1 : 0.3	26702
get a Job	13679 20.1 73.3 15.6	2979 23.7 16.0 3.4	1367. 29.7 7.3 1.6	411 30.2 2.2 0.5	220 17.3 1.2 0.3	18657 21.3
	Very important				Not at all important	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

						1. 1. 2	
		31972	29031	16661	5233	4.831	87378 100.0
	Bon t Know	3208 10:0 33.3	3228 11.1 33.5 3.7	1968 11 . 8 20. 4	542 10.4 5.6 0.6	699 15.6 7.3 0.8	9646
1974	Go to Trade	3.238 0.7 31.1 0.3	325 1.1 42.5 0.4	202 1.2 26.4.	0000	0.0	765
Plans for	Study Part-Time	1952 .6.1 .6.1 2.2	953 3.3 22.4	681 4.1 16.0 0.8	378 7.2 8.9 0.4	296 6.6 7.0 0.3	4261,
ome, Job and	Go to Nurs-	2, 2 24, 0 9, 8		645 3.9 22.4 \$0.7	328 6.3. 11.4	171 3.8 5.9	2878
of High Inc	Take Time	2602 8.1 33.3 3.0	2395 8.3 30.6 2.7	1623 9.7, 20.8 1.9	426. 8.1 5:4 0.5	770 17.2 9.9	7817
Importance	Gorto	6329 19.8 37.8 7.2	5958. 20.5. 35.6. 6.8	3037 18.2 18.2	759. 14.5 4.5 0.9	14.4 3:9 0.7	16729
Table III.10;	Go to University	8593 26.9 32.3 9.8	9120 31.4 34.3 10.4	5412 32.5 20.3 6.2	2104 7.9 7.9 2.4	3372 30.6 5.2 1.6	26601
. Tabl	Get a Job	8360. 26.1. 44.8	.6007 20.7 32.2 6.9	3093 18.6 16.6	695. 13.3 3.7 0.8	526 11.7 2.8 0.6	18682
		Very important				Not at all important	

Table III:11: Importance of Getting Married and Plans for 1974

4	4871	8029 9.3	16286 18.8	13908	43373 50.2	86467 100.0
Don't Know	452 452 458 0.5	736 9.2 7.8 0.9	1915 11.8 20.2 2.2	1419 10.2 15.0	4969 11.5 52.4 5.7	9491: 11.0
Go to Trade	64 1.3 8.4 0.1	31 .0.4 4.1 0.0	277 1.3 36.2 0.3	.33 0.2 0.0	360 0.8 47.0	765.
Study Part-Tim	.502 10.3 11.9	360 4.5 8.5 0.4	751 4.6 17.8 0.9	668; 4.8 15.8 0.8	1943 4.5. 46.0 2.2	4225
Go to Nure-	167 3.4 5.8 0.2	339 4.2 11.8 0.4	493 3.0 17.1 0.6	2.8 2.8 13.5 0.5	1490 3.4 51.8	2878.
Take Time	380 7.8 4.9 0.4	5.04 6.3 6.5 9.6	1398 8.6 18.1 1.6	1097: 7.9 14.2	4360 10.1 56.3 5.0	9.0
Go to C.A.A.T.	900° 18.5 5.4 1.0	1875 23.4 11.3 2.2	3243 1949 19.5	2519. 18.1: 15.2	8065, 18.6 48.6 9.3	16603
Go to University	1897 18.4 3.4	2459 30.6 9.3 2.8	4758 29.2 18.0 5.5	4526 32.5 17.2 5.3	13750 31.7 52.1 15.9	26390
Get a Job	1509 31.0 8.2 1.7	1723 21.5 9.4 2.0	3452 21.2 7 18.8 4.0	3256 23.4 17.7, 3.8	.8436 19.4 45.9 9.8	18376
	Very important				Not at all	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

with People and Plans for 1974 Table III.12

, ,	26294	30.9	20108	8300 9.5	5567	87201-
e Don't Know	23.55 9.0 24.5 2.7	.2992 11.1 31.1 3.4	2431 12.1 25.3 2.8	1109_ 13.4 11.55 1.15	728 13.1 7.6 0.8	9613 11.0
Go to Trade Schools,	96 0.4 13.0 0.1	238 0.9 32.5 0.3)	251 1.2 34.2 0.3	70 0.8 9.6 0:1	78 1.4 10.6 0.1	233
Part-Time	1414 5.4 33.5 1.6	1373 5.1 32.5	813 4.0 19.3 0.9	343. 4.1 8.1	281 5.1 6.7 0.3	4225
Go to Nurs- Ing School	1451 5.5 49.8 1.7	963 \3.6 33.1 1.1	294 1.5 10.1 0.3	173 2.1 6.0 0.2	33, 0.6 `` 1.1 0.0	3.3
Take Time,	2027 7.7 26.0 2.3	2483 9.2 31.9 2.8	2047 10.2 26.3 2.3	760	469 8.4 6.0 0.5	8.9
Go to	4945 18.8 29.7 5.7	21.0 · 34.0 · · · 6.5	3438 17.1 20.6 3.9	1630 ' 19.6 9.8 1.9	. 970 17.4 5.8 1.1	19.1
Go to University	8135 30.9 30.6 9.3	8477 31.5 31.8 9.7	6212 30.9 23.3 7.1	2409 29.0 9.1	1389 25.0 5.2 1.6	30.5
Get a Job	5872 22,3 31.5 6.7	4737 17.6 .25.4 5.4	4623 23.0 °. 24.8 °. 5.3	1806 21.8 9.7 2:1	.1619 29.1 8.7 1.9	21.4
	Very important		,		Not at all importan	·

able III.13: Importance of Self-Improvement and Plans for 1974

		•	•	. •	
38511 44.1	- 29861 34.2	- 12903 14.8	3730	2236	87240 100.0
4147 10.8 43.0 4.8	3003 10.1 31.2	1829 14.2 19.0 2.1	297 8.0 3.1	358 16.0 3.7 0.4	9635
304 · 0.8 0.8 41.4 0.3	274 0.9 37.3 0.3	84 0.7 11.5 0.1	36 1.0 4.9 0.0	36 1.6 5.0 0.0	733
2366 6.1, 55.5 2.7	1079 3.6 25.3 1.2	33.1 9.4 0.5	313 8.4 7.4 0.4	102 4.6 2,4 0.1	4261
1666 4.3 \$\sqrt{57.2} 1.9	928 3.1 31.9	243 1.9 8.4 0.3	42 1.1 1.4, 0.0	34 1.5 1.2 0.0	3.3
3217 8.4 41.3 3.7	2660 8.9 34.2 3.0	• 1331 10.3 17.1 1.5	288 7.7 3.7 0.3	290, 13.0 3.7 0.3	7785 8.9
7726 20.1 46.1 8.9	5551 18.6 33.1 6.4	2293 17.8 13.7 2.6	712, 19.1	476 21.3 2.8 0.5	16759
12054 31.3 45.3 13.8	9877 (33.1 37.1 11.3	3195 24.8 12.0 3.7	1129 30.3 4.2 1.3	345 15.4 1.3 0.4	26600
7030 18.3 37.9. 8.1	6488 21.7 35.0 7.4	3526 27.3 19.0 4.0	913 24.5 4.9 1.0	26.6 3.2 3.2 0.7	18553
Very Importan				Not at all important	
	7030 12054 7726 3217 1666 2366 304 4147 18.3 37.9 45.3 46.1 41.3 7.57.2 55.5 41.4 43.0 8.1 13.8 8.9 3.7 1.9 2.7 0.3 4.8	7030 12054 7726 3217 1666 2366 304 4147 18.3 31.3 20.1 8.4 4.3 6.1 0.8 10.8 18.3 37.9 45.3 46.1 41.3 57.2 55.5 41.4 43.0 8.1 13.8 8.9 3.1 3.6 0.9 10.1 35.0 37.1 33.1 34.2 31.9 25.3 37.3 31.2 7.4 11.3 6.4 3.0 1.1.	7030 12054 7726 3217 1666 2366 304 4147 18.3 31.3 20.1 8.4 4.3 6.1 0.8 10.8 10.8 10.8 110.8 45.3 45.3 46.1 61.3 7.57.2 55.5 41.4 43.0 43.0 6488 9877 5551 2660 928 1079 274 3003 21.7 33.1 33.1 34.2 31.9 25.3 37.3 31.2 37.3 31.2 35.6 3195 2293 13.1 243 400. 84 11.5 12.0 13.7 17.1 8.4 9.4 11.5 11.5 12.0 3.7 2.6 11.5 0.3 3.1 8.4 11.5 12.0 3.7 2.6 11.5 0.3 0.5 0.1 2.1	7030 12054 7726 3217 1666 2366 304 4147 18.3 31.3 20.1 8.4 4.3 6.1 0.8 10.8 10.8 11.8 37.9 45.1 20.1 8.4 4.3 6.1 0.8 10.8 10.8 10.8 11.8 8.9 37.7 5551 2660 928 1079 274 3003 21.7 21.7 33.1 18.6 8.9 37.1 33.1 34.2 31.9 25.3 37.3 31.2 35.0 37.1 33.1 34.2 11.1 24.3 400. 84 1829 10.1 27.3 27.3 27.8 10.3 11.9 3.1 0.3 31.2 19.0 12.0 13.7 17.1 8.4 9.4 11.5 19.0 14.2 19.0 14.2 288 42.5 30.3 31.2 11.2 77.7 11.1 8.4 11.0 4.0 0.8 1.0 0.9 0.9 0.9 3.1 11.0 0.8 1.0 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 11.0 0.9 11.1 1.1 8.4 11.0 0.0 0.8 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.9 0.3 3.1 11.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	y 7030 12054 7726 3217 1666 2366 304 4147 577 571 18.3 4.3 4.3 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1

. Understanding Ideas Better and Plans for 1974

	32856 37.6	30744 35.2	16041	4935 5.6.	3.2	87397 100.0
Don't	3306 10:1 34.3 3.8	3460 111.3 35.9 4.0	1888 11.8 19.6 :	539 10.9 5.6 0.6	444 15,7 4.6 0.5	9637 8
Go to Trade Schools,	240 0.7 31.4 0.3	. 282 0.9 36.9	104 0.6 13.6 0.1	103 21 13.4 0.1	36 1.3 4.7 0.0	765
Study Part-Time	2011 6.1 47.2 2.3	1240 4.0 29.1	636 . 4.0 14.9 0.7	. 161 3.3 3.8 . 0.2	212 7.5 5.0 0.2	4261
Go to Nursting School	0293 . 3.9 .44.4. 1.5	838. 2.7 28.8 1.0	649 4.0 22.3 0.7	134 2.7 4.6 0.2	0 0 0	2914 ; 3.3*
Take Time	3468 10.6 44.5 4.0	2589 8.4 33.3	1219 7.6 15.7 v	369 7.5 4.7 0.4	140 5.0 1.8 0.2	8.9
Go to C.A.A.T.	5787 17.6 34.5 6.6	6280 20.4 37:5 7.2	3291 20.5. 19.6	918 18.6 5.5 1.1	, 484 17.1 2.9 0.6	16760
Go to University	10574 32.2 39.6 12.1	9561. 31.1 35.8.	4616 28.8 17.3 5.3	1353 27:4 5.1 1.5	598 21.2 2.2 0.7	267.03
Get a Job	6177 18.8 33.3 ,7,1	6493 21.1 35.0 7.4.	3637 22.3 19.6 4.2	1358 27:5 7.3 1.6	907 32.2 4.9	21.3
	Very important				Not at all important	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

Table III.15: Importance of Delaying Career Choice and Plan's for 1974.

,		•	•						
	Get a Job	Go to University	Go to C.A.A.T.	Take Time	Go to Murs-	Study Part-Time	Go to Trade	Don't Know	.,
	814	855	i	334	89	284	0.	5.2k	- 1573 -
Very	23.0	24.1	18.6	* 4.6	1.9	8	0.0	14.9	4.1
1mportant	4.4	. 3.2	. 0. 4	4.4	2.4	8.9	0.0	5,5	!
•	6.0	1.0	8.0	, 0.4	0.1	0.3	0.0	9.0	•
	1591	1900	1146	777	73	732		1121	7876
•	21.6	25.8	15.5	10.5	1.0	6.6	. 0.5	15.2	, w
•	8.6	. 7.2	6.9	10.2	2.6	17.5	4.7	11.7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	1:8	2.2	٠ ٢٠	6.0	1.0.	8. 0	0.0	1.3	
	2598	3254	2046	1444	315	457	75	1621	11810
	22.0	27.6	17.3	. 12.2	2.7	3.9	9.0	13.7	13.6
,	14.0	12,3	12.3	18.9	11.3	10.9	· 8.6	16.9) - -
	3.0	3.8	2.4	1.7	7.0	0.5	0.1	1.9	•
- -	317	. 4952	3191	1389	482	327	200	2283	15007
	19.8	31.0	19.9	8.7	3.0	2.0	1.2	14.3	18.5
	17.1	7 18.7	19.2	18.2	17.2	7.8	26,1	23.8)
,	3.7	5.7	3.7	1.6	. 9.0	7.0	0.2	2.6	*
, CX	10405	15465	9571	3689	1862	2386	454	4061	7847
	21.7	32.3	20.0	7.7	3.9	5.0	6.0		55.3
important	56.0	58.5	. 9. 75	48.3	66.5	57.0	59.4	42.2)
		17.9	11.1	4.3	2.1	2.8	0.5	4.7	
	18580	26426	16614	7634	2800	4186	765	9613	86617
,	21.5	30.5	19.2	8.8	3.2	4.8	6.0	11.1	100.0
		,				(
•	-	-		- }	· 	•••			1
	•	_							•

§ 63

	}	6795 7.8	.0105	5672 18.1	63 • 5	, 00	. 35 .0
1974			10105	15672	16063	38100 43.9	86735 100.0
ns for	Don't Know	467 6.9 4.9 0.5	1118 11.1 11.6 11.6	2013 12.8 21.0 2.3	1495 9.3 15.6 1.7	4507 11.8 46.9 -5.2	9600
atus and Pla	Go to Trade Schools,	69 1.0 9.0	147 1.5 19.2 0.2	140 0.9 18.3.	172 1.1 22.5 0.2	236 0.6 30.9 0.3	765
stige or St	Study Part-Time	616 9.1 14.6 0.7	. 681 6.7 16.2 0.8	581 3.7 13.8 0.7	,629 3.9 14.9 0.7	4.5 40.5 2.0	4215 4.9
Increasing Prestige or Status and Plans for 1974	Go to Nurs- ing School	173 2.5 6.0 0.2	345 3.4 12.0 0.4	386 2.5 13.4 0.4	435 2.7 15.1 0.5	1540 4.0 53.5 1.8	3.3
Importance of Ir	Take Time off	325 4.8 4.2 0.4	888 8.8 11.5	1229 7.8 16.0 1.4	1599 10.0 20.8 / 1.8	3663 9.6 47.5 4.2	7705
.91	Go to. C.A.A.T.	830 12.2 5.0 1.0	2017 20.0 12.1 2.3	2603 16.6 15.7	3550 22.1 21.4 4.1	7610 20.0 45.8 8.8	16610
Table III.	Go to University	2374 34.9 9.0 2.7	2276 ,22.5 8.6 2.6 ₹	, 4251 27.1 16.1 4.9	5116 31.9 19.3 5.9	12434 32.6 .47.0 .14.3	26452
	Get a Job	1941 28.6 10.5 2.2	2632 26.1 14.2 3.0	4469 . 28.5 24.1 5.2	3066 19.1 16.6 3.5	6402 16.8 34.6 7.4	18510
		Very important				Not at all important	

ERIC

29.7 37.2 33.1 100.0 Don't Know 8.6 22.9 22.9 6.7 22.4 22.5 18.4 54.7 6.1 Thought of Taking Time Off and Plans for 1974 Go to Trade Schools, 1.2 41.2 0.4 1.0 41.6 0.4 0.9 0.5 17.2 0.1 Study Part-Time 4.4 33.4 1.6 6.8 46.1 2.3 3.4 20.5 1.0 Go to Nurs-ing School 3.2 29.0 1.0 1.9 19.1 0.6 4.6 51.9 1.7 29±4 3.3 Take Time. 0.4 1.3 0.1 0.7 2.7 0.2 25.9 96.0 8.6 8.9 Table III.17 Go to C.A;A.T. 19.0 15.5 24.2 4.6 24.5 47.7 9.1 4704, 16.1 28.0 5.3 Gorto University 86.8 36.0 39.0 47.7 14.5 30.4 15.0 16.3 5.0 Getra Job 19.1 33.3 7.1 30.9 43.0 9.2 15.3 23.7 5.1 against it Yes, decided

Yes

Table III.18: Aspirations and Plans for 1974

		10011	<u> </u>	A COPPERATOR OF THE PERSON OF					
! !	Çet a Job	Co to University	Go to C.A.A.T.	Take Time off	Go to Nurs- ing School	Study Part-Time	Go to Trade Schools,	Don't Know	
Full- time of	12,690 76.2 -67.7 14.4	149 0.9 0.6 0.2	199 1.2 1.2 0.2	335 / 2.0 4.3 0.4	133 0.8 4.6 0.2	704 4.2 16.4 0.8	36 0.2 - 4.8 - 0.0	2412 14.5 24.5 2.7	16658 18.9
Time off	1624 9.2 8.7 1.8	2757 15 10.3 3.1	3768 21.3 22.4 4.3	6730 38.0 86.1 7.7	164 0.9 5.6 0.2	693 3.9 16.1 0.8	0 0.0 9.0 0.0	1952 11.0 19.8 2.2	17689 20.1
University	131	22892 92.9 85.5 26.0	708 2.9 4.2 0.8	36 0.1 0.5 0.0	45 0.2 1.5 0.1	261 1.1 6.1 0.3	0 0.0 0.0 0.0	574 2.3 5.8 0.7	24647 28.0
C.A.A.7.	993 7.7 5.3 1.1	111 0.9 0.4 0.1	10708 83.1, 63.8 12.2	0 0.0 0.0 0.0	105 0.8 3.6 0.1	319 2.5 7.4 0.4	0 0.0 0.0 0.0	646 5.0 6.6 0.7	12882 14.7
Nursing school	291 9.7 1.6 0.3	37 1.2 0.1 0.0	230 7.7 1.4 0.3	0 0.0 0.0 0.0	2367 79.0 81.2 2.7	0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	72 2.4 0.7 0.1	2997 3.4
Trade school	1970 . 49.3 9.6	30 Q.8 0.1 0.0	202 5.6 1.2 0.2	107 2.9 1.4 0.1	37 1.0 1.3 0.0	207 5.7 4.8 0.2	728 20.0 95.2 0.8	532 14.6 5.4 0.6	3634 4.1
Part-time study- full-time work	170 7.6	99	407 • 18.2 2.4 0.5	43 1.9 0.6 0.0	33 1.5 1.1 0.0	1031 *46.2 24.0	0 0.0 0.0 0.0	450 20.2 4.6 0.5	2233 2.5
Part-time study ' part-time work	137 7.3	415 22.3 1.6 0.5	209 11.2 1.2 0.2	30 1.6 0.4 0.0	0 0.0 0.0	995 53.5 23.2 1.1	0 0.0 0.0	74 4.0 0.8 0.1	1860 2.1
Travel before work	284 34.5 1.5 0.3	8.1 0.2 0.1	5.6 0.3 0.1	80 9.8 1.0 0.1	32 3.9 1.1 0.0	0.0	0,0. 0,0. 0,0	314 -38.4 -3.2 0.4 -133	822 0.9 519
"Other	175 33.8 0.9 0.2 456	8.1 0.7 0.0 165	98 18.5 0.6 0.1 218	13.6 0.9 0.1 380	0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0	25.7 1.4 0.2 2683	0.6
Don't know	11.4 2.4 0.3	4.1 0.6 0.2	5.5 1.3 0.7	9.5 4.9 .0.4	0.0 0.0 -0.0	2.2 2.0 0.1 4296	0.0 0.0 0.0	67.3 27.3 3.1	87927
	18740	26764	16793	7813 8.9	3.3	4.9	0.9	11.2	100.0

ERIC Pruit Box Provided by ERIC

Table III.19: Prestige of Job Aspiration and Plans for 1974

·	23104 29.1	10913	18770 23.6	19.1	9740 12.3	1711 2.2,	79415 100.0
Don't Know	1631 7.1 20.6 20.1	1 00 • • • 1	2114 i1.3 26.7 2.7	1583 10.4 20.0 2.0	1389 14.3 17.5	214 12.5 32.7 0.3	7916
Go to Trade - Schools,	31 6.1 0.0	36 .3 .8	۸	. 197 1.3 25.7 0.2	\sim \sim \sim	42 2.4 5.5 0.1	765
Study Part-Time	828 3.6 22.1 1.0	381 3.5 10.2 0.5	850 4.5 22.6 1.1	911 ;- 6.0 24.3 1.1	716 7.4 19.1 0.9	3.8 1.8 0.1	3752
Go to Nurs- ing School	177. 0.8 6.4 0.2	. 99 0.9 3.6 0.1	. 6	2400 15.8 · · 86.6 3.0	30 .1.1 0.0	0.00	2771
Take Time off	2234 9.7 32.3 2.8	1369 12.5 19.8 1.7	1425 7.6 20.6 1.8	875 ° 5.8 12.6	914 9.4 13.2 1.2	107 6.2 1.5	6923
Go to C.A.A.T.	3148 13.6 20.3 4.0	2606 23.9 16.8 3.3	5040 26.9 32.5 6.3	3396 · 22 · 4 21 · 9 4 · 3 ·	1190 12.2 7.7 1.5	137 8.0 0.9 0.2	15517 19.5
Go to University	13533 58.6 54.9 17.0	3998 36.6 16.2 5.0	3961 21.1 16.1 5.0	1848 12.2 7.5	1122 11.5 4.6 1.4	169 9.9 0.7 0.2	24633
Get a Job	1520 6.6 8.9 1.9	1438 13.2 8.4 ~	5281 28.1 30.8 6.7	3969 26.1 23.2 5.0	3954 40.6 23.1 - 5.0	976 57.1 5.7 1.2	17139 21.6
`	Blishen	Blishen	-Blishen three	Blishen	Blishen five	Blishen six	**

CHAPTER FOUR

The Relationship of Academic Performance and Attitudes to Future Educational and Vocational Plans

Success or failure in a school system is measured, in large part, by how well or poorly adolescents perform in courses. Grades offer teachers and administrators a means of processing students within a school and placing these students into the larger society. Grades are no less important to students in that they provide 'benchmarks' for self-placement and aid in clarifying (or shaping) educational and vocational horizons.

In this chapter we will examine the relationship of grades obtained in Grade 11 and students' plans for 1974. In addition, we will also examine the relationship of expected grades (in Grade 12) and students' intentions for 1974. A final section will explore the association between 'attitudes toward high school education' and future plans.

Students were asked, "What were <u>most</u> of your grades or marks last year? (or the last year you were in school?)." Table IV.1 reveals that 41.2% achieved averages of B or more, 47.3% achieved averages in the C range and 11.4% obtained less than a C average. When the relationship of <u>expected</u> grades and plans for 1974 is analyzed we can observe (Table IV.2) that the percentage distribution are essentially similar to-those in Table IV.1.

Grade 12 students who are planning to enrol in universities obtain the highest average grades relative to any other group; 64.2% achieved a grade point average of B or more in grade 11 and expected to do as

well in grade 12. Students who either intend to work after graduation or enter trade schools do not fare as well in that under 25.0% achieve grade point averages of B or more. We may also distinguish university and C.A.A.T. oriented students with respect to grades. Only 33.1% of the latter group achieved averages of B or more in grade 11. Students who are planning to take time off before enrolling in post secondary institutions fall somewhere in the middle with respect to university and 'C.A.A.T.' high school students; 39.1% obtained averages of B or more in grade 11.

experience. Does high school help or hinder students in preparing for the future? Critics of the secondary school system will be perplexed when they learn that only 5.6% of Grade 12 students felt that their experiences in school had a strong negative influence in preparing them for the future. Over 80.0% claimed that their experiences prove helpful or very helpful in preparing for the future. (Table IV.3) University directed students were most likely to endorse high school (89.4% felt high school was helpful or very helpful) while students who intend to take time off (76.2% feel high school was helpful or very helpful) and students who are unsure of their future plans (77.0% stated that high school was helpful or very helpful) were less likely to endorse high school.

Table IV. 1: Grades Last Year and Plans for 1974

••	7509	28111 32.5	40936	9882	38	86475
Don'r Know	.392 5.2 4.1	2724 9.7 28.6 3.2	4753 11.6 49.9	1652 16.7 17.4 1.9	0000	9522 11.0
60 to Trade Schools,	0.00	8 7 9 6	434 3.1 56.7 0.5	143 1.4 18.7 0.2	0000	765
Study Part-Time	147 2.0 3.4 0.2	1257 4.5 29.3 1.5	2285 5.6 53.3 2.6	596 6.0 13.9 0.7	0.00	. 4285 5.0
Go to Nurs- ing School	268 3.6 9.2 0.3	832 3.0 28.5 1.0	1608 3.9 55.2 1.9	206 2.1 7.1 0.2	* 0.0 0.0	3.4
Take Time off	686 9.1 8.9	2313 8.2 30.2 2.7	3510 8.6 45.8 4.1	1160 11.7 15.1 1.3	0.0	8.9
Go to C.A.A.T.	385 5,1 7,3 0.4	5080 18.1 30.8 5.9	8692 21.2 52.7 10.1	23.7 23.7 14.2	0.0	19-1
Go to (University	5101 67.9 19.2 5.9	11920 42.4 45.0 13.8	8498 20.8 32.1 9.8	953 9.6 3.6	38 100.0 0.1	26510 30.7
Get-a Job	530 7.1 2.9 0.6	3797 13.5 20.7 4.4	11157 27.3 60.9 12.9	2830 28.6 15.5 3.3	0.0	18313 21212
-	80 +	70-79	60-69	59 and Tess	D.K.	

Table IV.2: Expected Grades this Year and Plans for 1974

•	· ·	,				·//1.
•	-8087	. 28987 33.3	38587-44.4	11268 I3.0	38,	86966
Don't Know	.463 5.7 4.8 0.5	2691 9.3 28.1 3.1	4810 12.5 50.1	1630 14.5 17.0 1.9	0000	11.0
Go to Trade Schools,	0.00	157 0.5 20.5 0.2	468 1.2 61.2 0.5	1.2 1.2 18.3 0.2	0000	765
Study Part-Time	232 2.9 5.4 0.3	1257 4.3 29.0	2172 5.6 50.2 2.5	665 5.9 15.4	0.0	4327 5.0
Go to Nurg-	197 2.4 6.8 0.2	985 3.4 34.2	1480 3.8 51.4 1.7	216 1.9 7.5	0.000	2878
Eake Time	628 7.8 8.1 0.7	2254 7.8 29.1 2.6	3526 9.1 45.5 4.1	1338 11.9 17.3 1.5.	0000	7745
Go to C.A.A.T.	750 9.3 4.5. 0.9	5210 18.0 31.1 6.0	8480 22.0 50.6 9.8	2325 .20.6 .13.9 2.7	0000	16764
Go to University	5228 64.7 19.7 6.0	11923 41.1 45.0 13.7	8260 21.4 31.2 9.5	1064 9.4 4.0 1.2	38 100.0 0.1	26513
Get a Job	589 7.3 3.2 	4511 15.6 24.5 .5.2	9391 24.3 51.1 10.8	3889 34.51 21.2 4.5	0.00	18380
•	\$ 08	.62-02	69-09	59 and less	D.K.	

		pon't know «	Not helpful	No influence	Helpful.	Very helpful	
	18715 21:4	235 18;3 0 3	942 1941 5,0	1757 22.2 9.4 2.0	10390 21.9 55.5 11.9	5391 20.7 28	Get a Job
•	26657	336 26.1 1.3	958 19.4 .3.6	1531 19.4 5.7 9.7	14337 30.3 53.8 16.4	9/95 36.4 35.6 10.8	Table iv.3: Go to University
	18690 19.1	126 9.8 0.8 0.1	• 1169 23.7 7.0'	2777 22.5 10.6 2.0	8872. 18.7 53.2	.4746 18.2 28.4 5,4	Go to
, , ,	7764 8.9	294 22,9 3.8 3.8	743 15.1 9.6 0.8	.814 10.3 10.5 .0.9. ;	3920 8.3 50.5	1993 7.6 7.7 25.7	H H I
.`·	2914 3.3	0.0	(36 0.7 1.2. 0.0	398 5.0 13.7	1528 3.2 52.45 7.1.79	953 3.7 32.7 31.1	ake Time Go to Nurs- off ing School
>	4327 4.9	70 5.4 1.6	260 5.3 6.0 0.3	378 4.8 8.7 0.4	2591 5.5 59.9 53.0	1028 3.9 23.8 1.2	d Plans for Study Part-Time
,	765 0.9	0.0	69 1.4 9.0 0.1	67 0.9 8.8 0.1	263 0.6 34.4 .0.3	365 1.4 47.7 0.4	1974 Go to Trade Scheols,
<u> </u>	9772 11.2	224 17.4 2.3 0.3	762 15.4 7.8 0.9	1178 4.9 12.1	5491 11,6 56.2 6.3	2117 8.1: 21.7 21.7	Don't Know
	87603 100:0	1284 1.5	4939	7902 9:0	47391 54.1	.26087 29.8	

CHAPTER FIVE

Why High School Students do not go to Universities or Golleges of Applied Arts and Technology.

The objective of this chapter is an exploration of the reasons why approximately 40 percent of Grade 12 students in Ontario are not electing to enrol in universities or C.A.A.T.S. after graduation. This 40 percent, consists of students who intend to enter the labour market, plan to take time off after graduation and then enrol, and those who are presently unsure of their future plans. All future references relate to these groups of students.

Students were provided with a list of nine reasons for not going to a university or C.A.A.T. and asked to indicate the personal importance of each one of these reasons. The following section contains a comparison of the aforementioned groups on each of the nine reasons.

Students were also asked whether there is a realistic possibility that they may be considering some form of post-secondary education within the next five years and, if so, when might, they enrol for the first time. The final section of this chapter contains our findings on these questions.

Over 50 percent of the three groups consider obtaining a job as soon as possible an important teason for not going either to university. or a C.A.A.T. (Table V.1) In fact, of all nine reasons, presented to the students, getting a job quickly is considered the most important reason. When the groups are compared we find that of the students who plan on obtaining jobs after graduation, 45.1% feel this is a very

important reason while only 10.5% of the time-off group and 21.6% of don't - know group attach similar importance to finding a job as quickly as possible.

Over 60 percent of students responded negatively to the reason,
"My parents do not want me to go." That is, a majority of students

feel that this is an unimportant reason in deciding not to attend a
university of G.A.A.T. (Table V.2) There are minimal percentage differences
when the three groups are compared.

More than 30 percent of students feel that the boredom with schoolwork constitutes an important reason for not enrolling in universities or C.A.A.T.S. (Table V.3) Those grade 12 students who plan to take time off are more likely to consider this reason not at all important (25.0%) than students who plan on getting jobs or simply don't know what they desire (approximately 15 percent for both groups).

Nearly a quarter of students feel that the expense involved in a university or C.A.A.T. education constitutes an important reason for not going. (Table V.4) Those students who plan to take time off are slightly less likely for emphasize the extreme importance (9.9%) of this reason than either job-oriented (13.3%) or people in the 'don't know' group (14.7%).

Less than a quarter of students claim that the lack of proper courses or credits is an important reason for not enrolling in universities or C.A.A.T.S. (Table V.5) Those students who have not yet developed plans for 1974 are most likely to stress the importance of this reason (29.6%) while those who plan on taking time off are least

likely to emphasize its importance (15.7%). Students who plan to get jobs fall in the middle (21.5%).

A majority of students (57.4%) stated that the desire to marry as quickly as possible is not at all an important reason for not continuing one's education. (Table V.6) However, students who plan on obtaining jobs after graduation are less prone to discredit the importance of getting married (54.0% felt it to be unimportant) than either of two other groups (over 60 percent considered marriage as unimportant).

When students were presented with this reason for not going to a university or C.A.A.T.: "It is expensive and I don't think it is worth the expense," over 40 percent felt it was not at all important. (Table V.7) However, students who are planning to get a job are less likely to reject its importance in that 37.4% claimed it to be inot at all important while 54.5% of students in the 'time-off' group and 45.9% in the 'dont know' group express similar feelings.

Almost 30 percent of students believe that the difficulties involved in studying warrant a decision not to enroll in universities or C.A.A.T.S. (Table V.8) Both students who plan on taking a job after graduation and students who are unsure about their future are more likely (over 30 percent in both groups) than students in the time-off group (17.4%) to support the importance of this reason.

Over a third of students express the feeling that an important reason for not going to a university or C.A.A.T. is based on their

selection of alternative training institutions. (Table V.9) Students who plan, in 1974, to obtain jobs are more likely (24.1%) to state that "I intend to take further training but not at a college or university! is an important reason than either students in the time-off (14.6%) or don't know group (16.8%)

Students were asked when in the next five years they might enroll in some form of post-secondary education for the first time (Table V.10) Almost 60 percent don't know or felt the question was inapplicable to their own particular case. Of the remaining students, 4.2% intend to enroll in 1973-74; 17:1% in 1974-75; 14.7% in 1975-1976 and 5:0% in 1976-1977.

Table V.1:	Importance o	f Finding a J	ob Very Soon	and Plans fo	r 1974
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Job	Time Off	Don [†] t Know		,
Very Important	7782 81.1 45.1 28.2	402 4.2 10.5 1.5	1412 14.7 21.6 5.1	9596 34.7	,
	4012 73.6 23.2 14.5	227 4.2 5.9 0.8	1210 22.2 18.5 4.4	5448	
	2711 \ 52.4 15.7 9.8	984 19.0 25.7 3.6	1477 28.6 22.6 5.3	5173 18.7	.
	1126 38.4 6.5 4.1	924 31.5 24.2 3.3	885 30.1 13.5 3.2	2936 10.6	
Not at all important	436 20.9 2.5 1.6	889 42.5 23.2 3:2	766 36.6 11.7 2.8	2092 7.6	•
Don't know	1203 50.4 7.0 4.4	398 16.7 10.4 1.4	786 32.9 12.0 . 2.8	2388 8.6	, ,
	17272 62.5	3824 13.8	• 6536 2317	27632 100.0	•

Table V.2:	Importance o	of Parental D	iscouragement	and Plans for 1974
	Job	Time_ Off	Don't Know	
Very . important	314 57.9 1.9 1.1	126 23.3 3.2 0.5	102 18.8 1.6 0.4	542
	911 67.9 5.4 3.3	144 10.7 3:7 0.5	287 21.4 4.4 1.0	دد 1342 4.9 م
	1817 69.5 10.8 6.7	314 12.0 8.0 1.1	485 18.6 7.5 1.8	2617 9.6
A Track	2459 61.3 14.6 9.0	3537 13.4 13.7 2.0	1017 25.3 15.6 . 3:7	4013 14.7
Not at all important	10179 62.0 60.3 37.3	2410 14.7 61.3 8.8	3823 23.3 58.8 14.0	16412 60.1
Don't know	1203 50.4 -7.1 4.4	.398 16.7 10.1 1.5	786 32.9 12.1 2.9	2388 8.7
	16885 61.8	3930 14.4	. 6499 . 23.8	27314 100.0

ERIC

	Table V.3:	Importance	of	Finding	Schoolwork	Boring	and	Plans	for	1974
--	------------	------------	----	---------	------------	--------	-----	-------	-----	------

	Job	Time Off	Don't Know		
Very important	3062 64.7 17.9 11.1	379 8.0 . 9.7 1.4	1291 27.3 . 19.8 4.7	4733 17.2	-
	2379 60.9 13.9 8.6	679 17.4 ? 17.4 2.5	.847 21.7 13.0 3.1	3906 14.2	
, ,	4989 67.2 29.1 18.1	791 10.6 20.3 2.9	1650 22.2 25.2 6.0	7429 27.0	*
•	2807 63:3 16.4 10,2	675 15.2 17.3	955 21.5 14.6 3.5	4437 16.1	, ~
Not at all important	2691 57.6 15.7 9.8	975 20.9 25.0 3.5	1007 21.5, 15.4 3.7	4673 17.0	
Don't know	1203 50.4 7.0 4.4	398- (√ 16.7 √ 10.2° 1.4.	786, 32.9 12.0 2.9	2388	
	62.2 : ,	3898 14.1	6535 - 23.7	27565 100.0	

ß

•	Job	Time Off	Don't Know	
, Very important	2284 62.9 13.3 8.3	384 10.6 9.9 1.4	962 26.5 14.7 3.5	3631 13.2
	2014 65.7 11.7 7.3	441 14.4 11.3	613 20.0 9.4 2.2	3068 11.1
	2984 58.7 17.2 10.7	802 16.0 20.6 2.9	1274 Y 25,4 19.5	~5024 18.2
	2382 62.2 13.9 8.6	566 14.8 14:5 2.1	.883^ 23.1 ₆ 13.5 3.2	3831 13.9
Not at all important	6324 65.6 36.9 22.9	1-307 13.5 33.5 4.7	2013 20.9 30.8 7.3	9644 * 35.0
Don't know	1203 50.4 7.0 4.4	398 16.7 10.2 1.4	786 32.9 12.0 2.8	2388 8,7
	17156 62.2	3897 , 14.1	6531 23.7	27584 100.0

Table V.5:	Importance o	of Course Requ	irements and F	lans for 1974
	Job	Time Off	Don't Know	
Very important	1965 54.6 11.6 7.2	382 10.6 9.8 1.4	1250 34.7 19.4 4.6	3597 13.2
,	1651 65.2 9.7 6.0	229 9.0 5.9 0.8	654 25.8 10.2 2.4	2534 9.3
,	2915 71.9 17.2 10.7	485 12.0 12.5 1.8	655 16.1 10.2 2.4	4056 14.8
·	2557 61.2 15.0 9.4	841 20.1 21.6 3.1	781 18.7 12.2 2.9	4179 .15.3
Not at all important	6703 63.4 39.4 24.5	1559 14.8 40.0 5.7	2303 21.8 35.8 8.4	10566 38.7
Don't know	1203 50.4 7.1 4.4	398 16.7 10.2 1.5	786 32.9 12.2 2.9	2388 8.7
	16995 (62.2	. 3896 . 14.3	6429 23.5	27319 100.0

Table V.6:	Importance of	Wanting to	Marry Soon a	nd Plans for 1974
<u> </u>	Job	Time Off	Don',t Know	
Very important	918 71.2 5.4 3.3	147 11.4 3.8	224 17.4 3.5	1289 4.7
-	1005 69.4 5.9 3.7	0.5 180 12.4 4.6 0.7	263 , 18.2 4.1 1.0	1448 \ 5.3
. ,	2409 81.6 14.1 8.8	163 5.5 4.2 0.6	379 12.8 5.8	2951 10.7
,	2265 63.5 13.3 8.2	486 13.6 12.5 1.8	817 22.9 12.6 3.0	3567 13.0
Not at all important	9233 58.5 54.0 33.6	2523 16.0 64.7 9.2	4027 25.5 62.0 . 14.7	15784 57.4
Don't	1255 51.4 # 7.3 4.6	398 16.3 10.2 1.5	786 32.2 12.1 2.9	2439 8.9
	17085 62.2	3898 14.2	.6496 .23.6	27479 100.0

ERIC TOTAL PROVIDED TO THE PRO

Table V.7:	Importance of	Whether Educ	ation Is Wor	th the Expense
	Job	and Plans Time Off	for 1974 Don't Know	
Very	1415	99	525 	2039
important	8.4 5.2	2.6	8.2	
,	1290 67.2 7.6 4.8	191 10.0 5.0 0.7	438 22.8 .6.8 1.6	/1919 7.1
	3206 69.7 19.0 11.8	522 11.4 13.7 1.9	874 19.0 13.6 3.2	4602 17.0
•	3459 71.4 20.5 12.7	528 10.9 13.8 1.9	860 17.7 13.4 3.2	4848 17, 9
Not at all important	6309 55.6 37.4 23.2	2083 18.4 54.5 7.7	2952 26.0. 45.9 10.9	113 <u>45</u> 41.8
Don't know	1203 50.4 7.1 4.4	398 16.7 10.4 1.5	786 32.9 12.2 2.9	2388
	16883 62.2	3824 14.1 —	6435, 23.7	27141 100.0

		7 T 7		
Table V.8:	Importance of	the Difficu	ty Involved	in Studying and
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Plans for	1974	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
/	Job	Time Off	Don't Know	
/ Very	2588 66.7	255 6.6	1035 26.7	3878 14.2
important	15.2 9.5	6.7 0.9	16.1 3.8	
	2773 67.6 16.3 10.2	407 9.9 10.7 1.5	920 22.4 14.3 3.4	4101 , 15.0
	4030 62.8 23.6 14.8	860 13.4 22.7 3.2	1525 23.8 23.7 5.6	6414 23.5
	2810 63.5 16.5 10.3	753 17.0 19.8 2.8	864 19.5 13.4 3.2	4426 16.2
Not at all important	3649 60.0 21.4 13.4	1121 18.4 29.5 4.1	1308 21.5 20.3 4.8	6078
Don't know	1203 50.4 7.1 4.4	398 16.7 10.5 1.5	786 32.9 12.2 2.9	2388 8.8
, "	17053 62.5	3795 13.9	6438 23.6	27286 100.0

ERIC

Table V.9:	Importance of	f Traveling E	lsewhere and	Plans for 1974
`` <u></u>	Job	Time Off	Don't Know	
Very important	4091 . 71.1 24.1 15.0	• 570 • 9.9 14.6.	1096 19.0 16.8 4.0	5756 21.0
	2641 74.4) 15.6 9.7	194 5.5 5.0 0.7	714 20.1 11.0 2.6	3549 13.0
	2365 51.9 14.0 8.6	673 14.8 17.3 2.5	1521 33.4 23.4 5.6	4560 • 16.7
	1568 64.4 9.3 5.7	363 14.9 9.3 1.3	505 20.7 7.8 1.8	2436 ° 89
Not at all important	5084 59.2 30.0 18.6	1702 19.8 43.6 6.2	1800 21.0 27.7 6.6	8585 31.4
	1203 48.7 7.1 4.4	398 16.1 10.2 1.5	867 35.1 13.3 3.2	2469 • 9.0
	16953 . 62.0 .	3900 14.3	6503 23.8	27356 . 100.0

Table V.10:	When Enrollm	ent Will Be a	nd Plans for	1974
1973-74	571 49.3 3.5 2.1	133 11.5 3.0 0.5	454 39.2 6.8 1.7	1158 4.2
1974-75	1816 39.0 11.3 6.7	1184 25.4 26.7 4.3	.1655 35.6 24.6 6.1	4655 17.1
1975-76	1740 43.5 10.8	1634 40.9 36.9	626 15.6 9.3 2.3	4001 14.7
1976-78	856 63.2 5.3 3.4	*279 20.6 6.3 1.0	219 16.2 3.3 10.8	1354 5.0
Don't know ' or inapplicable	11116 69.1 69.0 40.8	1196 7.4 27.0 4.4	3764 23.4 56.0 13.8	16077 59.0
	. 16099 59.1	4427 16.2	6718 24.7	27245 100.0

CHAPTER SIX

Grade 12 Students who Intend to go to Universities or Colleges of Applied Arts and Technology

Two groups of students are singled out for closer examination in this chapter - students who plan on universities and students who intend to enrol in C.A.A.T.S. Several dimensions will be discussed and they include: (1) the reasons why grade 12 students opt for either universities or C.A.A.T.S. (2) the extent to which various sources of information (e.g. university or colleges representatives, mass media) are perceived as accurate or inaccurate by grade 11 students (3) the time when the adolescents arrived at the decision to enrol in universities or C.A.A.T.S., where (i.e. the geographical are) they will enrol, what major area or programme they plan on selecting and (4) the sources they will employ to finance future education.

(1) Reasons

The majority (63.8%) of grade 12 students who plan on enrolling in C.A.A.T.S., do so because they prefer the kind of programme available at C.A.A.T.S. (Table VI.1) Under 10.0% of the remaining students attribute their selection to either inability to get into universities; the case of job selection, parental pressure, etc.

Students who plan on university enrollment stress different reasons for their decision (Table VI.2) A majority (67.3%) claim that a university education is required for the type of job they want. Only 20.6% make their decision on the same basis as those students who opt

for C.A.A.T.S. (e.g. programme preference) This finding is surprising in that a C.A.A.T.S. strong selling point is its apparent 'closeness' to the job market while the present link between B.A. degree and specific jobs is reputedly weak.

(2) Sources of information

Over half of grade 12 students (58.0%) consider friends at universities or C.A.A.T.S. totally accurate sources of information about universities or C.A.A.T.S. (Table VI.3) Those students who plan on university enrollment (60.5%) are more likely to believe their friends are totally accurate than students who intend to enroll in C.A.A.T.S. (53.9%).

Grade 12 students are less likely to attribute total accuracy to friends who are not enrolled in universities or C.A.A.T.S. (Table VI.4); less than a third claim total accuracy but 51.9% do believe friends are reliable sources of information. Differences between university and C.A.A.T. groups, however are negligible.

About half of grade 12 students consider university or C.A.A.T. representatives very accurate sources of information (Table VI.5). It is also true that over a third believe these representatives transmit. very inaccurate information concerning post-secondary education. Again, the differences between university and C.A.A.T. - oriented students are minimal.

High school guidance personnel are considered the most reliable

and accurate sources of information concerning universities and C.A.A.T.S. (Table VI.6); fully 71.5% of grade 12 students claim that their guidance department is very accurate. Only 8.4% feel their guidance department offers inaccurate information. Very few differences were found for C.A.A.T. and university bound students in terms of perceived accuracy.

University and C.A.A.T. calenders also rank high in terms of accuracy; almost two-thirds of grade 12 students who plan to enrol in either universities or C.A.A.T.S. assess these sources of information as very accurate (Table VI.7) Less than 20.0% feel that calenders are totally inaccurate and both groups share similar feelings.

General post-secondary educational publications (e.g. Horizons) are not considered to be as accurate as calenders (Table VI.8); while 64.5% of students claim that calenders are very accurate only 52.6% offer a comparable endorsement of post-secondary publications. Those grade 12 students who plan on enrolling in C.A.A.T.S. (46.3%) are less likely to state such publications are totally accurate than students who intend enrolling in universities (56.5%).

Less than a quarter of grade 12 students conceive of mass media as a very accurate source of information concerning universities or C.A.A.T.S.; 23.9% claim that the mass media is actually very inaccurate in transmitting information (Table VI.9). Students who intend to enrol in universities (20.3%) are slightly less dubious of the mass media's inaccuracies than students who plan on C.A.A.T.S. (29.9%).

Faculty at either universities or C.A.A.T.S. are viewed as unreliable sources of information concerning post-secondary institutions (Table VI.10). Fully 55.2% of students state that faculty are very inaccurate sources of information. Proportionately more university potentials discredit the accuracy of faculty (57.0%) than do C.A.A.T. oriented grade 12 students (52.5%).

Grade 12 students distinguish between faculty at universities or C.A.A.T.S. and high school teachers in that 53.9% claim that the latter group is quite accurate in about their information concerning post-secondary education. (Table VI.11) Students that are university bound are more likely to see their high school teachers as very accurate (58.9%) than is the case for students that plan on C.A.A.T.S. (45.8%).

Nearly 40 percent of grade 12 students claim that visits to campus are inaccurate sources of information concerning universities and C.A.A.T.S. (Table VI.12) While 34.6% of students planning on a C.A.A.T. take this view, 40.9% of those that intend enrolling in universities stress the unreliability of campus visits.

Less than 20 percent of students feel their parents are very inaccurate sources of information concerning universities and C.A.A.T.S. and
almost 34 percent believe parents are very accurate sources of information
(Table VI.13) Those that are university bound are slightly more prone
(35.8%) to accept parents as reliable sources of information that students
who intend going to a C.A.A.T. (30.9%).

Sisters and brothers are viewed as considerably more inaccurate sources of information than parents in that 35.1% of students reject their reliability as information sources. (Table VI.14) Those planning on a C.A.A.T. (37.2%) are slightly more rejecting than those planning on universities (33.8%).

Other relatives are viewed as the most inaccurate sources of information (within the cotext of family) in that 45.1% state that relatives are totally inaccurate sources of information (Table VI-15). Of those students intending to to go a C.A.A.T., 49.7% claim their relatives are totally unreliable while 42.3% of those students planning on universities share this view.

(3) When, where and what

Students who plan on a university education make up their minds at a much earlier stage of their lives than students who intend enrolling in a C.A.A.T..(Table VI.15).

Over 40 percent of students decide on some form of post-secondary education before they reach Grade 11 or Grade 12. Thus it is remarkable that over 50 percent of those students who plan on a university education make this decision by the time that they are in Grade 8; only 18 percent of students who intend going to a C.A.A.T. reach a similar decision by Grade 8. A majority of C.A.A.T. 'goers' arrive at their decision in Grade 11 or 12. (63.4%)

Nearly 85 percent of all students who plan on universities or C.A.A.T.S. will study somewhere in Ontario; 18% will go out of the province;

1.7% will train in another country, and 12.0% are presently undecided.

(Table VI.17) Proportionately more students who plan on universities

(16.9%) are undecided as to where they will enrol than is true for students who plan enrolling in C.A.A.T.S. (40%). At this time, a greater proportion of students who intend going to a C.A.A.T. (93.8%) than students who plan on a university education (4.7%) will study in Ontario.

Nearly a third of grade 12 students plan to maintain their home residence while studying (Table VI.18) However, 42.9% do intend to move out of home when they begin their studies. Proportionately more students who intend going to a C.A.A.T. (45.4%) will live at home than is true for students who plan on attending university (25.1%). The residence plans of potential C.A.A.T. 'goers' seem firmer than the university-bound students in that only 16.9% of the former and 28.8% of the latter group are uncertain of their future residence.

Grade 12 students were asked whether they had some idea of the major area of study or programme they wanted to study at university or at a C.A.A.T. (Table VI.19). Over two-thirds of all students planning on a post-secondary education replied that they had a definite idea; only 7.6% answered that they possessed no specific idea at all. Students who are planning on going to C.A.A.T.S. appear more certain in deciding on a major than students who plan on a university education; 75.8% of the former and only 60.6% of the latter group have a definite idea concerning a major area of study.

In Table VI.20 and VI.21 the frader will find, respectively, a list of major areas of study and percentage distributions for grade 12. students who plan on going to either universities or C.A.A.T.S.

(4) Sources of certainty concerning financial support

Over 40 percent of grade 12 students who intend to pursue postsecondary education state that they will depend on parents and inheritances to finance the total cost (i.e. tuition, living costs, and other expenses) of their first year at university or C.A.A.T.S. (Table VI.22) While parents are considered the most important source of finance for students, summer work and personal sayings account for an additional 39.3% of first year costs. Nearly 16 percent of students will seek government loans and grants and only 4.2% will depend on scholarships and bursuries to cover their initial education costs. Students who plan to enrol in universities appear more dependent on parents (48.7%) and less likely to utilize their own resources (31.5%), than students planning on C.A.A.T.S. (40.6% are dependent on parents and 38.3% will employ summer work and personal savings to finance their first year. Table VI.23 indicates the importance of personal savings and summer work as a second source of financial support for students planning on university and C.A.A.T. Over 50 percent of udents claim*that their summer work and personal savings are the next most important source of income for absorbing the total costs of the first year at a post-secondary mestitution.

Nearly 50 percent of those students who plan on attending university

or C.A.A.T.S., are very certain that they will be able to finance their first year (Table VI.24). Another 35.1% are fairly certain or not at all certain. Grade 12 students who plan on university or C.A.A.T. are undistinguishable in terms of their certainty concerning financial support for the first year of post-secondary education.

Table VI.1: Most Important Reason for Going to a C.A.A.T.

le VI.1: Most Important R	eason for Going to	<u>a</u>
Reasons	College of Applied Arts and Technology	
Prefer the program	9950	
riciti the program	63.8	
Crades law law	- 906	,
Grades are low	5.8	
	894	,
Courses are not right	5.7	,
	1118	,
Job obtainment good	7.2	•
Get good paying job	942	
^	6.0	
Other .	1072	
·	. 6.9	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	717	•
D.K.	46	
, ,	15600 100.0	
1, 1	100.0	1

ERIC Full text Provided by ERIC

Table	VI.2:	Most	Important	Reason	for	Going	Ìο	University
-------	-------	------	-----------	--------	-----	-------	----	------------

Reasons	·University
Prefer the program	5388 20.6
Required for job	17633 67.3
Other	2875 11.0
Don't know	294 1.1
	26191 100.0

Table VI.3: Accura	acy of Friends	in Universit:	Les or C.A.A.T.S.
.,	University	С.А.А.Т.	· •
,	15923	8852	24775
Very accurate	60.5	357 53.9	58.0
	37.2	20.7	0/57
Accurate	4737 56.0	3720	8457 19.8 ·
	18.0	22.6 8.7	
•	5657	² 3862	9519
Very inaccurate	.21.5	40.6 23.5	22.3
	13.2	: ~9.0	•
	26317·	16435	42752
	i ' or ' i	38.4	100.0

ERIC
Full Text Provided by ERIC

Table VI.4: A	ccuracy of 0	ther Friends	
•	University	C.A.A.T.	,
•	6855	4678	11533
Very accurate	39 .4	40.6	. 27.4
, ,	26.4 16.3	29.0 ~ 11.1	
	#-<	. 11.1	•
•	14114	7743	· 21857
Accurate	64.6 [,]	. 35.4	51.9
	54.4	47.9	,
	33.5	. 18.4	
*	4967	., 3731	8698
Very inaccurat	57.1 e	42.9	20.7
	19.2	23.1	,
	11.8	8.9	_
•	259 3 6	16151	42088
, , , , ,	61.6	38.4	100.0
	,		, ,

<u>ERIC</u>

Table VI.5: Accuracy of University or C.A.A.T. Representatives

	,,		
	. Uniwersity	C.A.A.T.	
	/ 13003	8058	21062
Very accurate	61.7	38.3 ↔	50′.1
, , ,	50.2	50.0	j
	31\0	19.2	
	3223	. 2866	6089
A	52.9	_ 47.1	14.5
Accurate	12.4	17.8	
	.7.7	6.8 -	
	9676	, 5179	14855
Vorm incomments	65.1	· `34.9	:35.4
Very inaccurate	37.4	.32.2	•
	23.0	12.3	· , .
	25902	16103	42005
	61.7	38.3	100.0
•			

Table VI.6: Accurac	cy of High Scl	nool Guidance I	Department
	University	€.A.A.T.	•
	18868	11789	·30656
Very accurate:	61.5	38.5	71.5
· · · › .	71.6 7 44.0	71.3 27.5	
	5215	3430	8646
Aocurate	60.3	39.7	20.2
	19.8 12.2	. 20.7 . 8.0	
	2276	1324	3600
Wery inaccurate	63.2	36.8) 8.4
	8.6	3.1	······································
<u></u>	26359	16543	42902
	61.4	38.6	100.0

٠ • د

.,	-1		$\left(\left(\left(\cdot \right) \right) \right) =\left(\left(\left(\left(\cdot \right) \right) \right) \right)$
Table VI.7: Accura	cy of Universi	ty and C.A.A.	T. Calenders
	University	C.A.A.T.	;
	16907	10360	27267
Very accurate	62.0	38.0	64.5
,	64.6	64.2	`
	40.0	24.5	
	. 3953	2878	6831
Accurate	57.9	.42.1	16,1
Accurate .	15,1	17.8 .	
	9.3	6.8 .	
	5298	2902	8200
·Very inaccurate	64.6	35.4	19.4
very inaccurate.	20.3	18.0	` -
	12.5,	6.9	
	26158	16140	42298
) 61′.8′ •	38.2	100.0
The second second			

Table VI.8: Accur	acy of	Post-Secondary	Publications
-------------------	--------	----------------	--------------

Table VI.8: Accura	cy or rost-sec	ondary Public	ations
	University	C.A.A.Ţ.	
	14722	7486	22207
Very accurate	66.3	33.7	52.6
	56.5	46.3	• .
	34.9	17.7	
	5063	,4315	93.78
Accurate.	54.0	46.0	22-2
Accurace.	., 19.4	26.7	
	12.0	10.2	
	6255	4360	10616
Very incourate	⁶ 58 , 9	41.1	25.2
Very inaccurate	24.0	27.0	
	. 14.8	10.3	• ,
	26040	16161	42201
	61.7	38.3	100.0
	**	3	
		•	

Ť.



Table VI	.9: Accuracy	of Mass Media	
	University	C.A.A.T.	
	52 9 5 ,	3646	8941
Very accurate	59.2 [.]	40.8	21.5
	, 20.5	23.1	,
****	12.7-	8.8	•
	15277	7445	22722
Accurate	67.2	. 32.8	54.6
Accurate,	59.2	47 . 1	·
	36.7	17.9	•
	-52 3 9	4726	9964
Very-inaccurate	52.6	4. 47.4.	23.9
Was a second	, 20.3	29.9	•
	12.6	11.4	- :
	25811	15817	41628
	62.0	38.0	100.0

•		•	\
Table VI.10: -Accur	acy of Faculty	at Universit	y or C.A.A.T.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	University	C.A.A.T.	
Very accurate	8815 58.9 34.8 21.4	6160 41.1 38.6 14.9	14975 - 36.3
Accurate	2070 59.1 8.2	1435 40:9 9.0 3.5	3504 8.5
Very inaccurate	14411 63.2 57.0	8382 . 36.8 . 52.5 . 20.3:	22793 55.2
	25295 . 61.3	15977 38.7	41272 ' 100.0

Į

` Table VI.1	1: Accuracy o	f High School	Teachers
	University	C.A.A.T.	
	15343	7366	22708
Very accurate	67,6	32.4	53.9
very accurace	58.9	45.8	
	36.4	17.5	
	7277	5554	12831
	56.7	43.3	30.5
Accurate	27.9 🕃	34.5	
***	17.3	. 13.2	;
	3422	3162	6585
Very inaccurate	52.0	48.0	15:6
very inaccurace	13.1	19.7	! ! !
	8.1	7,5	
	26042	16082	42124
, , ,	61.8	38.2	100.0
	! '\	1 '.	•

Table VI:12:	Accuracy	of Campus	Visits

	necurue, or	- 	-
	University	C.A.Á.Ť.	·.
-	12133	8109	20241
Very accurate	59.9	40.1 .	48.3
	· 47.1°	50.2	. 25
· , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	28.9	19.3	;
,	. 3102	- 2470	5572
Accurate	55.7	44.3	13.3
Accurate	12.0	15.3	•
•	7.4	5.9	,
` ,	10542	5586	· 16128
Very inaccurate	65.4	34.6	38.5
very inacculate	49.9	34.6	
	25.1	13.3	
•	25777	16164	41941
	61.5	38.5	100.0
1	1	!	Į.

Table VI	.13: Accuracy	of Parents	
	University	·C.A.A.T:	
Very accurate	9363 65.1 35.8 22.1	5013 34.9 30.9 11.8	14376 33.9
Accurate	12190 61.4 46.7 28.8	7672 38.6 47.2 18.1	19862 46.9
Very inaccurate	4572 56.2 17.5 10.8	3565 43.8 21.9 8.4	8137 19.2
	26125 61.7	16250 . 38.3	42375 100.0

Table VI.14	Accuracy of	Sisters and B	rothers
	University	C.A.A.T.	
Very accurate	9032 66.2 34.6 21.5	4606 33.8 29.1 11.0	13638 32.5 **
Accurate	8257 60.7 31.6 19.7	5349 39.3 ⁶ 33.8 ⁶ 12.8	136Q7 - 32.4
Very inaccurate	8820 60.0 33.8 21.0	5887 40.0 37.2 14.0	14707 35.1
,	• 26110 62.2	. 15842 . 37.8	41 <u>9</u> 52 100.0

ERIC FOUNDED by ERIC

: • Table VI	.15: Accuracy	of Other Rela	atives ,
Mark, John	University	C.A.A.T.	
	5110	∴ 2533	*7643
Very accurate	19.7	33.1 15.7	18.2
	12.2 9861	5570	√15431
Accurate	63.9	36.1 34.6	36.7
,	23.5	13.3	
•	10962	. 7992	18954
Very inaccurate	57.8	42.2	45.1
	42.3	49.7 、	•
A . 1	. 26.1	19.0	
	25933	16095	42028

100.0

Table. V	1.16:	Time	o k	Decision	to	Continue	Education	After
Tante. A.	Lalva	TIME	Δ	DECTRION	LU	CONCINC	ruucacion	VT CET

	High School	L '	,
1 . /	University	C.A.A.T.	.*
Grade 6	7409 3,84.8 28.4 17.5	1327 15.2 8.1 3.1	8736 20.6
Grades 7 and 8	. 5912 . 78.6 . 22.7 . 14.0	1609 21.4 9.9 3.8	7522 17.8
Grades 9 and 10	5687 65.2 21.8 13.4	3029 34.8 18.6 7.2	_8717 20.6
Grade 11	3029 45.0 11.6 7.2	3709 55.0 22.7 8.8	6738 15.9
Grade 12.	4005 37.6 15.4 9.5	6639 62.4 40.7 15.7	10644 25.1
~	26043 61.5	16313 38.5	42356 • 100 0

Table VI.17: Geographical Area of Future Post-Secondary

9	Enrolment	<u>s</u>	. ` ,
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	University	C.A.A.T.	A
Ontario	20847 57.5 78.7 48.6	15432 42.5 93.8 36.0	36279· 84.5
Another province	73.6 73.6 2.2 1.3	207 26.4 . 1.3 0.5	1.8
Another country	566 78.8 2.1 1.3	152 21.2 0.9 0.4	718
Undecided	4488 . 87.2 16.9	656 12.8 4.0 1.5	5144
	26477	. 16448 38,3	42925 100.0

Table VI.18: Living Arrangements of Students Planning on

Post-S	Secondary Educ	ation	,
Land Sand	University	C.A.A.T.	
	6625	7414	14038
Yes	47.2 25.1	52.8 45.5	32:8
	15.5	17.3	San Charles
	12179 66.4	61,74.	18353
No	46.1	37.8	
	28.5 7613	14.4	10270
D.K. or inapplicabl	73.4	27.58 26.6	10370 24 3
	28.8	16.9 6.4	
	26416	199	42762
	61:8	38.2	100.0

Table VI.19: Certainty of Grade 12 Students Concerning Major

Area	iversity or (.A.A.T.	
	University	C.A.A.T.	
Definite idea	15995 56.4 60.6	12386 43.6 75.8 29.0	28381, 66.4
Vague notion	8135 73.3 30.8	2963 26.7 18.1	11098 26.0
No specific idea	19.0 ,2247 69.3	6.9 996 <u>.</u> 30.7	3243 7.6
No specific idea	8.5 5.3	6.1 2.3	42722
	61.7	38.3	100.0

	Seath Total . No UT offer .	of Study at University	
Major Area of Study	Students (7)		University-Bound Students (7)
Business - Commerce	5.2.*	Chemistry	0.7
Computer Sefence	.0.7	Fine Arts	4.4
Economics	0.3	French	0.3
Engineering	9.9	Law	3.8
English	2,2	Medicine	5.9
Geography	8.1	Nursing	2.7
History	2.5	Agriculture	0.0
Language Studies	4.5	Visual Arts	0.2
Mathematics	7.2	Dentistry	2.5
Natyral Sciences	7.4	Accounting	1.0
hysical Education	. 6.3	Architeoture	0.7
Political Science,	1.4	Humanities	6.0
Psychology	2.6	Vetinary Medicine	1.1
Social Science	, 4.2	Forestry	
Sociology and	1.3	Environmental Studies	6,0
Studies in Education	1.8,	. phakmacy	0.4
Physics	.0.5	Other	5.5
Biology	3.5	Don't know	7.9
	26200 100.0%		.26200 100.0Z

	Table VI.21: Major Area	Major Area of Study at C.A.A.T.	-
Major Area of Study	C.A.A.TBound Students (7	(%) Major Area of Study	C.A.A.TBound Students (%)
Agriculture	9.0	Music	0.2
Architecture	2.6	Nursing	4.0.4
Social Science and Humanities	1.1	Rehabilitation Therapy	1.0
Applied Sciences and Engineering	14.5	Physical and Health Education	6.0
Commerce - Business	11.4	Social Work	4.2
Education	6.8	Theology	< 1.0
Secretarial Science	10.2	Vetinary * Medicine	2.2
Fine and Applied Arts	7.6	Computer Science	6.2
Forestry	1.6	Technician	2.7
Household Science	2.3	Journalism	1.1
Law	1.2	Other	5.8
Library Technician	9.0	Don't Know	8.2
,	16202 100.0%		16202 100.0%

Table	VT 22.	Most	Important	Source of	Financial	Support
rabre	VI.ZZ:	rwst	Tmbor cauc	addice or	LINGUCIAL	Support

1 2	University	C.A.A.T.	•
Parents inheritants	12276 66.9 48.7 30.6.	6070 33.1 40.6 15.1	18346 · 45.7
Government loans and grants	3385 53.8 13.4 8.4	2911 46.2 19.5 7.2	6296 . 15.7
Summer work	5583 59.0 22.1 13,9	3883 41.0 - 26.0 9.7	9466 23.6
Personal savings	2369 56.3 9.4 5.9	1840 43.7 12.3 4.6	4209 10.5
Scholarships and bursaries	1465 86.2 5.8 3.6	235 13.8 1.6 0.6	1700 4.2
Don't know or inapplicable	147 100.0 0.6 0.4	0 0.0 0.0 0.0	147 0.4
	25225 . 62.8	14939 37.2	40164 100.0

Table VI.23: Secon	nd Most Importar	t Source of	Financial	Support
, ,	University	C.A.A.T.	 	
Parents'inheritant	5984 54.4 24.7 15.6	5021 45.6 35.9 13.1	11005	-
Government loans and grants	2760 65.3 11.4 7.2	1469 34.7 10.5 3.8	4230 11.1	
Summer work	9390 64.5 38.7 24.5	5164 35.5 36.9 13.5	4554 38.0	
Personal savings ,	3520 69,9 14.5 9.2	1514 [°] 30.1 ₀ 10.8 4.0	5033	
Scholarships and bursaries	2109 82,6 8,7 5.5	446 17.4 3.2 1.2	2555 6.7	· ·
Don't know or inapplicable	499 56.9 2.1 1.3	379 43.1 2.7 1.0	878	•
·	24263 63.4	13992 36.6	38255	

130

Table VI.24: Certainty About Financing the First	Year	at
--	------	----

lable VI.24. Certainty About Financing the First Fear			
,	University or University	C.A.A.T.	
Very certain	12368 61.4 47.5 29.2	77 7 3 38.6 47.8 18.4	20141 47.6
Fairly certain	9087 61.2 34.9 21.5	5770 38.8 35.5 13.6	14858 35.1
Somewhat certain \	3181 - 64.3 12,2 7.5	1769 35.7 10.9- 4.2	4950 11.7
Not certain at all	1354 58.6 5.2 3.2	958 41.4 5.9 2.3	2312 5.5
D.K. or inapplicabl	36 100.0 2. 0.1 0.1	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	36 0.1
	26027 61.5	15270 38.5	42297 100.0
j	•	•	

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

-CHAPTER SEVEN

Changes in Educational and Vocational Intentions

The major objective in this chapter is a comparison of the results from our survey with those of Bernard Blishen and James Porter in 1971. This comparison may provide valuable insights into shifts in attitudes concerning educational and vocational plans for grade 12 students in Ontario.

Blishen and Porter conducted a survey of the educational plans and aspirations of Ontario high schools students in the spring of 1971. Their sample of Ontario students included students in grades 8, 10, and 12 and data was collected through the use of questionnaires that were completed by groups of students within high schools. In addition, data was required of parents and obtained through visits to individual households.

Of primary importance in our survey was the decision to maintain a basis of comparison with the Porter-Blishen study. This meant that in both studies, the general scheme for stratification had to be similar. It was decided to adopt the fairly general criteria that students academic aspirations and intentions were somehow related to the size and the degree of urbanization of the school boards. This resulted in the creation of four strata: the first includes only Metro Toronto, the second includes other large metropolitan areas in Ontario; the third includes smaller cities, towns and urban fringe areas; and the fourth includes the remaining Boards of Education that were mainly rural in character.

Luu

This chapter is divided into two sections. In the first section we present and discuss the educational and vocational intentions of Grade 12 students in Ontario for the fall of 1973 and 1974. In a second section we present the Porter-Blishen findings in 1971 that relate to the educational and vocational plans of Grade 12 students for the fall of 1971 and 1972. After this presentation of findings, we will examine the two sets of data and indicate the extent to which shifts in attitudes have or have not occurred from 1971 - 1973.

I. Presentation of findings on educational intentions: 1973

The percentage distributions in Tables VII.1 and VII.2 must be interpreted cautiously because of potential errors or biases in the sample design. These sources of error are fully discussed by Mr. Oleh Iwanyshyn and may be found in the appendix to this report. A brief summary of potential errors is required to emphasize that caution be employed in utilizing the figures in Tables VII.1 and VII.2. Errors may occur because:

(1) the target population, the Grade 12 student, was not defined in a clear, unambiguous, uniform way. The unclear definition may in large part be attributed to the nature and structure of education in secondary school systems in Ontafio (2) our survey was conducted in May - June 1973 and the drop-out rate of Grade 12 students (from September to June) may range from 6 to 8 percent. Thus, our sample may not totally reflect the target population (3) of exclusions (e.g. schools for slow learners, special types of vocational schools) of certain types of schools.

The reader will note that Tables VII.1 and VII.2 include only those adolescents that mentioned a specific educational or vocational plan.

Adolescents who simply did not know their plans, refused to respond, or could not be classified are omitted. In addition to percentages we have also provided confidence intervals and confidence limits.

Table VII.1. We may observe that 11.2% of our sample of Grade 12 students plan on going to a college of applied arts and technology. The question that naturally arises is: how confident are we that this sample percentage (11.2%) reflects or is similar to the unknown population percentage? By the use of statistical formula, confidence limits can be developed so that we are certain 95 times out of 100, that the population percentage falls with the established confidence limits. Therefore, we can be 95 percent confident that between 9.718 and 12.682 percent of the population of Grade 12 students plan on enrolling in C.A.A.T.S. in the fall of 1973. It is generally true that the confidence intervals in Tables VII.1 and VII.2 are below 1.5%; therefore the confidence interval (from the stated percentage) does not exceed 3 percent.

II. Comparison of Porter-Blishen survey and 1973 survey

In comparing our findings with those of Porter and Blishen there are several factors that constrain over analysis. The structured response

^{2.} The confidence intervals reported in Tables VII.1 and VII.2 were derived by the use of: Variance = 1/4 (K1pl - K2p2)² where

K = weight for sample 1 or 2, respectively p = proportion for given variable (e.g. % planning to go to university)

Confidence interval = variance x 1.96

the future educational plans of Grade 12 students differ in a number of ways. For instance, students were required, in both studies, to describe their plans for next fall (after grade 12). Our pre-test indicated the necessity of providing these categories: (1) take at least one year off to work or travel before beginning full-time studies at a post-secondary educational institution (2) Study part-time at a C.A.A.T. while working either full or part-time and (3) go into apprenticeship or go to a private commercial, business or trade school. These response categories were not employed in the Porter-Blishen survey. Since 12.0% of our sample chose one of the three categories, the comparison we make is limited.

This lack of standardization (in response categories) also applies to another question asked in both surveys - what are the educational and vocational plans of drade 12 students after graduation from high school. In this question we once again employed response categories (1) and (2) mentioned the above paragraph. Porter-Blishen utilized category (3) but did not employ (1) and (2). However they divided 'university plans' into three categories: (1) go to university, but probably not graduate (2) graduate from university and (3) do further studies at university after graduation. Thus, our comparison is constrained by a lack of standardized response categories.

^{3.} The reader will also observe that our comparison is based on unweighted samples. This is so because school weights could not be
located for the Porter-Blishen data. However, a comparison of unweighted and weighted data (for our sample) indicated negligible percentage differences; the largest difference was on the order 0.6%.

The data in Table VII.3 indicates that there have been attitudinal shifts in educational intentions from 1971 to 1973. Fewer grade 12 students today are planning to complete grade 13 (-3.4%) or getting a full-time job (-3.6%). A greater proportion of students are planning on being 'stop-outs' (+6.8%) in the sense that they desire to pause after grade 12, work or travel, and then enroll in a post-secondary institution. Part-time studies and trade schools, etc. (+5.2) also appears a more attractive alternative today than in 1971.

When we turn to plans after high school graduation (Table VII.4)
it appears as if the trend away from obtaining jobs is maintained (-2.4%)
There seems to be a reversal in adolescents' plans to go into apprenticeship,
etc. (-5.0%) but it must be remembered that 2.9% of grade 12 students in our
survey who plan on trade schools have probably graduated and entered the
labour market. Moreover, the lack of standardized categories makes the
comparison at best, crude.

Enrolment in nursing schools also appears to have decreased in attractiveness in that 3.5% fewer women chose this option (in the 1973 survey) than in the 1971 survey. Any future analysis would require a separation of males and females in order to explain where the sexes intend going and why so.

The trend to take time off is maintained for students who are making plans after graduation; the percentage difference is 8.3%.

Grade 12 students today seem less inclined to choose university as an option (-5.5%) but more inclined to plan on C.A.A.T.S. (+2.8%) It is

quite clear, however, that C.A.A.T.S. do not completely account for the "slack". One possible explanation is that proportionately more students today are opting for part-time studies (+4.6%). But it should be emphasized that the percentage differences in Tables VII.3 and VII.4 only provide crude measures of attitude changes; a more complicated form of analysis is required to validate and explain these shifts.

Our analysis indicates that within a two year period the educational and vocational intentions of Grade 12 students have altered. A greater proportion of students today are avoiding getting full-time jobs, enrolling in universities or nursing schools but a greater proportion of students are attracted to C.A.A.T.S., part-time studies and taking time off to work or travel before enrolling in a post-secondary institution.

Table VII.1: Percentages, Confidence Intervals, and Confidence Limits

for Educational Vocational Plans of Adolescents in Fall 1973

THE CONSSCIENT TO CHART I TAND	
Percentages and Confidence Intervals	Confidence Limits
49.5±0.093	(49.407-49.593)
17.9±0.951 °	(16.949-18.851)
6.7±0.360	(6.34-7.06)
11.2±1.482	(9.718-12.682)
1.3±0.502	(0.798-1.802)
1.5±0.259	(1.241-1.759)
3.8±0.893	(2.907-4.693)
	Percentages and Confidence Intervals 49.5±0.093 17.9±0.951 6.7±0.360 11.2±1.482 1.3±0.502

Table VII.2: Percentages, Confidence Intervals, and Confidence Limits

for Educational/Vocational Plans of Adoloscents in Fall 1974

ior Educational/vocat	onal Plans of Adoloscents, in F	all 1974
Educational/Vocational	Percentages and Confidence	Confidence Limits
Plans: 1974	Intervals	
Get a full-time job	8.4± <u>0.70</u> 2	(7.698-9.102/)
Take a year off	8.3±0.329	(7.971-8.629)
Go to University	28.5±0.138	(28.362-28.638)
Go to C.A.A.T.S.	10.9±0.693	(10.207-11.593)
Go to nursing school	2.4±0.318	(2.082-2.718)
Study part-time work full-time	1.4±0.063	(1.337-1.463)
Study part-time work part-time	3.2±0.325	(2.875–3.525)
Complete grade 13	1.2±0. ¥ 35	(1.065–1.335)
Continue_working	12.4±1.204	(11.196-13.604)
Continue post-secondary education	7.5±1.053 (6.447-8	
Continue in nursing	0.7±0.350	(0.35-1.05)
Continue in trade school,	0.8±0.008	(0.792-0.808)

ERIC .

TABLE VII.3: Comparison of Porter-Blishen Study and our Survey With Regard to Grade 12 Students' Plans for Next Fall.

Plans for next <u>fall</u>	Porter-Blishen Survey	Our Survey	Percentage Difference
:	(1)	(2)	(3)
Go to Grade 13	52.1	48.7	-3/4
Get a full-time job	22.0	18.4 🖟	-3.6
Take a year off	0.0	6.8	+6.8
Go to C.A.A.T.	11.8	11.2	-0.6
Go to nursing school	2.7	1.2	. - 1.5
Study part time at C.A.A.	r. 0.0	1,5	+1.5
Go to trade school	0.0	3.7	+3.7
Go directly to university	1.0.	1.2	+ .2
Other _	6.0	3.1	-2.9
Don't know	3.5	3.6	+0.1
Missing observation or multiple response	0.8	0.5	-0.3
` Tota	1 3024	2555	•

}

TABLE VII.4: Comparison of Porter-Blishen Study and our Survey With Regard to Grade 12 Students' Plans After Graduation From High School.

Plans after graduation	Porter-Blishen	Survey	Our Survey	Percentage Difference
	(1)	8	(2)	(3)
Get a full-time job	23.2	,	20.8	2.4
Take time off	0.0	•	. 8.3	+8.3
Go directly to university	34.0	• ;	28.5	5.5
Go directly to C.A.A.T.	15.6	•	18.4	42.8
Go to nursing school?	6.6		3.1	-3.5
Study part-time and work part-time or full-time	0 . 0		4.6	+4.6
Go to trade school	5.8		0.8	-5.0
Other	4.4		4.3	-0.1
.Don't know	8.9 🔍	~	10.6	+1.7
Missing observation or Multiple response	1.5		0.6	0.9
Total	3024		2555	,

1

CHAPTER EIGHT

Summary and Conclusions

Objectives and methods

A random and representative survey of 2951 Grade 12 students in Ontario was conducted by the Survey Research Centre, York University in the Spring of 1973; 97 high schools were included in the sampling frame and a total of 2555 issuable questionnaires (87 percent response rate) were collected by trained field interviewers from all schools. These questionnaires were then coded, edited and placed on IBM punch cards to permit data processing.

Two general questions guide the formulation and implementation of this survey. They are: (1) what are the educational and vocational plans of grade 12 students for the fall of 1974 and (2) given that adolescents select different educational and vocational alternatives, what similarities and/or differences in social origin, present experiences and preparedness characterize different groups of adolescents (e.g. those that intend enrolling in university, going to work, etc.) Grade 12 students are selected for study because they are at a critical decision-making juncture in their lives; these adolescents must soon decide whether to remain in high school, enter the labour market or enrol in some form of post-secondary education.

The two general questons specified above led to a formulation of nine specific project objectives. Stated in point form they are:

- 1. Assess the future educational and vocational plans of Grade 12 students in Ontario.
- 2. Identify the motivations (reasons) given for future educational plans. Are there differences (in reasons) among adolescents who plan to go to university, a College of Applied Arts and Technology, or work?
- 3: Identify not only the expectations of adolescents, but also their aspirations for the future.
- 4. Assess the influence of geographical location on adolescents educational and vocational intentions.
- 5. Assess the influence of demographic factors (e.g. population size, sex) on adolescents educational and vocational intentions.
- 6. Assess the financial means by which adolescents plan to cover their expenses while at a post-secondary institution.
- 7. Identify those factors (e.g., social background, influence of parents, teachers, peers), that aid (or hinder) adolescents in making educational and vocational decisions concerning their future.
- 8. Assess the perceived reliability and presence of information sources concerning post-secondary institutions for high school students.
- Gompare the results of our survey with those obtained in a comparable survey performed by James Porter and Bernard Blishen in 1971; this comparison may provide valuable insights into shifts in attitudes concerning educational and vocational intentions.

Summary of findings:

This section will present a distillation of the major findings in this report Table 8.1 offers the reader a breakdown of the educational/vocational intentions of grade 12 students for the fall 1974. It should be noted that the categories in Table 8.1 incorporate, in some instances, the intentions of grade 12 students for the fall of 1973. Thus while 20.3% plan on working in the fall of 1974, 12.0% actually plan on working in the fall of 1973; only 8.3% intend to start working in the fall of 1974.

Table 8.1: Educational and Vocational Plans of Grade 12 students in Ontario for fall, 1974.

\Pla	ns for fall 1974	Percentage distribution
1/e	Full-time job	20.3
2.	Take time off before enrolling in some form of post-secondary education	8.3
3.	Go to university	28.9
4.	Go to college of applied arts and technology	18.2
5.	Go to nursing school	3.1
6.	Go into apprenticeship or go to a private, commercial, business or trade school '	0.8
7.	Study part-time and work either full of part-time	4.6
8.	Other ·	4.2
9.	Don't know	.10.7
10.	Not classified	0.6
•		100.0

The Role of Significant Others in Educational and Vocational Decision-Making

An adolescent's decision concerning his future career is influenced by exposure to a variety of different people. The type of contact and encouragement the adolescent receives may strongly influence his future plans and aspirations. Grade 12 students were asked to respond to this question: "To what extent have each of the following people encouraged or discouraged you to continue your education after high school?" We then asked: "Of the people mentioned above who have encouraged or discouraged you respecting your plans for future education, which of them has had the most impact on your decision concerning future education and has had the least." These types of people were included in the response categories: family, peers and school agents.

mentioned that their immediate family (mother and father) had the most impact on their decisions concerning future education. Peers and school agents (guidance department and teachers) have an almost equal impact on students. When peers and school agents are combined they account for less than 16 percent of total impact while immediate family (mother, father and siblings) accounts for over 70 percent of total impact.

Grade 12 students see their immediate family as more supportive in encouraging them to continue their education after high school than either school agents or peers. In fact almost four out of ten students claim that peers discourage them from seeking additional education;

the comparable figure for school agents is 2 in ten.

Parents are more likely to provide encouragement if their children intend to enroll in universities, C.A.A.T.S., nursing schools, take time off, or pursue part-time studies; they are more likely to discourage continued education after high school when their children plan on getting a job or are uncertain of their future plans.

Peers provide the strongest form of encouragement to those students that plan on enrolling in nursing schools; students who intend getting a job, enrolling in a C.A.A.T. or simply don't know their minds are most actively discouraged from continuing their education.

Although school agents are regarded as fairly encouraging by grade 12 students, those that plan on entering universities, nursing schools, part-time studies or take time off perceive greater encouragement on the part of school agents than students with other types of intentions. In fact over three in ten students who plan on obtaining jobs or entering trade schools claim that their teachers discourage them from continuing their and education after high school.

Educational and vocational plans as they relate to self-evaluation, motivations and future aspirations.

Grade 12 students were asked questions that measure two aspects of self-evaluation, the first aspect refers to evaluation of self (with respect to peers) on present academic abilities or performance and the second aspect deals with the adolescent's evaluation of academic abilities with respect to some future educational goal (e.g. graduation from a

university). We found, with respect to both aspects of self-evaluation, that university-bound students have a more positive self-image than students with other plans. On the other hand, students who plan to either get a job or enter trade schools generally possess lower or less positive academic self appraisals than students with alternative educational and vocational plans.

over two thirds of all grade 12 students believe that their real abilities do not match their actual performance. In other words, they feel that they could do better if so desired. Another interesting and important finding with respect to self-evaluation is that while most students who plan on envolling in universities think that they could graduate from either a university or a C.A.A.T., only slightly over 4 in ten students who intend to enroll in a C.A.A.T. believe they could graduate from a university.

Students were requested to indicate the personal importance of a number of reasons in continuing education after high school. Four reasons that rated very high in importance to most grade 12 students are: 'to get a satisfying job', 'to be better able to understand and appreciate ideas' and 'to get a job with a high income.' 'To delay making a job or career choice' and 'to get married' are considered not at all important, reasons for continuing education by most grade 12 students.

Grade 12 students were also asked what they would like to do upon graduation from high school. A high degree of congruency (between

aspirations and expectations) exists for these students that intend to go to university, nursing schools, trade schools, or to take time off before enrolling in some form of post-secondary education. Congruency between aspirations and expectations is significantly lower for those students who plan to get a job, enroll in a C.A.A.T., or study part-time. This analysis raises an intriguing question: what differentiates adolescents whose aspirations and future plans are similar from adolescents whose aspirations and future plans are dissimilar?

An examination of the occupational aspirations of students revealed that students planning on attending university have the highest occupational aspirations (e.g. professions). Students that plan to get a job, enter nursing schools or study part-time possess occupational aspirations that are relatively lower than those of students with alternative educational and vocational plans.

The Relationship of Academic Performance and Attitudes to Future Educational and Vocational Plans

Academic achievement clearly relates to the educational and vocational and vocational lans of grade 12 students. Whether grade point averages in grade 11 or expected grades in grade 12 are employed, the trend is quite similar. Students who intend to go to university obtain the highest average grades relative to any other group. Thus, while over 6 in ten students who plan on university achieve average of B and better only slightly more than 3 in ten students who intend enrolling in C.A.A.T.S. fare as well. Students

who plan on getting jobs or entering trade schools achieve lower academic averages than students with other educational and vocational plans.

Most grade 12 students look favorably at their high school experiences.

Over 8 in 10 students express the feeling that their high school experiences prove helpful in preparing for the future. Students who plan on going to university are most likely to consider high school as helpful in preparing for the future and students who plan on taking time off or are unsure of their future plans are least likely.

Why high school students do not go to universities or colleges of applied arts and technology.

not continuing education after high school graduation. The three groups consist of students: who plan on entering the labour market, take time off for a year or two before enrolling in post-secondary education and the "don't knows."

Three factors that are most frequently stressed as important reasons for not going to either a C.A.A.T. or university are: wanting to get a job as soon as possible, students often finding schoolwork boring and uninteresting and students intending to take further training but not at C.A.A.T. or university. Parental discouragement, wanting to marry as soon as possible, and the fact that 'it is expensive and not worth the expense' are reasons that students consider not at all important in deciding against a university or C.A.A.T.

'wanting to get a job as soon as possible' as very important while those that intend taking time off are least likely to see this as a very important reason for not going to a university or C.A.A.T. The latter group is also less likely to view schoolwork as boring than those who plan on getting a job or those who are unsure of their future plans. Students who plan to enter the job market are more likely to emphasize the importance of 'training elsewhere' as an important reason for not going to a university or C.A.A.T. than either those planning to take time off or the "don't knows."

Although some form of post-secondary education is not an immediate goal for students who intend getting jobs, taking time off or simply don't know, 4 in ten of these grade 12 do plan on continuing their education within the next five years.

Grade 12 students who intend going to universities or colleges of applied arts and technology

while a majority of students who plan on enrolling in a C.A.A.T. will do so because they prefer the kind of programme available, students who intend going to university primarily do so because they believe a university education is required for the type of job they desired.

Students planning on going to either a C.A.A.T. on university were asked to consider a variety of different information sources concerning universities and C.A.A.T.S. and then evaluate these sources in terms of accuracy or inaccuracy. Four information sources that are more often

100

perceived as accurate are the high school guidance department, university and C.A.A.T. calenders, friends at universities and C.A.A.T.S., and high school teachers. Four information sources that are more frequently perceived as inaccurate are: faculty at university and/or C.A.A.T.S., relatives other than parents, university and C.A.A.T. representatives to high schools, and sisters and/or brothers of grade 12 students.

Few distinctions exist between university and C.A.A.T. - bound students in terms of the aforementioned information sources except for 'friends at university or C.A.A.T.', 'high school teachers' and 'sisters and/or brothers'. A greater proportion of students who intend going to university accept the authority of friends, high school teachers and sisters and/or brothers than do students who plan on enrolling in C.A.A.T.S.

While 4 in ten students decide on university or C.A.A.T. by the time they reach grades 11 or 12, fully 5 in ten students who plan on going to a university arrive at their decision to go by grade 8 and less than 2 in 10 students who intend going to a C.A.A.T. decide by grade 8. Therefore students who plan on a university education make up their minds at a much earlier age than do students who decide on going to a C.A.A.T.

Over 8 in ten students plan to do their studies in Ontario but less than a third will maintain home residence while enrolled at a university or C.A.A.T. However, more than 4 in 10 students of the latter group will live at home while less than 3 in 10 students who plan on university intend on living at home.

120

Although university-bound students decide on this form of education at an earlier date than C.A.A.T. goers, a greater proportion of the latter group (over 7 in 10 students) have a definite idea of their major area of study in university than do university-bound students (6 in 10 students).

When it comes to financial support for the first year of study at a university or C.A.A.T., over 4 in ten students state that they will rely on parents or inheritances; over 3 in 10 intend to support themselves through savings from summer work or personal savings. Students who plan on entering university appear more heavily reliant on parents while students who intend to go to a C.A.A.T. will depend more heavily (than university goers) on personal savings and income from summer work. However, both groups of students are equally certain that they will be able to finance their first year (over 8 in 10 students are certain of this).

Changes in Educational and Vocational Intentions

Results obtained in a survey of high school students in 1971

(conducted by James Porter and Bernard Blishen) were compared with results in the present survey. Two major comparisons were made: (1) a comparison of the educational and vocational intentions of Grade 12 students for the very next fall (2) a comparison of the educational and vocational intentions of Grade 12 students one year later. As was emphasized in Chapter seven, these comparisons must be interpreted cautiously because the two studies employed different response categories.

The data indicate that there have been attitudinal shifts in educational and vocational intentions from 1971 to 1973. Fewer grade 12 students today are planning on completing grade 13 than in 1971 and fewer students are planning on getting a full-time job than in 1971. A greater propertion of today's students plan on being 'stop-outs' and proportionately more students today are attracted to part-time studies and trade schools than in 1971.

When we turn to plans after high school graduation, it appears the trend away from obtaining full-time jobs is maintained. The attractiveness of nursing schools has also decreased from 1971 in that nearly 4 percent fewer women are now choosing this vocational alternative.

While the trend to take time off or 'stop-out' is maintained, grade 12 students today seem less inclined to choose university as an option than they did in 1971. Athough a greater proportion of students today are choosing C.A.A.T.S., this explains only half of the decline (in intentions) in university enrolments. It was suggested that the "slack" may be explained by an increased interest on the part of grade 12 students in part-time studies.

In summary, our analysis roughly indicates that within a two year period the educational and vocational intentions of Grade 12 students. have altered. A greater proportion of students today are avoiding full-time jobs, universities and nursing schools and a greater proportion are present attracted to C.A.A.T.S., part-time studies, and taking time off to work or travel before enrolling in some form of post-secondary education.

A profile of grade 12 students

As a concluding note to this report of grade 12 students in Ontario, we would like to offer a "profile" or typology of students. A profile or typology is a crude method of rapidly identifying the similarities and differences among elements in a heterogeneous population. In developing this profile we selected six variables; these variables are individually discussed in the preceeding chapters. They are: (1) Sex of respondent; (2) the occupational prestige level of father's present job (Blishen); (3) Stratum in which respondent resides; Strata are roughly equivalent to the degree of urbanization of an area where stratum one is urban and stratum four is rural; (4) Perceived ability of student to graduate from a university; (5) grades obtained by students in grade 11; (6) the prestige (Blishen) of students' occupational aspirations (i.e. the social prestige of the job that an adolescent desires to obtain in the future).

Our profile or typology is presented in Table 8.2. The labers in each table cell refer to a comparison of the percentage of students of a particular group (e.g. get, a job) with the marginal frequency for a particular variable (e.g. sex).

Table 8.2 would seem to indicate that those students who plan on going to university differs markedly from all other groups of students.

They tend to be male, rank high on social class background, come from urban areas, believe they have the ability to graduate from university (and have the grades to back up this claim) and possess higher occupational aspirations than students with other kinds of plans. Students who

intend to go to a C.A.A.T. contrast sharply on all the aforementioned characteristics. They tend more to be female, come from less prestigious backgrounds, possess fewer illusions concerning either their ability to graduate from university or obtain very prestigious jobs. Their grade point averages also tend to be lower than those of university-bound students.

Students planning on taking time off before enrolling in some form of post-secondary education appear to most closely resemble university-bound students. They differ most sharply with respect to academic performance in that their grade average in grade ll is much lower than the grade averages of university-oriented students. It is also true that their conviction concerning graduation from university is not merely as strong and their occupational aspirations are not quite as high.

Students planning on entering trade schools, etc. appear to contrast quite sharply on a number of variables from all other groups. They are predominantly male; over half come from rural areas, none believe that they have the ability to definitely graduate from university; their grade point averages are low (only students who plan on getting jobs have slightly lower averages) and their job aspirations are extremely low.

Our examination of the profiles of grade 12 students lends support to our premise as stated in the introduction to this report. The educational and vocational plans of adolescents are not based upon arbitrary decisions. They depend, to a large extent, on the adolescents' social origin, his present experience (e.g. grade point average, strata) and

his preparedness with respect to the future. Information concerning the context or more specifically, the contexts, in which adolescents consciously or unconsciously make choices, that shape their future is constantly required to meet the demands of a changing society.

Table VIII:2, Profile of Grade 12 Students in Ontario

Variables	Get a Job	Go to Go to University C.A.A.T.		ake Time off	Take Time Go to Nurs- off ing School	Study Part+Time	Go to Trade Schools,	Don't Know
Sex	Female	. Male	Female	Male	Female*	Male	Male*	No 1
Prestige of father's occupation	Low*	High*	Low	H1gh*	Low	Low*	Low	No Difference
Strata	Rural	Urban*	No Difference	Slightly Urban ²	Rural	Urban	Rural*	Orban and Rural
Ability to graduate from a university	Low	H1gh*	Low	High	Low	Low	Low*	Low
Grade average in grade 11	Low*	High*	Low	Slightly Low2	Low	Low	Low*	Low
Prestige of occu- pational aspiration	Low	H1gh*	Low	High	. Low*	Low	Low*	Low

48.9% of grade 12 students are male but 95.7% of students who plan on going to trade schools are male or This indicates that this group differs markedly form the marginal frequency for this variable. ference 46.8%

This indicates that the group differs little or not at all from the marginal frequency for this variable. For example, 20,2% of fathers occupy Blishen one and two (upper middle class) while 20.1% of in the don't know group have fathers in Blishen one and two; this is a difference of Jo.17.

example, 41.2% of all students achieved grade averages of B or more in grade 11; 39.1% of students who plan on 2. This indicates that the group only slightly differs from the marginal frequency for this variable. taking time off achieved B or more in grade 11 or a slight difference of 2.1% YORK UNIVERSITY
INSTITUTE FOR BEHAVIOURAL RESEARCH
SURVEY RESEARCH CENTRE

Appendix I

Survey of Ontario Grade 12 Students
Sample Design Project 141

Oleh Iwanyshyn July, 1973

SAMPLE DESIGN

Population

The purpose of this survey was to obtain a representative sample of 1972-73 Grade 12 students in Ontario and monitor their academic attitudes and aspirations by means of a self administered, confidential questionnaire. The questionnaire consisted of mostly closed-end items and took on the average a half hour to complete. The self administration was conducted in groups of selected students at the selected schools. The complete process was supervised by a field interviewer employed and trained by the Survey Research Centre, York University.

The first important distinction that must be made about surveys is that the surveyed population should ideally be identical to the target population, in this case, the 1972-73 Grade 12 students in Ontario. In practice seldom do the two populations overlap completely. The reason for this was that the target population element, the Grade 12 student, was not defined in a clear, unambiguous, uniform way. This uncertainty was of course transmitted to the selection of the sample.

A definition shared by many schools stated that eligible Grade 12 students were those taking enough course credits in 1972-73 academic year to have the possibility of matriculating at year's end. It was felt that this definition was too narrow in that it may not have included into the survey population those students who were not taking enough course credits to matriculate and yet for all intents and purposes were Grade 12 students i.e. most of the course load consisted of Grade 12 level subjects. This

population. Conversely the survey population may have included students who were effectively Grade 13 students and yet were carrying a few Grade 12 subjects necessary for their Grade 12 matriculation (some of the students in the latter group may have been included in the sample in the ineligible component of non-response). This type of error inflates the survey population. Both types of error introduce bias into the sample.

Clearly the problem of definition is a serious one and is the direct. result of the relaxation and broadening of the curriculum structure in the high schools. Formerly the natural time unit of study was an academic year. Hence it could be distinguished fairly simply whether a student was in Grade 12 and taking Grade 13 subjects, or in Grade 13 and taking Grade 12 subjects. Presently the importance of the year as the natural unit is being de-emphasized, and added emphasis is placed on each student's unique rate of scholastic development. In effect the natural unit is now being officially recognized as the student. This change in policy offers the student greater flexibility in choosing a course of study by providing (a) a much wider spectrum of subjects and (b) a credit system whereby each student may plan a course of study that is suited specifically to his/her needs and potential at the time.

One important quantitative result obtained from the survey relates to the total number of students in the survey population. It was assumed that between 1971-72 and 1972-73 school years the total Grade 12 population in Ontario would grow by 3.2%. In fact the population total of the sampled schools (97 in total) was 13% below the total of the same schools in 1971-72. On the basis of the Secondary and Private School Enrolment Reports published yearly



by the Ministry of Education, and 5% below the preliminary enrolment data based on the 1972-73 Principals' Reports. In terms of the projected 1972-73 enrolment the totals were respectively 16.2% and 8.2% smaller. Since the sample of selected schools represented a predominance of large schools over small schools a better estimate of 1972-73 total enrolment was obtained by calculating the estimate based on the units of selection (approximately equal groups of students) of the sample. The estimated population total within one standard deviation, was 96,582 ± 2566 students, a decrease of 112 + 2.3% below the 1971-72 value.

There are two decrements to account for. First there was the general decrease of the total 1972-73 Grade 12 population (three different estimates ranging from 13% to 5%) and secondly, the estimates of the population total from the enrolment lists of the selected schools were approximately 8% and 6% smaller than the figure obtained from the 1972-73 Principals' Reports.

Assuming that the criteria for defining a Grade 12 student remained unchanged between 1971-72 and 1972-73 then possibly the main reason for the latter differences was the different times of the academic year when the population was monitored. The monitoring in the Principals' Reports occurred primarily at the beginning of the school year, whereas the sample estimates were monitored near the end of the school year. A graphical illustration of the population monitors is included in Fig. 1. It is conjectured that the differences were attributed to students who had dropped out for various reasons. Their presence was assumed in the target population. Hence their absence in the sampled population may signal the existence of a serious bias in the sampled population. It is noted that the sample obtained a 3% non-response associated with drop outs; no attempt was made to recover its component.

Since one of the purposes of this investigation was to ascertain the reasons for the changes of academic goals and attitudes, the absence of possibly the most discontented elements may have severely restricted the prognosticative potential of certain variables in the study. And finally, since the size of the survey population was dependent on time, in future a more appropriate period of the academic year should be considered for fielding this type of survey.

.

SAMPLE SIZE

In general the sample size is determined from a trade-off between the survey cost and the precision required from the sample estimate. These considerations resulted in the decision to sample approximately 3.2% of Grade 12 population, or, in absolute decims, a sample size of 3600 students. This number incorporated an expected 15% non-response together with a 3.2% growth rate from the 1971-72 Grade 12 enrolment records. The latter were used as an approximation to the 1972-73 population distribution. The 3.2% growth rate resulted from the assumption that the overall growth rate remained uniform from 1970-71 through to 1972-73. The acquisition of more up to date enrolment, however, indicated that the population decreased in size. This situation emphasized the need for an accurate population listing, particularly at a time when the school system is undergoing rapidly changing enrolment patterns. The actual number of selected students was 3059: i.e., a decrease of 15% from the projected sample size.

Simple random selection formulae provided a rough approximation of the precision attainable. For a sample size of 3600 students an estimated sample proportion in the 95 - 5% range has a standard deviation of approximately 3.6%; a proportion in the 50 - 50% range has a standard deviation of approximately 8.2%. Note, however, that the <u>relative</u> precision, as defined by the coefficient of variation, of the 95 - 5% proportion is 7.8% and is larger than the coefficient of variation of the 50 - 50% proportion, which is 1.6%.

SAMPLE DESIGN

The creation of a technique by which a sample of students can be selected from the survey population, in a manner that optimizes the estimates of variables both in terms of economy and precision is called the sample design.

For purposes of precision it is common to divide the survey population into subsets that are referred to as strata. The idea behind stratification is to find natural or artificial divisions in the population such that a variable of interest shows relatively less variation within strata than between strata. Note that if one of a set of variables is stratified along this criterion, it does not automatically follow that all of the other variables will be optimally stratified: i.e., that its variation within to its variation between is a minimum. Thus we see that a further condition on stratification is the type of variable studied and by implication the type of estimators used in the analysis e.g. ratio mean. Generally it can be stated that stratified sampling results in a smaller estimate variance than simple random sampling.

For purposes of economy it is also common to divide the total population into subsets. These subsets are referred to as clusters. Clusters differ fundamentally from strata in that a variable should encompass as much variation in its distribution within the cluster as it has in the population. Ideally each cluster would exhibit as much variation as its parent population for all variables. The latter situation of course refers to a very specialized clustered population in which each cluster of elements is simply a microcosm of the total population, a situation seldom realized in human populations. However, the ideal situation illustrates that inferences made on the basis of any one cluster will be valid for the population as a whole, and here lies the economy of the method. In realistic situations, however, clustering criteria

is often determined on the basis of natural or artificial divisions within which variables are often strongly correlated. Thus one cluster does not represent a good facsimile of the total population and more clusters have to be sampled in order to arrive at sufficiently precise inferences. Note that as before limitations on clustering exist as they did with stratification: e.g., optimally clustering along one variable may leave other variables relatively homogeneous within the cluster.

In the present study we have combined both stratification and clustering in the sample design in an effort to optimize the costs vs. precision tradeoff. The specific manner in which we have clustered and stratified will be elaborated in the sections entitled "Sampling Frame" and "Stratification"...

Finally a simple technique of estimating the variance of an estimate was incorporated into the design. This was done in lieu of the exact expression which is much more cumbersome. The approximation method used is called replicated sampling and involves the selection of two equal and independent samples. Each of the samples provides an independent estimate of the variate e.g. sample means $\overline{y_1}$ and $\overline{y_2}$, and together the estimates can be used to generate an estimate (with one degree of freedom) of the variance of the composite mean $\overline{y} = \frac{1}{2}(\overline{y_1} + \overline{y_2})$. The estimated variance is defined by the expression $\text{Var}(\overline{y}) = \frac{1}{4}(\overline{y_1} - \overline{y_2})^2$.

SAMPLING FRAME

Before the selection of the sample it was necessary to divide the total grade 12 population into clusters called Primary Sampling Units (PSU's). One possible sampling unit was the student. Hence if a random sample of 3600 students was selected it is not improbable that the students may have been distributed among most if not all of the over 800 Secondary Schools in Ontario. Needless to say this type of sampling frame would have incurred the heavy financial burden that is associated with the field work. Indeed, financial resources necessitated the restriction that the sample of 3600 students be distributed among approximately 100 schools. This restriction nevertheless provided a clue about the size of sampling unit. Suppose that instead of a single student unit the PSU was defined as a grade 12 class. Then it is apparent that if a sample of classes was selected such that the total population within those classes amounted to 3600 students, the number of Secondary Schools included in the distribution of classes would be much smaller than with a primary selection of student units. The PSU's, whatever their size, must also satisfy the conditions that they be mutually exclusive and collectively exhaustive i.e. each student must be uniquely defined within the frame and the aggregate of the students within the PSU's must be equal to the total grade 12 population.

Instead of using natural class units of varying sizes, the average grade 12 size was set at 32 students. Although the choice of 32 students as the PSU was in part arbitrary there were several considerations that suggested upper and Tower bounds about this number. First, the modal size,

of a grade 12 class is in the range of 30-40 students. The same range is convenient for a field interviewer to supervise the self administered student questionnaires. Finally it seems a reasonable observation (as originally suggested in the Sample Design Report by Dr. D. Dale for the Porter-Blishen study) that the product of three years of educational conditioning within a high school environment would tend to homogenize academic attitudes and plans. Hence the PSU should be made as small as possible but consistent with the other constraints, in order to reduce the sampling variance (by selection of a greater number of smaller homogeneous PSU's).

As mentioned earlier the 1971-72 Public Secondary School and Private Secondary School Enrolment Report (based on Principals' Reports) published by the Planning and Research Branch of the Ministry of Education was used as an approximation of the 1972-73 grade 12 population. In effect it established the sampling frame which provided the basis of sample selection. The report broke down the secondary schools in Ontario into the 10 Educational Regions. The regions in turn were broken down into county, district, borough or municipal school boards. Within the school boards the report provided data on the name, location, and enrolment of each school.

There were certain deficiencies associated with the use of a dated sampling frame, in addition to the uncertainties present in growth rate predictions. These related to the inclusion of ineligible elements and the exclusion of eligible elements of the population. The inclusions consisted of schools that did not have a grade 12 as defined in the broadest terms e.g. schools for slow learners, special types of vocational schools.

Another possibility was that the grade 12 in a school

of misinformation would result in an increase of the variance of the sample estimates. The exclusions consisted of schools that commenced operations or that initiated a grade 12 in the 1972-1973 academic year. This type of error if it were significant could introduce a bias into the sample estimate. The fact that the frame population was only a year old made the latter possibility unlikely.

The mechanics of distributions of the PSU's among the population were as follows. The number of class units allocated to a school was defined by the ratio

U₁ the total grade 12 population of the school
32

rounded off to the nearest integer. This may also be viewed as the creation of U₁ artificial class units whose size was approximately 32 students each. The grade 12 population within each school was provided by the 1971-72 Enrolment Report. The number of class units allocated was of course proportional to the size of the school. All the units were then enumerated and the total number of units multiplied by 32 obtained (within a slight correction due to round off error) the total grade 12 population. The total number of PSU's allocated in this manner was approximately 3400.

STRATIFICATION

Several considerations guided the manner of stratification of the clusters (PSU's). Of primary importance was the decision to maintain a basis of comparison between the Porter-Blishen study and the present one. This meant that in both studies the general schema for stratification had to be similar. In the absence of any promising quantitative stratifying variables it was decided to adopt the fairly general criteria that students' academic aspirations and intentions were somehow related to the size and the degree of urbanization of the school boards. This resulted in the creation of four strata: the first, for obvious reasons, includes only Metro Toronto; the second includes other large metropolitan areas in Ontario; the third includes smaller cities, towns, and urban fringe areas; and the fourth includes the remaining Boards of Education that were mainly rural in character. The breakdown of the Boards of. Education, by stratum, is listed below:

STRATUM

01

BOARDS OF EDUCATION

Etobicoke, Toronto, York, East York, North York, Scarborough, and the private school boards in these jurisdictions

Sudbury, Windsor, London, Waterloo County, Hamilton, Regional Municipality of Ottawa-Carleton, and the private school boards in these jurisdictions

1 1

02

STRATUM

03

BOARDS OF EDUCATION

Lakehead, Sault Ste. Marie, Nippissing,
Timiskaming, Regional Municipality of
Niagara, Halton County, York County
(excluding Metro Toronto). and the
private school boards in these jurisdictions

04

All remaining Public and Private School
Boards

Consideration was given initially for substratification (within the strata) along certain interesting variables related to future university enrolments. In the end it was agreed that certain substratum sample sizes may have been too small to bear the weight of a prognosticative analysis. However the sample design lends itself to a post-stratification of the survey data and is a viable strategy that can be adopted in the analysis.

SAMPLE SELECTION

The 1972-73 sampling frame consisted of a total student population of approximately 108,600 or 3400 PSU's. Each school was allocated a number of PSU's proportional to its size such that the probability of selection of a school was proportional to its size. Two independent samples of PSU's were selected, such that together they represented 3.2% of the frame population (approximately 3500 students or 109 PSU's).

The method of selection employed is termed proportionate sampling. Its main feature is that the ratio of the sample size in each stratum to the stratum size (in terms of PSU's) is equal to the ratio of the total sample size to the total population size. Sampling with proportionate allocation is generally a good scheme to follow if a relatively high degree of precision with population estimates is required, and if the stratifying variables are not strongly correlated with the within-stratum characteristics.

The present design required equal samples and this implied a selection without replacement of 1.6% of the population of PSU's for each sample. It follows that the probability of selecting each sample is 1/62; hence each PSU obtained a weight of 62. Within each stratum the sample was obtained by a random selection of the proportionately allocated number of PSU's. A systematic selection of PSU's within strata was rejected on the grounds that the interval was too coarse to permit the selection of more than one PSU per school, regardless of the size of the school. This restriction unduly limits the number of combinations that are potentially available by simple random selection within strata.

The total number and identification of the students belonging to a selected PSU were determined in the following manner. The selected PSU,s specified the selected schools. The total number of schools selected in the sample was 99. Within a school the subsampling ratio defined by the ratio of the number of selected PSU,s to the total number of PSU,s allocated to the school, was applied to the list of eligible students within the school. The subsampling within the schools was systematic with random starts provided.

In the initial stages of the survey the enrolment lists from the selected schools indicated that a severe shortfall in the sample size was to be expected. This fact, together with an expected 15% non-response and the possibility of a number of non-cooperating schools, pointed towards a dangerously low level of response. | Survey costs and time considerations precluded a reduction of non-response by call-backs. The following technique was employed to reduce the type of non-response that was specifically due to unforeseen, seemingly random occurrences such as sickness, truancy etc. This type of non-response was termed temporary absenteeism. An average of four systematically random selections per selected PSU were made in each school. The respondents in this subgroup became eligible as substitutes for temporary absenteeism in the selected PSU. If a PSU resulted*in no temporary absenteeism of course the substitutions were deleted from the sample. In moderation and for very selective types of non-response (and in a pinch) this technique, although not as satisfactory as call-backs, is obviously more satisfactory than duplication of completions (responses) within PSU's or weighting. Without substitutions the response rate was 79.5%; with



substitutions it was 86.6%. Hence the total non-response was reduced by 7.1%. Including the effect of a 3.2% growth rate the total number of students selected was expected to be 3600 (sampling frame take). Unforeseen shortfalls reduced this number to 3059 students (the school list take). Of this total 108 students were ineligible or had dropped out, 396 were non-respondents and 2555 remained in the respondent group. Two selected schools refused to participate in the survey. A more detailed numerical breakdown of non-response is included in the Final Field Report (Appendix A).*

^{*} The Final/Field Report was compiled by Ms. Joan Roberts, Sampling Supervisor of Survey Research Centre.

ESTIMATION PROCEDURE

Each sample of primary sampling units was randomly selected from the frame population with an equal probability of 1/62. Hence each unit had associated with it a weight of 62 i.e. each student within a unit represented 62 students in the frame population. In fact, however, the frame sample. seldom conforms exactly to the sample from the actual survey population. For example: Some PSU's selected in the frame population may not be available in the survey population. Other PSU's may consist of appreciably more or less than 32 eligible students, the approximate size of a PSU in the frame population. Also in many selected PSU's some eligible students may not be present on the day of the administration of the questionnaire. These students are termed non-respondents and the aim of every survey is to reduce the number of such students to a minimum.

In order to account for certain types of deviations from the frame population it is necessary to adjust the weight of each PSU. The underlying assumption of this procedure was that certain non-respondents within a PSU were essentially similar to the respondents within either the PSU, the stratum, or the sample as a whole. The sampler, in deciding to adopt a weighting schema, must pay scrupulous attention to the possibility that in adjusting estimates to account for the non-participating elements in a survey he/she is leaving the door open for bias to creep in. This problem can become acute if there is a large non-response and if the educational depirations of the non-respondents are profoundly different from the respondents.

The decision about which components of non-response were to be weighted was influenced by the differentiation made in the Porter-Blishen sample design. In the latter design weightable non-respondents consisted of those students that were:

- 1) absent from school on the day of administration
- 2) in attendance at school but refused to participate in the study ie. did not complete the questionnaire
- 3) in attendance at school but the principal or parents refused to permit their completion of the questionnaire.

On the other hand the non-weightable non-respondents were the students that had:

- 4) changed to another school or grade
- 5) dropped out of class
- 6) been included erroneously

Clearly components 4), 5), and 6) relate to frame listing problems. Component 5) is particularly important for two reasons. Firstly, in the present survey it was quite probable that this group was substantially underreported and secondly, its aspirations and attitudes may have been quite different from the majority group. In addition, weighting refusals should be viewed with reservation since evidently, this subsample of students represented those in the population that did not wish the survey to speak for them. Perhaps it should be incumbent on surveys to respect their wishes. In any case since one goal of the present survey was to compare it with the Porter-Blishen study it was thought advisable to preserve the non-respondent - respondent distinctions created in the latter.

In the following section the procedure for determining corrections to the frame weight is outlined. In general the weight was determined by the equation:

W.n = N

Where n = size of sample
N = size of population

W = weight

Since two independent samples were selected the subscripts 1 and 2 were used to identify the relevant symbols as belonging to sample #1 or sample #2. The sum of the two independent samples obtained from the frame population (108,586 students) was 3488 students. However, due to a sizeable decrease of the survey population the sum of the two samples amounted to only 3059 students. The estimate of the survey population based on the school population lists was 96,582 ± 2566 students, where 2566 is equal to one standard deviation from the population total. The value was 11.0± 2.3% below the frame population. In other words there is approximately a 70% chance that the actual survey population lay between 94,016 and 99,148 students. Hence it was decided that the sample weights should be adjusted such that the normalizing coefficient (N) was 96,582 rather than 108,586. Note that the decrease in the total population was approximately proportional to the decrease in the sample size.

R: Correction Factor for Sampling Variability

This correction factor accounted for changes in PSU size due to the natural variability (including round-off errors) of school population lists from the values projected in the frame population. Two schools in which PSU's were

selected refused to participate in the survey, one in each of sample #1 and sample #2. In order to calculate the correction factor an average value of the PSU's was substituted for the non-participating schools in each sample. Hence the size of samples#1 and #2 were incremented by 29 students respectively (to 1510 and 1607 students respectively). The resulting correcting factors for samples #1 and #2 were

$$R_1 = 1.03$$
 ; $R_2 = .97$

S: Correction Factor for Non-Participating Schools

It was decided to "balance" the effect of the two schools that did not participate by correcting the weight uniformly throughout each of the samples containing the missing PSU. The resulting correction factors for samples #1 and #2 were

$$S_1 = 1.02$$
 ; $S_2 = 1.02$

T: Correction Factors for Non-Response

Two possible methods correcting for non-response were considered. The first involved the duplication or elimination of respondent questionnaires in order to obtain a uniform response rate among the PSU's. The merit of this method was that only one weight factor would be required for sample estimation. The demerits were that a) large duplication rates resulted in a significant increase of the variance and b) occasionally respondent files that to be eliminated, an uncomfortable prospect for many samplers.



The second option involved the weighting of each PSU separately. The process of estimation was somewhat more involved but could easily be handled by computer data processing techniques. The non-response correction factor was defined by the expression

$$T_{ij} = \frac{n'_{ij}}{n_{ij}}$$
 $j = 1,2$
 $i = 1,2,...n_{j}$

where n_j = total number of PSU's in sample j

where n_{ij} = the number of respondents in the i^{th} PSU and the j^{th} sample

and n'ij = the number of selected students in the ith

PSU and the jth sample less those students

who were non-weightable non-respondents in

PSU i and sample j

The derivation of T_{ij} is detailed in appendix B

It follows that the corrected weights for the ith PSU in samples #1 and #2 respectively were

$$W_{11} = 62.R_1.S_1.T_{11}$$
 and $W_{12} = 62.R_2.S_2T_{12}$

The correction factors and the corrected weights by sample and by school-are mincluded in Appendix C.

Use of the weights in calculating sample estimates is illustrated by the following examples. The aggregate of a variable Y was calculated in the following manner. First we computed the aggregate estimates y_1 and y_2 in



each of samples #1 and #2. This obtained

$$y_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} W_{i,1} y_{i,1}$$

and

$$y_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} W_{i_2} y_{i_2}$$

where y_i = the aggregate of y in the i^{th} PSU in sample #1

 y_{i_2} = the aggregate of y in the i^{th} PSU in sample #2

Secondly, we calculated the weighted, composite, sample estimate y of the population value Y

$$\hat{Y} = y = K_1 y_1 + K_2 y_2$$

$$\sum W_2$$

where
$$K_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} i_1}{\sum_{j=1}^{2} i_{j=1}^{N}}$$

and
$$K_2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_2} W_{i_2}}{\sum_{j=1}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} W_{i_j}}$$

In order to calculate the unit mean (or proportion) \ddot{y} (or p)

we computed

$$\overline{y} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} W_{i_1} y_{i_1}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} W_{i_1} n_{i_1}}$$

and

$$\overline{y}_{2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_{2}} W_{i_{2}} y_{i_{2}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n_{2}} W_{i_{2}} n_{i_{2}}}$$

where n_{i1} = the number of respondents in the i^{th} PSU in sample #1 and n_{i2} = the number of respondents in the i^{th} PSU in sample #2

Note that $\overline{y}_1 = p_1$ and $\overline{y}_2 = p_2$ if

 $y_i = \sum_{j} x_{ij}$ over(PSU);

where $x_{ij} = 0$ or 1

As before we proceed to calculate the weighted, composite, sample estimate \overline{y} (or p) of the population value \overline{Y} (or P)

$$\hat{\overline{Y}} = \overline{y} = K_1 \overline{y}_1 + K_2 \overline{y}_2$$

The earlier approximate expression for the variance of an estimate was modified by the inclusion of the weight factors e.g.

$$- \operatorname{Var} \left(\overline{y} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\overline{K_1 y_1} - \overline{K_2 y_2} \right)^2$$

Finally we note that the normalization condition that was satisfied is

non-weightable
non-respondents $\sum_{i=1}^{n_j} W_i \quad n_{ij} = 96,582 \quad \text{estimated by} \\
\text{sample } j$

where j = 1.2

PROJECT #141 - FINAL REPORT

•	
_	
_	
-	
_	
- •	
~ '	
퐄'	
-	
-	
-	
•	
RAT	
b	

STRATUM (-1			, 1	à.		٠.			1			٠	
SCHOOL WAME	SCHOOL NUMBER	SELECTED STUDENTS	LEFT SCHOOL	PIELIGIBLE	BASE	4.00	₩OO	TEMP TEMP PASENT	**.⊤ .A.	REFUSAL	* REFUSAL	ОТИСА	X OTHER
ETOBICOKE C.1.	2101	25	,0	0	. 25	21	82 ,	4	. 16	0*	0	0	0
NORTH ALBION C. I.	2102	42	0	0	42	40	96	24	4	, 0,	, 0	0.	0
RIDHVIEW C.I.	1103		0	0	23	2.1	7.7	9 .	20 %	0	0	. 2	•m
SCHOOL OF EXPERIENTIAL EDUCATION	Bit	. 76	.0	0	, 26	13.	50,	0	.0	0	0	2	50
SILVERTHORN C.1.	1105 .	33.	.0.	3.0	w.	31	100	0 5	0	.0	8	0	0
THISTLETOWN C.1.	1106	. 21		Ġ	, 50		80	4	20	, 0 ·	0	0	. 0
WEST HUMBER C. I.	2197	34	4		29	27	93	. 2	, , ,	0	0	0	0
BLOOR C.I.	2108	30	0 .	• ·	53	27			K.	0.	0	0 ,	0
CENTRAL H.S. OF C.	2109	21	_	0	, 05 1	j9	95	-	25.	0	0	0	· D
HUMBERSIDE, C.I.	0111	31 2	2	r	. 29	28 /	. 96	0	0.	0,	0	-	*
HALVERY C.I.	=======================================	33	2	0 /	. 82	.25	84	o		3	12	-	4
	-				5	*						•	•

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

PRÖJECT #141 - FINAL REPORT .

FRATUM # 1											,	•	*	
SCHOOL NAME	SCHOOL NUMBER	SELECTED STUDENTS	LEFT SCHOOL	INEL JGIBLE	BASE	d⊳;00 .	COMP	TEMP ABSENT	т. А.	REFUSAL	ž REFUSAL	OTHER.	OTHER ,	
		*	,			ì				c	0	2	16	
MAKWOOD C.1.	1112	37	0,	°0	37	35	98	0		,				
		32	0	-	<u>۳</u>	92	84	5	91	0	0	0 ,	۰ ا	١
A.Y. JACKSON S.S.	5112	35	,				!	-	ۍر.	0	0	16	, 50	·
PATULIDET HETCHTS S.S.	2116	36	4	, ' o	35	- 15	4/	ا ر	,			-		
BAINORSI DE CONTROL	·	, ,		0	36	36	100	0	0	, 0	0		0	`. <u>-</u>
C.W. JEFFERYS S.S.	<u>_</u>	or	>		<u>, </u>	1		,	,	ç	0	8	. 0	
, Y J AOJNO	2118	35	2	0	33	58	82	S,	<u>c</u>	> \				
	-				,	20	87	3	13			0	0	
MORTHVIEW HEIGHTS S.S.	6111	- 53 	>	ò		•	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		,		25	47	
3 3 3040 4400	2120	55	7	0	53	81,	34	<u>.</u>	91	0	0	2		<u>.</u>
VICTURIA PARK 5.5.		_			!	;	8	-	4	-	4		4	,
CEDA98RAE C.I.	1212	27	°(27	64	8	-					<u> </u> ,	
5		;			31	.92	, 84	3	10	0	٥		٠.	<u>.</u>
DAVID AND MARY	1122		> / <u> </u>	- 1	-	-	4	-	-	,	-	-	413	24-
The same of the sa	2122	24	0	0 3 0	24	50	83		4	> 	>			
WINSTON CHURCHILL C.I.	6313	;		-	 - -	-		-	8	0	0	-	4	
ST. GEORGE'S COLLEGE	1124	24	0	. 0 /	24	22	76	-						1
	-											,		

			-	, ,	, ,	ı t	1	-	25-	,	-
g OTHER	. 01,			`					•	*	
∮ OTHER	70	,									
X REFUSAL		•					ç				
REFUSAL	4	٠		. \					^		
*.T	8					•		۲			
TEMP .	51	v		•		,					:
₩ COMP	18		•		`	,					
d₩00	535	-					<u> </u>	ų.		٠	
BASE	099										
INELIGIBLE	3										
SCHOOL	17					•	-	,			
SELECTED - STUDENTS	089	-									•
SCHOOL NUMBER		· · ,		-							
SCHOOL NAME	STRATUM #1 TOTALS					3					



PROJECT #141 - FINAL REPORT

•	k	
	_	
2	:	
-	,	
	;	
9	>	
۲	٠	
_	=	

3 4 5 5 5 5 5	•									<u> </u>			
scноог наме	SCHOOL VUMBER	SELECTED STUDENTS	SCHOOL	INEL IGIBLE	BASE	d⊮000	*COMP	# TEMP ABSENT	T.A.	REFUSAL	. KEFUSAL	OTHER	ж отнев
COMFEDERATION S.S.	1225	12	. 0	0	. 21	5	42	-	΄ &	0	0	9	90
MacDONALD CARTIER S.S.	2226	40	3	. 0	37	.34	92	. 3	, 80	. 0	0	0	0
GARSON-FALCONBRIDGE S.S.	2227	56	, 0	ð	26	23	88	m j	12	0	0	0	0
LO-ELLEN PARK S.S.	1228	.26	0	,	26 • ´	24 ~	26	2	-	, O	. 0	0	0
LOCKERBY COMPOSITE SCHOOL	1229	30	0	0	30 ′	53	26	0 .		0	. 0	-	3
SHERIDAN TECHNICAL SCHOOL	2230	23	0	Õ	23	15 .	.	٠ .	22	0.	0	8	13
HON. W.C. KENNEDY C.I.	2231	22	0.	ø	22	22	001	0	0.	0	0	0	0
JOHN L. FORSTER C.I.	1232	31	-	0	30	30	· 00 L	. 0	0	0, 5	0	0	0
WINDSOR H.S. of C.	2233	27	0	0	27	27	. 96	. 0	0	0	0	0	. 0
тне цофоц south s.s.	1234	15	0	0	15	13	98	1	7	0	0		26-
SIR WILFRED LAURIER S.S.	1235	27		0 /	56	25	96	-	4	0	0) •	0
			,					•				•	

PROJECT #141 - FINAL REPORT

C # WILLY								·		4)	
NAME	SCHOOL NUMBER	SELECTED S STUDENTS	LEFT SCHOOL	INELIGIBLE	BASE	д⊮;00	сомр	# . TEMP ABSENT	x T.A.	REFUSAL	* REFUSAL	ОТНЕЯ	X OFHER
	3536	Û٤	. 0		62	. 12	72	5	18	0 \	0	٦	10
GRAND KIVER C.I.	833	3			33	21	Ąţ	-	5	0	0	0	0
SHERWOOD S.S.	2237	22	0	0	77	5	2					,	
WESTMOUNT S.S.	1238	36	0	0	36	33	26	2	ιn'	0	0	-	m
GLOUCESTER H.S.	2239	28	0	0	28	23	82	5	18	0	0	0	0
0 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	1240	29	0	-	28	21	57.	7	52		o,	0	0
MENIAGE D.S.	1241	F	-	-	29	21	72	80	58	0	0	0	0
SIR KUB'I BUKUEN		;		,	96	33	0,2	. 5	-1	0	0	-	4
Y SIR ROB'T BORDEN	2241	31	-	-	63	53		,		•			
CANTERBURY H.S.	1242	30	2	•·	28	28	001	0	0	0	0	0	0
FCOLF SECONDAIRE	2243	30	0	0	30	62	. 6		<u>س</u>	0	0	0	0
DE LASALLE	2244	36	-	>	34	33	97	-	۳,	0	0	θ	0
GLEBE C.I.	2245	26	-	-	24	24	100		0	0	,0	0	0
SIK WILTED LAURIER 11.3.						 			\\ .				,

7

PROJECT #141 - FINAL REPORT

STRATUM # 2	•		•							h			************
	SCHOOL RUMBER	SELECTED STUDENTS	SCHOOL SCHOOL	INEL 1GIBLE	BASE	d⊳,00	dW00	TEMP ABSENT	T.A.	REFUSAL	X REFUSAL	ОТНЕВ	N OTHER
MODROFFE H.S.	1246	31	1	0	30	18	99 ,	=	37	0	0	Ĺ	8
HILLCREST H.S.	1247	. 25.	- '	0	24	22	92,	. 2	ω	0	0	0	
STRATUM 12 TOTALS	3,	664	13	9	645	564 *	87	64	10	0	0	17	E .
			ħ			•	ł				•		
	-										•		
	<u> </u>	•*	,			,						·	
		\\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	,								,,		
							-			,			• `
		,	•				<u> </u>						,
			/		,					•	•		-28- `
0				,			,		- ,	,		,	
									•		•	•	•

PROJECT #141 - FINAL REPORT

STRATUM 4.3	. · ·		•	*			,	•						
. schòo <u>l</u> иджЕ	SCHOOL NUMBER	SELECTED STUDENTS	LEFT SCHOOL	INELIGIBLE	BASE	dh/00	жоожь	TEMP ABSENT	׎	#' REFUSAL	X REFUSAL	0THER	ж Отнев	, -
BAHATING C. & V.S.	1348	28	۱ .	0	, 12	24	. 68	٣	=	0	0	0	0	
KORAH C. & W.S.	1349	24		. 0 .	-, 23	. 23	٦٥٥ ر	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	
MIDDIFIELD S.S.	2350	. 32	, 2	0	ص _ر 30	62	, 26	ľ	е	0	0	0 -	0.	
BEAMSVILLE DÍSTRICT S.Ş.	. 2351	· 0έ`	2	l z	27,	22	<u>۸</u>	4	15	0	0		4	-
	1352	. 23	0	0	23	17	74	6	26	0	0	0	0	٠.
GRIMSBY DISTRICT S.S.	2353	59	0	0	62	°, ìz	. 22	7	24	0	0	-	4	
LAKEPORT S.S.	1354	. Žž	0	~ 0	, -22	20	91 .	5	6	0	0	0	0	
NIAGARA DISTRICT S.S.	2355.	. 38	. 6	` O	32.	, 22	. 69	9 🏈	18	0	0	Ą	13	,
NIAGAPA FALLS C. & V.I.	1356	òè ,	1	<u>, 1</u> ~	. 28	28	100	0 .	. 0	0	٠,0	0	,,0	
WELLAND CENTENNIAL S.S.	.1357	. 28	0	0,	28 ·	92	94	1	3	. 0	0	-`	์ พ	-29-
WELLAND CENTENNIAL S.S.	2357	28 📆	0 .	. 0 .	ź 28	12	75	5	18	0	0	2	7	

ERIC A

ß

PROJECT #143 - FINAL REPORT

•
善
Ħ
≊
12

	,									1			
SCHOOL NAME	SCHOOL NUMBER	SELECTED. STUDĘNTS	Ê€FT SCHOOL°	INELIGIBLE	· BÁSĘ	₩000	сомр	TEMP ABSENT	T.A.	REFUSAL	REFUSAL	OTHER	X OTHER
ALDERSHOT H.S.	2358	34	0	0	34	31	. 16		6	0	o	٠.۵	. 00
GLENFOREST S.S.	1359	44	• 0	0 °	44	. 44	100	0	0	0	0	0	0
LORNE PARK S.S.	1360	. 31	, - ·	ď	30	30	100		-0	0	0	0	0
-STREETSVILLE S.S.	2361	. 33	, .	0.	35	31	26	0	0	0	0	-	.3
THOMAS L. KENNEDY S.S.	1362	32	0,	0	. 32	56	91	e .	- 6	0	0	. 0	0
WESTWOOD S.S.	2363	40	, ,	0	. 38	34	. 28	2	. 5	0	0	3	80
ORILLIA DISTRICT C. 8 V.I.	2364	27	2 5	0	25	21,	84	0	0	0	. 0	4	16
DUNBARTON H.S. 9	2365	2,8	2		25	23	76	, 2		0	0	٠٩	0
PORT PERRY H.S.	. 2366	25	4	-	50	17	85.	. 0 .	/ , ප		, 0 ,	3	15
BAYVIEW S.S.	1367	34	80	, 0 (31	62	93	2	, ,	0	0	0	30- '
SUTTON DISTRICT H.S.	1368	.30	0	ر فز	30°.	29	76	٠	ັ , ຕ		0	٥,	0
		4	-			-							

.

PROJECT #141 - FINAL REPORT

C A SPINAL		~								h			
SCHOOL NAME	SCHOOL - NUMBER	SELECTED STUDENTS	LEFT, SCHOOL	IÑELIGIBLE	BASE	СОЧР	₹ COMP	TEMP ABSENT	×ď	# REFUSAL	REFUSAL	# OTHER	X OTHER
NOTRE DAME COLLEGE	. 2369	37	-	٠, 0	. 98	. 72	75	6	25	0	, 0	0	0
PICKERING COLLEGE	1370	. 22	. 0	0	22	20	16	2	6	0	0	0	0
STRATUM #3 TOTALS		729	28	4	. 269	618	88	59 ´	6	0	, 0	20	3
				•		•				•			
		-			ر	`	7						†
			_			_	*	,				`	
				٥					,				
				,				25					
1		*				,		•				b.	
			,	,				,				•	31-
4		-	0						·			·	,
			+	,						•	,		

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

TRATUM # 4

	1		٠		,					·		·	
SCHOOL NAME	SCHOOL NUMBER	SELECTED STUDENTS	LEFT, SCHOOL	INELIGIBLE	BASE	dW03	COMP	* TEMP ABSENT	*.⊤ .A.	REFUSAL	r X REFUSAL	₽ OTHER.	OTHER
BLIND RIVER DISTRICT H.S.	2471	17	0 ک	0	. 11	10	. 59	5	59	0	. 0	2	75
CHAPLEAU H.S.	1472	21	0	0	21	21	100	Û	0 .	0	, d	0	o^
TROQUOTS FALLS S.S.	1473	, 59		. 0.	. 82	28	100	, O	0		0	• 0	0
- IROQUOIS FALLS S.S.	.2473	30	0	R	90.	52	. 83	0,	0	0	0	5	17
ROLAND MICHENER S.S.	1474	. 26	, O ,	0	92	. 23	88	3	12	0	0	0	0
ALMAGUIN HIGHLANDS S.S.	1475	28	, 0,	0	28	22	79	, 9	23	0	0	0	0
ALMAGUIN HIGHLÄNDS S.S.	2475	27 -	. 0	ф	ŹŽ	. 52	93	2	2	0	. 0	0	0
WEST ELGIN S.S.	2476	. 32	-	0	31	31	100	0	0 .	0	, 0	0	0
THE DISTRICT S.S. (ESSEX COUNTY)	1477 .	8	e /	0	. 27	27	100	0	0	0	0	0	, 0
THE DISTRICT S.S. (ESSEX COUNTY).	24 77	30,	٠ ١	. 0	59	28	Ž6	0	0.	ŕ	٣	0	0
CENTRAL HURON S.S.	4478	32.		0 -	_ 31	2 6	. 98	ۍ .	91	0	0	0	0
		*		,) ^ 3						: !		•

ERIC

Full Taxt Provided by ERIC

STRATUM # 4

PROJECT #141 - FINAL REPORT

	-				,					h			
SCHOOL NUMBER		SELECTED STUDENTS	SCHOOL	INEL IĞIBLE	·BASE	CO:4P	× COMP	TEMP ABSENT	* -	#` REFUSAL	KEFUSAL	OTHER	X OTHER
2479		33	-	10	33	32 · .	100		0	.0	0	Q.	0
1480		, 23 ₃	0	The d	53	18	N.	Z.	22		9	0	0
1481	_	27		-	TO SECTION OF THE PROPERTY OF	£. 26	<u>B</u>	0	,,	0	0	0	0
2482,	, ,,	48	· 0 ·	X 9	48	448	901	0 .	,0,	o`	0 *	0	0
1483.		21 .	, 0	, 0	12	17.	. 18	4	19	0	0,	0	0
1484	· .	31	0	. 0	31	29.	, 494 , 494	8	9	0.	Ģ.	0.	0
1485	_	. 27	-	æ	. 23	. 81	78	ம,	22	0	ō	0	0
2485	.]	, 26	3		22	.22	001	0	0		0 .	0.	0
2486	2	22	0	. 0	`2 2	16	, 73	., 9	27	0	. 0	0	0.
248	2487	31	0	0	31	27	87	4	13	0	0	0	33-
2488	8. 8.	33.	-	. 0 /	4 7€	. 24	75	&	25	0 ,	0	و	0
							-	4				•	

ERIC

PROJEÇT #141 - FINAL REPORT

STRATUM # 4

	,		,		`								-
SCHOOL NAME	SCHOOL NUMBER:	SELECTED STUDENTS	LEFT SCHOOL	INELIGIBLE	, BASE	COMP	COMP	.# TEMP ABSENT	T.A.	# REFUSAL	REFUSAL	# OTHER	ж Отнея
PARKSIDEME, I.	1480	, 25		0.	24	20	84	2	8 ,	. 0	o .	2	æ
PARKŠIDE C.1.	. 2489	, 26	-	0	25	20	80	. 1	4.	0	0	4	16 •
SYDENHÅM H.S.	. 2490.	29	6	0_ `.	20	, el	95	ı	, 5	O	0	0	, 0
CENTRE HASTINGS S.S.	1493	26	. 0	-	25	19	76	ė	ž4 ·	. 0	0	0	0
NORTH HASTINGS'S.S.	2492	47	0.	0	47	33 -	70	rs.	, ri	0	0	6	. 61
NORTH GRENVILLE DISTRICT H.S.	1493	32	0	, , ,	32	. 32	100	0	, O	, O	, 0	0	0
COBOURG DISTRICT C.1.	1494	22	.0	· 0 .	. 25	19	98	, * .e	14	0	0	. 0	.0.
CRESTHOOD S.S.	1495	30	0,	0 °	° 02	, 23	11	7	23	. 0	0	ο .	0
PRINCE EDWARD C.I.	1496	30	. 2	0, .	588	, 12.	75/	3	וו	E,	נ	Ţ.,	8
ECOLE SECONDAIRE DE PLANTAGENET	2497	. 4	4	0 .	37	37 .	.100	.0	0	0	0	0	0
CODRINALL C. & V.S.	2498	. 24	• 0	• ,	24	24	001	0	o.	0	0	0	. 0
					J		•		,	•			

PROJECT #141 - FINAL REPORT

,								<u>.</u>		35-		
X OTHER	0	2.5		*· *	,		م			4	,	
# OTHER	0	23	_			•	1				•	٠, ١
X REFUSAL	8	0.5										
# REFUSAL	۲-3	5	•	3	"	>			-			
T.A.	0	6			-					. ,	•	
· #. TEMP ABSENT	0	* 83										
СОМР	62	88			,		٠,	,	•			
₩ COMP	. 82	838		·								
BASE	. 29	949	,							Mg.	۱ •	
INELIGIBLE .	0 .	ro			,	<i>*</i>						
LEFT SCHOOL	_	. 26										
SELECTED STUDERTS	08.	986	,									*
SCHOOL NUMBER	1499			,		•				6		
SCHOOL NAME	NORTH DUNDAS DISTRICT H.S.	STRATUM #4 TOTALS						-	*			,

ERIC

Full Text Provided by ERIC

ROJECT #141 - FINAL REPORT

```	•	· .	•				3	-		1			
STRÀTUM	# OF SCHOOLS	* SELECTED STUDENTS	LEFT SCHOOL	. INEL IGI BLE	BASE	AN:OO	comp.	# TEMP ABSENT	A.T.A.	REFUSAL	REFUSAL	# OTHER	* OTHER
	22	089	. 17		099	535	.8	. 21	8	, <b>b</b> ,	-	20	. 10
2	23	664	13	, 9 , ,	645	564	, 87 [°]	64	10 \$	0.	, 0	17	3
	23	729	28	4	. 269	618	88	. 59	6	0	0 -	20	· ń
4	. 29	986	32	5	949	838	. 88	83	6	5	0.5	23	2.5
		,					•					7	
TOTALS	97	3059	06.	. 81	. 2951	2555	. 87	257	6	6	,	130	4
		1	;-			<u></u>		,					
				· .		,					, •		***************************************
			. ,		1.				-		•	£ , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
·								•	,				36-
	-								-, 🔭		ا عر ا	ه.	
	→ Î	<u> </u>				1			-	*	•	,	
				,			•	•			•	•	

APPENDIX II

### PROJECT 141

A STUDY OF EDUCATIONAL PLANS

OF

ONTARIO HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS

Dear 'Student:

You, and other students are planning for the future; and governments, colleges, and universities are preparing to help you reach your goals. There are a number of questions about the education of young people in Ontario today that we think are worth trying to answer.

How much education do you want?
What kind of education?
How do you feel about your education?
What sorts of jobs do young people want?

You can help us by answering the questions as carefully and as accurately as possible. This is not a test. All answers are a private matter concerning only yourself and the Survey Research Centre at York University.

Paul Anisef
Assistant Professor of Sociology
York University

Your name:	·	•
Your home address:		<del></del>
•		1
Your date of birth;	•	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Day Month	Year

This sheet will be removed from the questionnaire on return to office.

_nswers are identified by code number only.

 $\underline{\underline{C}}$ 

## Survey Research Centre

# Project 141

NOTE: Most susstions are followed by a list of answers. From each list, crosses the one answer that is right for you. Indicate your choice by circling i rober.

> Example: <u>Yes</u> A. Is this a test 1 To you live in Canada?

### PART I

# FUTURE PLANS

1.	Which of the following statements describes best what you would <u>lik</u> to do after graduating from high school?	<u>e</u>
	Get a full-time job	1 :
	Take at least one year off to work or travel before beginning full-time study at a university or college of applied arts and technology	2
	Go directly to university 0	3
	Go directly to college of applied arts and technology 0	4
,	Go to nursing school	5
•	Go into apprenticeship or go to a private commercial, 60 business, or trade school	6
÷	Study part-time at a university or college of applied arts and technology while working either full-time or part-time	7
	Work part-time and study part-time at a university or college of applied arts and technology	8 .
	Other (specify) 0	9′
	Don't know	à
		,
2.	After you graduate from high school have you ever thought seriously of staying out of school for one or two years, and then going to a college of applied arts and technology, university, or other	
	educational institution?	•
Á	No	1
`	I have considered this possibility, but decided against it	2
	I am now considering this possibility	3
	FOR OFFICE USE ON	LY

. - 2 -

To what extent have each of the following people encouraged or discouraged you to continue your education after high school?

STROLE CREY ONE NUMBER FOR EACH PERSON.

Type of person	Encouraged very muc	•	<del></del>			_		raged '	' In	n't knor appronri for you
			_		•			,		*
a. Mother		. 2	3	4 •	5	. 6	7	Ţ		9
b. Father	1	2	3	4	5	6 ,	7	,	<b>.</b>	9
c. Sisters and/or broth	ers 1	2	3	4	5	6	7		•	9
d. Other relatives !	1	2	3	4	5	6	7			9
e. Friends in Universit or Colleges of Appli		,		•					į	`
. Arts and Technology	1	2	3	4	5 `	· _6	7			9 ~
f. Other friends	1	, 2	3.	4	5	` 6	7	•'		9
g. Teachers		2	3	<b>4</b>	5 •	6	.7			9
h. Guidance counsellors	1.	2	3	4,	5	6	7		-	9
i. Other (specify)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	•	•	9

Of the people mentioned above (0. 3) who have encouraged or discouraged you respecting your plans for future education, which of them has had the most impact on your decisions concerning future education and which has had the least.

TRALE ONE NUMBER UNDER "MOST IMPACT" AND ONE UNDER "LEAST IMPACT".

		Mos	t Impact	4	Leas	t Impac	<u>et</u>
, a.	Mother		71		•	1.	` @
ь.	Father	•	2	•		2	,
·.	Sisters and/or brothers		3			3	7.
.d.	Other relatives	•	4			4	•
.5.	Friends in Universities or Callege's of Applied	•		•			•
	Arts & Technology	`	5 '			5 /	
f.	Other friends		6	•		6	
g.	Teachers		7 .			7	
'n.	Guidance Counsellors	•	8	•		8	•
i.	Other (specify)		9			9 :	:

Consider the following sources of information about universities and colleges of applied arts and technology. In your opinion, how accurate is each of the following sources?

- THE ONE NUMBER BESIDE EACH SOURCE THAT YOU HAVE BEEN EXPOSED TO.

   THE OFEATER THE ACCURACY OF A PARTICULAR SOURCE, THE LOWER THE NUMBER OF YOU DIFFLE FOR THAT SOURCE.

   "IF YOU HAVE NOT BEEN EXPOSED TO A PARTICULAR SOURCE, SIMPLY CIPCIF "9".

	•	Totally Accurate	<del>~ `</del>			-	<b>→</b>	tally ccurate	'Inap	t knew or propriate or you
a. '	Friends at Universities or Colleges of Applied Arts and Technology	1	. 2	3	4.	5	Ġ	` 7		9.4. j.
b. '	Other friends	1 .	2	3	4	5 .	6	7		9 ,
c	University or college representatives to your school		2	<b>`</b> 3	4.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· 6	* . · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.,	. 9
d	Your high school guidan department		. 2	<b>'3</b>	4.	5	6.	<i>.</i> *7		9.
e. 1	University and college applied arts and techno calendars		2.	. 3	4	5,	6	7	• .	9
f	General post-secondary educational publication (e.g. Horizons, etc.)	s ·	. 2	3•	. , 4 .	· . 5	6	7	•	9
g. •	,faculty at university and/or colleges of applarts and technology		2		4	5 .	6 ·	· ; ···	•	0
h.	Teachers at your school	. 1	2	3	4	<b>'</b> 5	['] 6	'n.·		ġ · .
i.	Visits to campus	. 1	2	3.~	4	5·.	6	7 ,		9 .
j.	Mass media	. 1	2	з .	` 4 .	.5	6	7	•	9
ķ. 🌬	Parents'	. 1 .	2	3	4 🛰	5 .	6	.7	•	9
i.·	Sisters and brothers .	. 1	2	3	4	5	6 ¥	7	٠,	9
m. s	Other relatives	. 1	2	3	4	5, 3	6	.7	•	9 🕻
n.	Other (specify)	1 ,	2	3 .	4	5 .	6 .	7.		9 ,

- 4 -

Assume for the moment that you are going to continue your education after high school. Below are some reasons why students want to continue their education after high school. Indicate how important each reason is to you personally if you were to go to technical school, professional school, university, college of applied arts and technology, etc. CIPCLE ONE NUMBER BESIDE EACH REASON.

• .	Reason	Very Important	ь.			Not at all Important
.1.	To get a satisfying job	. 1	2	. 3	4 .	5,
b.	To get a job with high income	1;	27	3	, 4	5
с.	To get married	1	2	3,	, 4	. `5
ď.	To develop my ability t get along with differer kinds of people	ıt ,	2	3.	4 .	5
e.	.To provide the opportur for self-improvement .	nity .	· 2 :	3	4	. * 5
f	To be better able to understand and appreciations.	ate . 1	2	3	4	. 5
g:	To delay making a job c career chòice		- : 2	3₹	· <b>-</b> 4	5
h	To increase my prostigo or status	1	2 •	3	, , 4	5
i . '	Other (specify) ,	1 '	2	3	. 4	5 '
	•	•		•	,	
7.	Which one statement bes	st describes°w	hat you	plan to	do next fal	1 (1973)?
•	Go on to grade 13			· · · :	• • • •	. 01 ,
٠.	Get a full-time job .			• • •	• • • •	. 02
	Take at least one year full-time study at a p					. 03
,	Go directly to a colle	ge of applied	arts an	d techno	logy	. 04
•	Go to nursing school		• • • •		• • • • •	. 05
	Study part me at a c while working either f			s and te	chnology	. 06
,	Go into apprenticeship or trade school			ommercia:	l, business	. 07
	Goldirectly to univers	ity			,	. 08
	Other (specify) ·		, 		•	09
•	Don't know · · · ·			•		. 99

Which statement best describes what you plan to do in the fall of 1974? Take at least one year or more off to work or travel before 02 03. Go directly to university . . . . . . . to directly to college of applied arts) and technology. 04 Study part-time at a university or college of applied arts 06 and technology while holding a full-time job . . .Work part-time and study part-time at a university or college of applied arts and technology 07 08 Other (specify) Don't know THE YOU HAAN TO BE ON TO UNIVERSITY OR COLLEGE OF APPLIED ARTS AND TECHNOLOGY. FINE IN 1923 OF 1974. THEN ANSWER QUESTIONS 9 THROUGH TO 14. THE NEW TEAM TO ATTEND EITHER UNIVERSITY OR COLLEGE, GO TO QUESTION 12.

At what grade level did you definitely make up your mind that you wanted to attend a university or college of applied arts and technology?

Grade	6 or	ъ	ef	or	e	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	1:
Grade	7.	•				•	•	•	•	٠.	,	•	2 `
Grade	8 .	•				•				•	٠,		3.
Grade	9:		•			:	٠.	•			•	•	4
Građe	10	:			•			•			. '	-13 -14 /	5
Grade	11	•	•			•		•	.'	•	•	•	6,
Grade	12	••			•	•					• '	•	7
Other	(spe	ci	fу	)				_		_,	. 3		*8

- 6 -

. 10. (a)	Where do you plan to enroll in University or College of Applied Arts and Technology in 1973 or 1974?
	Ontario
	Another province 2
	Another country 3
. •	I am undecided 4
(b)	Do you have some idea of the major area of study or programme with sunt to study at University or College of Applied Arts & Technology?
	Definite idea 1
123	Vague notion . j 2
	No specific des 3
!1.	What major area of study or programme do you want to study at University or College of Applied Arts and Technology?  IF YOU PLAN ON ENROLLING IN A UNIVERSITY, ANSWER A: IF YOU PLAN ON ENROLLING AT A TOLLEGE OF APPLIED ARTS AND TECHNOLOGY, ANSWER B.
	A. University PLEASE DESCRIBE MAJOR AREA OF STUDY OR PROGRAMME.
· .	B. College of Applied Arts & Technology  PLEASE DESCRIBE MAJOR ARFA OF  STUDY OR PROGRAMME.
;	
, *	
12,	Do you plan to live at home while studying at university or college of applied arts and technology?
•	
-	Yes
	No
S.r.	• / Don't know 9

ነ/56

13. Please look at the notential financial sources listed below and tell us through what source you intend to finance the total cost (i.e., tuition, living costs and other expenses) of your irst year at university or college of applied arts and technology?

,		Most Imp		'. , 'ker	rt Most Impo	Prant
		(Circle	One.)		(Circle One	) .
Parents		. 4	1	\	01	
Government loans and grant	s . : . ,	. 02		•	02	,
Government loans	$\cdots$	. 03			03	
Symplarships and/or Bursar	tes 🦁	. 04	` .		04	
Savin, & from summer work		. 05	*1		:05 -	
Farnings from part-time wo while studying at universi		06	***		06	
Loans from parents, relati		07			٠07 .	
Personal savings		- 08		ę.	08	
Inheritances		. 09			09	
Other (specify)	ā	• 10	•		. 10	

How certain are you that you will be able to finance the <u>first</u> year at university or college of applies arts and technology?

IF YOU PLAY TO IT OY AT A COLLEGE OF APPLIED AFTS AND TECHNOLOGY, ANSWER Q. 15.

IF IC PLAN TO STILL AT UNIVERSITY; SKIP TO Q. 16.

What is your <u>one</u> most important reason for deciding on going to a college of amplied arts and technology? Check a second reason, if any.

Most Important	Other Reason (If any)
I prefer the kind of programme I can take there 01	. 01
My grades are too low to go to grade 13 and university . 02	02
Not don't have the proper courses or credits to get into university	03
It is quicker to get a good Job that way 3	04
to doc not cost as much as poing to university	05
Most of my triends are going	06
I hope to get married	07 ,
My parents think this is what I should do	. 08
My teachers think this is what I should do	. 09
To delay making a job or career choice 10	`10
Other (specify)	11
Don't know'	99 * *

TOUT WIR HAVE MUSTIANNWERED Q. 18, GO TO Q. 20



What is your one most important reason for deciding on going to a university in the National IP ANY.

		Impor Reason	tant	Other (If	Reason anv) =
I prefer the kind of programme I can take there		1	·	. 1	
Most of my friends are going	•	2	4	2	· ·
I hope to get married	•	3 ·		3	
My parents think this is what I should do	٠ ٤	4.	,	, 4	ı
My teachers think this is what I should do		5	,	. 5	i
I need a university education for the type of job I want	•	6 -	·	6	5
To delay making a job or career choice		7	•	7	,
Other (specify)	•	8		8	3
Don't know	. *	9		~ c	) ,

ROD THESE WHO HAVE AUST ANSWERED Q. 16, GO TO Q. 20

17. Here are some reasons why people <u>do not</u> go to either a college of applied arts and technology or university. How important is each one of these to you?

**INGLE ONE NUMBER BESIDE EACH REASON.

í	REASONS 'I	Very mportant ←		<del></del>	<del></del>	Not at al
a	I want to get a job as soon as possible	1,	2	3	4	<i>,</i> 5
b.	My parents do not want me to go	i	<b>`</b> 2	3	4	5
٠,•	i find schoolwork boring and uninteresting	,1	^ 2	3	4	5 -
d.	It is expensive and my family and I cannot afford it	1	2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. 4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
***	I don't have the proper courses or credits to get in	1	2.	. 3.	4	. 75
!-	I want to get married as soon as possible	1.	2	. 3 .	. 4.	5
g.	It is expensive and I don't think it'is worth the expense	1 .	2	3	- 4' -	5
h.	I find studying very difficult	ì 'ac	2	<b>.</b> 3	4	5 (
i.	I intend to take further training but not at a college or university	1	2	3.	4	5
		,			•	

18. So far we have talked about your future plans within the next two years. Is there a realistic possibility that you may be considering some form of post-secondary education some time within the next five years?

ERIC

9.	When in the next five years do you think you might enrol for the first time?
	One year from now (1973-1974)
	Two years from now (1974-1975)
٠.	Three years from now (1975-1976)
1	Four years from now (1976–1977)
•	Five years from now (1977-1978)
	PART II
•	OPINIONS ABOUT YOUR PRESENT SCHOOL AND SCHOOLWORK
20.	How do you rate yourself in school ability compared with your <u>close</u> . <u>friends</u> ?
	Well above average
	Somewhat above average
	Average
	Somewhat below average
	Well below average
21.	How do you rate yourself in school ability compared with those in most of your classes at school?
٠.	Well above average
	Somewhat above average
•	Average
	Somewhat below average
•	Well below average :
22.	Where do you think you rank in your year in high school?
	Well above average
	Somewhat above average
	Average
	Somewhat, below average
	Well below average

23.	Do you think you have the academic ability to graduate from a university.
•	Yes, definitely
7	Yes, probably
ď	Not sure either way .'
	Probably not
	Definitely not 5
24.	Do you think you have the academic ability to graduate from a college of applied arts and technology?
	Yes, definitely
	Yes, probably
	Not sure either way
•	Probably not
	Definitely not
•	
25 <b>.</b>	Some jobs or careers require study beyond the bachelor degree level at university, e.g. four years or more. How likely do you think it is that you would complete advanced study of that kind?
`	Very likely
	Somewhat likely
•	Not sure either way
	Unlikely
	Most unlikely
26.	Forget for a moment how others grade your work. In your own opinion, how good do you think your work is?
١	Well above average
	Somewhat above average
	Average
	Somewhat below average
•	Well below average
•	

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

2/.	your marks compare with this?	ı fee
	I could get much better marks if I wanted to	 1
	Tropild got simultant and the district of the	2
	My marks are shout wish for all sec. T	3
,	I must work somewhat harder than most students for the marks	,
	Figet	<b>.</b>
	I must work much harder than most students for the marks	
•	l get	'
28.	What were most of your grades or marks last year? (or the last year were in school?)	
·	Mostly 80% and over	
•	Mostly 70% - 79%	2
	Mostly 60% - 69%	3
	Mostly=50%59%	+
		5
	Other (explain)	)
29.	What do you expect your average grades to be this year?	
	Mostly 80% and over	
	Mostly 70% - 79%	
	Mostly 60% - 69%	,
	Mostly 50% - 59%	
	Mostly under 50%	•
	Other (explain)	
		•
•	PART III	٠.
•		•
	INFORMATION CONCERNING YOURSELF AND YOUR FAMILY	
30.	What is your sex?	\
,,, <b>,</b>	Male	
	Female	· ·
31.	How many children do your parents have? (INCLUDE YOURSELF AND ALL OYOUR BROTHERS AND SISTERS, IF YOU HAVE ANY).	F . <b>\</b>



(CIRCLE THE CORRECT NUMBER)

6 or more

32.	Among your parents' children, ar	e you the: .	
		First born	1 .
•		Second born	2
• ,	L. C.	Third born ,	3
		Fourth born	4
•	, <u> </u>	Fifth born	5
		Sixth born or born later .	
		than sixth f	6
		,	
33.	Are you now living with your par	ents?	•
	I am now living with		
•	both my mother and father	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	1
	with my mother, but not my		2
	with my father, but not my	•	3
	with neither my mother nor	my father	4
		•	
34.	What language do your parents mo the family?	stly speak when they are at home w	with
	•	(a)	<b>(</b> b)
		Mother F	ather
•	English	1.	1 .
	French ft	2	· 2 · ~
	Other (write in)	,	,
	Mother	<u>.</u> 3	•
•	Father	<u>·</u>	3
1	Inappropriate	• • 7	·
	,		
35.		r parents born? . (CIRCLE ONE NUMB	ER FOR
•	YOURSELF AND EACH PARENT).		
,		(a) (b)	(c) ·
	COUNTRY	YOURSELF MOTHER F	ATHER.
	Canada	1	1.
	Other (write in)		
	Self	2	•'
	Mothers	2	<i>,</i>
•	Father		2
• •	, ,)		
	Don't know	9	9
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del></del> ,	

IF YOU YOURSELF WERE BORN IN CANADA, SKIP TO Q. 37.

0

10.	Lanada?	old we	re you w	ien you ar	rived in
		ge (wri	te in)	•	
		•		,	
37.	Approximately how many times have yo the last ten years? (CIRCLE CORRECT			home resi	dence in
	0	·1	2 🍎 3	4 - 5	6 or mor
38:	With what ethnic of cultural group of part of? (e.g., Canadian, Native In Jewish, Chinese, etc.).				
	'Ethnic or Cultural Group (specify)	•			
•	Don't know				9
39.	What is your religion?		. ~		*
	Protestant				1
	Roman Catholic		• • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2.
	Jewish				3
*e.,	No Religion				4
• ,	Other (specify)				5
•			,		•
40.	. What is the highest level of formal	educat	ion comp	leted by y	our parents?
`	EDUCATION	•	MOTHER		FATHER
•	No schooling		. 1	•	. 1
•	Some Elementary schooling	• • •	2		2
•	Completed Elementary schooling	·	3.	r	7 3.
	Some Secondary school		4	: ,	4
	Completed Secondary school		, 5		5 .
	Some University or College		6		6.
	University degree or degrees	/	7		7
•	Other (write in)	•		·	
ř	Mother		8		•
	Father				. 8 •
	Don' τ, know		9		9

To the best of your knowledge, what was your parents total income in the past year?
Up to \$4,000
\$ 4,001 - \$ 7,000
\$ 7,001 - \$10,000
\$11,001 - \$13,000
\$13,001 - \$16,000
\$16,001 - \$19,000
\$20,001 and over
Don't know. 9
What is your father's job or occupation? If he works on more than one job, put down the one in which he spends the most time. If your father is unemployed or has retired, put down what he used to do.
A. SPECIFIC AS YOU CAN. TELL US NOT ONLY WHAT HE SOES BUT WHAT
- he overates a punch press in a metal shop
- he delivers mail for the post office ; .
'- no sells insurance for a large insurance company
(a) What does he do? (e.g. the operates a punch press)
(a) What does he do? (e.g., in a metal shor)
(b) In what sort of place does he work? (e.g., in a metal shor)
(b) In what sort of place does he work? (e.g., in a metal shor)  Does your mother now have a job for which she is paid outside the home?
(b) In what sort of place does he work? (e.g., in a metal shor)  Does your mother now have a job for which she is paid outside the home?  Yes, full-time job
(b) In what sort of place does he work? (e.g., in a metal shor)  Does your mother now have a job for which she is paid outside the home?  Yes, full-time job
(b) In what sort of place does he work? (e.g., in a metal shor)  Does your mother now have a job for which she is paid outside the home?  Yes, full-time job
(b) In what sort of place does he work? (e.g., in a metal shor)  Does your mother now have a job for which she is paid outside the home?  Yes, full-time job
(b) In what sort of place does he work? (e.g., in a metal shor)  Does your mother now have a job for which she is paid outside the home?  Yes, full-time job
(b) In what sort of place does he work? (e.g., in a metal shor)  Does your mother now have a job for which she is paid outside the home?  Yes, full-time job
(b) In what sort of place does he work? (e.g., in a metal shor)  Does your mother now have a job for which she is paid outside the home?  Yes, full-time job



43.

44.

45. If you had your choice what sort of job or occupation would you most like to aim for? Think about what you would like to be doing 15 or 20 years from now.

THE ACTION AND CAN IN NAMING A JOB OF OCCUPATION AND STATE IN WITH TO WORK. FOR INSTANCE -

- Promote lementary achief - carpenter for a revise builder;
- Programment - common a mail restaurant
- Programment - economist in the government

- (a) What would you like to do? (e.g., a teacher)
- (b) In what sort of place would you like to work? (e.g., elementary school)

Everyone does not end up doing the job he or she likes. Considering your ability, marks, ambitions, and family finances, what job do you think you will actually end up doing?

** A. . PECIFIC AS YOU CAN IN NAMING A JOB OR OCCUPATION AND STATE IN WHAT SURT OF PLACE-YOU WOULD LIKE TO WORK. *

- (a) What do you expect to end up doing? (e.g., teller)
- (b) In what sort of place do you think that will be? (e.g., bank)

### PART IV

### PERSONAL VALUES

Here are some values to which different people attach varying importance in their lives. Please tell us how much importance you attach to each, one of the following values.

		ery	<u>ant</u>	•		>	Not at all
Dyveloping (richdships	•	1	2	3	4	•	5
Involvement in work or a career.	•	l	` 2	3	4		5. *
Involvement in community affairs	•	l	2	, 3	4	1	5
Family (husband, wife and children).	•	1	2	3	. 4	į	• 5
Involvement in leisure time activities and hobbies	•	1	`. 2	3	4	;	5
Developing an independent life style		1	2	3.	4	1 1	,
Other (specify)		ì	2	3.	4		5

46. People think differently about things. For each of the statements listed below, please indicate whether you 'agree strongly', 'agree', 'disagree', or 'disagree strongly'.

CIRCLE ONE NUMBER FESIDE EACH STATEMENT.

¥ , **		RONGLY GREE	AGREE	NEUTRAL	DISAGREF	STRONGI"
a. Making plans only brit unhappiness because plans hard to fulfill		1 	.2	3	4	5
b. There is not much I c about most of the importan problems that we face today	t	1	2	3 ,	4	5
c. I am interested in the programmes, movies and mag that most people seem to 1	azines '	1 -	. 2	3	4	· 5
d. I am sure people thin don't have a great deal of		1	2	<b>3</b>	4	5
e. With things the way today, an intelligent pers to think only about the pr and not worry about what i to happen tomorrow	on ought esent	1	2	3		š .
f. Becoming a success is of hard work; luck has lit nothing to do with it	tle or	1	. 2	3	4 -	. 5
g. The secret of happine have a goal in life rather being content with what co way	than	1 .	. 2	3.	4	, . 5
h. Things have become so cated in the world today todon't understand what is g	hat I reall	у 1	2	` 3 -	; 4 <b>P</b>	. 5
i. I would prefer a job allowed me to apply well e shed procedures to one that require me to make my own decisions	stabli-	 1 2.	2/	3	. 4	S
, •		~~ ·	' <i> </i>			/

ERIC

2/63

			STRONGLY AGREE	AGREE	NEUTRAL -	DISAGREE	STRONGLY DISAGOL
		•			·	.*	
•	j. ,	Sometimes I feel that I don't have enough control over the direction my life is taking	. 1	2	3	4	5
¥.	<b>?</b>	·	-)		•		
	<b>k</b> .	Most things worth having in life cape obtained only if a person is willing to sacrifice for some time to get them	an	. 2			5
•		•				•	
	1.	In order to get ahead in the world today, you are almost forced to do some things which are not right.	. 1 ·	2	3	. 4	5
		•		•			
	ជា•	Most people don't realize the' extent to which their lives are controlled by accidental	1	, 2	2	<i>۸۰</i>	<b>^</b> , ₅
		happenings	• 1	· <b>L</b>	. 3	<b>,</b>	. ,
•	n	I don't really enjoy most of the work I do, but I feel that I must do it in order to have the other things I want and need	. 1	2	3	٠, ٠	
		Things I want and need	•	-	, ,	•	.,,
	o. ¦	People who are working toward long term goals instead of enjoying themselves now are making a mistake	. 1	2		4	5
							•
	р.	I' prefer to be paid on the basis of how much work I have done rather than how many hours I have worked		,2	3	4	· 5
	.p	When I make plans, I am almost certain I can make them work	. /1	. 2	<b>3</b>	4	· 5
	r.	It doesn't really matter to me whether I become one of the best in my field	. 1 .	2	3	4	5
•	s.	I don't feel lonely as often as most other people my age	. 1	2	3	4	5
E R	Orlided by ERIC	Sometimes people say Pineglect othe important a pects of my life because I work to pard	se 2,1	. 2	3 ,	4	5

2/74

<b>4</b> a 1)	Some students feel that their high school education helps them to profer the future while others believe this is not so. How do you gene fet I about your high school experience? GIRCLE ONE RESECUES OF		•
	High school has been very helpful for me in preparing for the future	•	
•	High school has been helpful for me in preparing for the future 2	4	>
	High school has had <u>no influence either way</u> on my preparation for the future		~.
	High school has not been helpful for me in preparing for the future	. ;	•
	High school has had a strong negative influence on me in preparing for the future		
	Other (specify)6		<u>.</u> "
· 6)	Why do you feel this way about your high school education?		* 2/75
•			
٠,		•	•
		•	
			•
-	**		•
-		<del></del>	•

THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR CO-OPERATION